60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Speaker Matijevich: "The House will be in Order. Our guests in the gallery please rise and join us in the prayer. The prayer today will be given by our good friend and staff member for many years, Reverend Gary McCants."
- Reverend Gary McCants: "May we pray. Oh Heavenly Father, as we come this morning we give You the thanks and the glory and all honor due You. And as we come, we lift up, O God, these Thy people who have come from various places within the State to do the business that has been set before them. We pray, Oh God, that as they consider the issues and matters of great importance to the people of Illinois that they may do so with diligence, with concern, with strength, looking beyond the faults of others and seeing their very needs. And we ask, O God, that you might encourage them with Your word and with Your truth, that they may, O God, make the decisions that are appropriate based upon what they believe to be right, to be just and to be fair. we'll give You the glory and all the honor and all praise and we ask for these things in the name of Thy dear Son, Jesus Christ. Amen."
- Speaker Matijevich: "We will be led in the pledge of allegiance by Representative Shirley Jones."
- Jones, et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Thank you, Gary. Thank you very much. Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Piel."

Piel: "No excused absences today, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Currie, excused absences?"

Currie: "Representative Capparelli is still out."

Speaker Matijevich: "Let the record show Representative

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Capparelli is excused due to his injuries. Take the Attendance Roll Call. There are 117 Members being present, we do have a quorum. On the Order...On your regular Calendar on page 19 on the Order of Consent Calendar Third Reading, Second day, we are going to read those Bills and vote for passage on three Bills that are on Consent Calendar Third Reading, page 19 of your Calendar. Clerk will read the Bills."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar Third Reading, Second Day.

 Senate Bill 55. A Bill for an Act concerning fire protection. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 746.

 A Bill for an Act to amend the Voluntary Payroll Deduction Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1428. A Bill for an Act to amend the Wildlife Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, 'Shall these Bills pass?'

 Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish?

 Clerk will take the record.
- Barger: 'aye' I think it's still open.
- Speaker Matijevich: "On this question there are 116 'ayes', no'nays' and these Bills having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. Tony Young in the Chair"
- Speaker Young: "We will now go to the Supplemental Agreed #1 list. We'll read all the Bills for the Third time. If there are any Bills on Supplemental Agreed #1 that require an Amendment, after all the Bills are read for a Third time, we will go back and pick up those Bills requiring an Amendment. So, if any Bills require an Amendment, please come to the front of the Chamber and let us know. Senate Bill 133, Representative Breslin. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 133. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to State Monies. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 223, Representative Ewing. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 223. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Secretary of State. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 237, Representative Keane."
- Clerk O'Brien: Senate Bill 237 had been removed from the Agreed List."
- Speaker Young: "Senate Bill 238, Representative Cullerton. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 238. Senate Bill 238, Cullerton. A
 Bill for an Act regarding certain aspects of corporations.
 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: Hold the Bill on Third. Representative Cullerton, do you have an Amendment for this Bill?"
- Cullerton: "Yes. I want to take it off the Agreed List and put it on Second Reading and I'd like to keep it there until I...the term in which Amendments I wish to adopt."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 238 is removed from the Agreed List."
- Speaker Young: "Senate Bill 238 will be placed on the Order of Second Reading."
- Cullerton: "Thank you."
- Speaker Young: "Senate Bill 253, Representative Hoffman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."...
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 253. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 265, Representative Churchill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 265. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Senate Bill 307, Representative Steczo."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 307. A Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "I think we have an Amendment for Senate Bill 307.

 Representative Steczo moves that Senate Bill 307 be returned to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment.

 By leave...by use of the Attendance Roll Call, leave is granted. Second Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is language that's been worked out between the beer distributors and the county people and the Liquor Control Commission defining special event retailer. And I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 307. On that question is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Floor Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker Young: .. "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 is an agreement between the beer distributors, counties and Municipal League and it indicates that where these counties apply for these special retailer licenses, those counties shall comply with the operating rules of municipal ordinances and

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- it is agreed to language. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2. On that question is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall floor Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Young: "Third Reading. The Bill will be returned to the Order of...Okay. Representative Steczo moves for leave that Senate Bill 307 be considered today on Third Reading with the Supplemental Agreed Bill list. Does he have leave? By use of the Attendance Roll Call, leave is granted. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 307. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 467, Representative Kubik."
- Clerk O"Brien: "Senate Bill 467. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to protect and preserve the taking of aboriginal records. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 494, Representative Giorgi."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 494. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 513."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 513. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 611, Representative Brunsvold."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 611. A Bill for an Act to amend the Recreational Use of Land and Water Areas Act. Third

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 638, Representative Breslin."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 638. A Bill for an Act to amend the Solid Waste Planning and Recycling Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 667,
 Representative Zickus."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 677 (sic, 667). A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Senate Bill 707, Representative Flowers."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 707. A Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 775,
 Representative Mautino."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 775. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the concentration and storage of radioactive waste. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 794, Representative Giorgi."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 794. A Bill for an Act to amend the Clinical Psychologists Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 938, Representative Balanoff."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 938. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 1043, Representative Breslin."
- Clerk o'Brien: "Senate Bill 1043. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 1050,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Representative White."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1050. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning the expansion of child care facilities.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Senate Bill 1072, Representative Steczo."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1072. A Bill for an Act concerning the regulation and practice of professional engineering.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 1197, Representative Balanoff."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1197. A Bill for an Act to amend the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Senate Bill 1296, Representative Kirkland."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1296. A Bill for an Act to amend the Retailer's Occupation tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 1311, Representative Granberg."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1311. A Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 1324,
 Representative Myron Olson."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1324. A Bill for an Act to amend the Boiler and Pressure Vessel Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Hold the Bill on Third. Senate Bill 1346, Representative Hoffman."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1346. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning college financial assistance. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Are there any Members in the Chamber who have a Bill on the Order of Supplemental Agreed #1 that requires an Amendment? Representative Flowers on Senate Bill 707.

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Senate Bill 707. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of 707?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 707 appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Flowers now moves that Senate Bill 707 be returned to the Order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Does she have leave? Leave is granted by use of the Attendance Roll Call. Second Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 Amendment #1 is identical to the Bill and it's just putting
 the Bill in its proper form under the Health Finance Reform
 Act and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to
 Senate Bill 707."
- Speaker Young: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Floor
 Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 707 and on that question the
 Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Oh, I'm sorry, have I been recognized? Alright. I
 was waiting to be recognized and saw the light on but I
 didn't think anyone said anything. Does this impact or
 change the expected cost? This Amendment #1?"
- Flowers: "The cost? Does the Amendment change the cost?"
- McCracken: "Yeah. The cost of conducting the study, or...gathering the information?"
- Flowers: "No. The Amendment merely puts the Bill under the right
 Chapter and it was placed under the...it's now, with the
 adoption of the Amendment, it's now placed under the Health
 Finance Reform Act and this was something that me and
 Representative Klemm have worked on."
- Mccracken: "Alright, thank you."
- Speaker Young: "Further discussion? The Lady moves that Floor
 Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 707 be adopted. All those in
 favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Representative Flowers now moves that Senate Bill 707 be considered today and that it be returned to the Order of Third Reading. Does she have leave? Leave is granted by use of the Attendance Roll Call and 707 will be returned to the Supplemental Agreed list #1 on the Order of Third Reading. Would you read the Bill again, Mr. Clerk?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 707. a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Health Finance Reform Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Are there any other Members in the Chamber who have a Bill on the Supplemental Agreed #1 list that Amendment? Representative requires an Representative Jack Kubik. Representative Kubik, do you have an Amendment to Senate Bill 467? Representative Senate Bill 1311, Representative Granberg. Granberg. Senate Bill 1311, Representative Kurt Representative, do you have an Amendment for this Bill? Mr. Clerk, what Order is Senate Bill 1311 on?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1311 appears on the Order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Granberg now moves that Senate
 Bill 1311 be returned to the Order of Second Reading for
 purposes of Amendment. Does he have leave? Leave is
 granted. Second Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Mautino."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Mautino on Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1311."
- Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, I think they were numbered wrong. I think Representative Granberg's is supposed to be one and mine is supposed to be two."
- Speaker Young: "Mr. Clerk."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Mautino: "May we renumber those, please?"

Clerk O'Brien: "#1, the LRB number on #1 is 8605025."

Mautino: , "That's #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "GLcdam01. The only difference in two in the LRB number are the initials. GLtcam."

Mautino: "That's one. That's #1."

Speaker Young: "Representative Mautino on Floor Amendment #1."

Mautino: "Wait, We've...we made an error in filing them. The last number, the CLtcam01, which lists down there as Amendment #2 is really Amendment #1. Would you please remake it. Granberg has Amendment #1, I have Amendment #2."

Speaker Young: "Representative Mautino asks leave of the body to change the Amendments on their face. Does he have leave?

Leave is granted and the change will be made on the face of the Amendment. So Representative Granberg on Floor Amendment #1."

Granberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members οf the House. Amendment #1 makes four changes to the Bill. First of all, in deference to the other side of the aisle, it increases the second class winemaker license, the limit, from 40,000 gallons to 50,000 gallons. That was at the request of Representative Wojcik. The other change it makes, deletes reference to coasters and trays, which is the same provisions as House Bill 2324 sponsored by Representative Mautino which passed the House 108 to nothing 3 weeks ago. That Bill was killed in the Senate, so we're incorporating those provisions into this Senate Bill. It also amends the language to allow the sale of alcoholic beverages at State parks throughout the State of Illinois. That was at the request of the Department of Conservation and it keeps the original language of Senate Bill 1311, which is the mandatory penalties for illegal sales importation of

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- alcohol from out of the state. I would move that Amendment #1 be adopted."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Granberg moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1311. On that Motion is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr.Speaker. Has the Sponsor of
 Amendment #2 addressed the slight problem of a grammatical
 error in that Amendment?"
- Speaker Young: "The only problem that's been addressed was the numbering problem. They have been renumbered. Further discussion on Floor Amendment #1? Hearing none, the Gentleman moves that Floor Amendment #1 be adopted to Senate Bill 1311. All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Mautino."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Mautino on Floor Amendment #2."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 proposes two new sources of funding for the State Tourism Advertising Fund, which is administered by DECCA. The funding sources are established on January first of 1990, which is 5 percent of the excess current calendar year liquor excise tax over the preceding calendar year. The same provision under the excise sales tax revenue and the same provision on the hotel tax revenue, beginning on 1—1 of '90. Basically, what this does is, provides funding, which will come from the sales tax growth. It is not a new tax and...in those three areas. This is the initiative of the Hotel and Restaurant Association and it provides for those funds to be generated and used for the tourism promotion in the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

State of Illinois and I move for its adoption.
Representative (sic) DeAngelis had this Bill in the Senate.
It kind of got messed up in the final days of the Session.

I move for its adoption as this Amendment #2 to 1311."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor

Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1311 and on that question the

Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yeah. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Young: "He indicates he will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Mautino, I was a little confused about where this money would go if we don't pass this Amendment.

Where would the money go if we don't pass this Amendment?

Does it go to General Revenue Fund or...?"

Mautino: "This... this... if we don't pass this Amendment, which
is the excess sales tax and the Tourist and Promotion Fund
remains the same and it's on the Hotel and Motel tax in
Cook County and downstate."

Cullerton: "So, the answer is General Revenue?"

Mautino: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Do you know how much the 5 percent would be?"

Mautino: "But it's 5 percent of the excess. It..."

Cullerton: "Yeah. Do you know how much the 5 percent of the excess would be?"

Mautino: "I guess it could be in the area of about a million dollars, maybe a million and a half."

Cullerton: "I'm sorry. How much?"

Mautino: "Million or a million and a half, maybe. I don't know what the exact gross would be. That's my estimated guess."

Cullerton: "Okay. Then the purpose would be to take this money and put it into, exclusively, for the advertising for State tourism?"

Mautino: "Yes, Sir."

Cullerton: "Then what does it say about DECCA?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Mautino: "Well, they administer the...the fund now and from that fund we make...for example, Mr. 'DePorter' and the Chicago Hotel-Motel Association last year came in for funds under that formula for international advertising. And what this does is address the growth of those funds that are generated in Cook County."

Cullerton: "Okay. I see. And this would provide, then, more money for advertising tourism?"

Mautino: "Yes, Sir."

Cullerton: "How much do we spend now on tourism advertising?"

Mautino: "Well, from this fund that's generated through the Hotel-Motel tax, it's about 5 to 6 million dollars. Overall, statewide, through the tourism councils, et cetera, I would imagine it's somewhere close to 12. We have one of the best tourism budgets and I think it's reflected in the fact that a lot of tourists are spending dollars in the State of Illinois. We're matching Michigan and Kentucky and Tennessee and Wisconsin and doing a much better job, I think."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Young: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor vield?"

Speaker Young: "He indicates he will yield for a question."

Black: "Thank You. Representative, just one question. Was there not to be an Amendment on this that kicked in in 1990 that DECCA might have requested because after that time, we may be collecting the entire sales tax, which will reduce the locals convention visitors bureau share?"

Mautino: "This is the excess provisions that kick in after

January first of 1990. So the answer to your question

would be yes."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Black: "Alright, thank you."
- Speaker Young: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Mautino and I have discussed this Amendment. It's well intentioned, serves a very good purpose and I would ask that we adopt

 Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1311."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment failed in Revenue... House Revenue Committee for a good reason. We have now funded 10 million dollars for the tourism and other such things with the excess money going into General Revenue. I think it was the consensus in the Revenue Committee to keep that structure the way it is now and I would join with those colleagues who ask us to vote against Amendment #2."
- Speaker Young: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau,
 Representative Mautino to close. Oh, I'm sorry
 Representative. Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I think the Amendment is technically flawed. I think it takes five..."
- Speaker Young: "Just one second. Excuse me. Excuse me,
 Representative Currie. Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "That flaw in that Amendment has been pointed out. I would like to withdraw the Amendment, please, from this legislation. Please withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker Young: "Alright. Withdraw Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Mr. Speaker, could we have... I'd like to ask leave of this House to put Senate Bill 1311 back on Supplemental #1,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the Agreed Bill list."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman requests leave to put... that list is going to be voted upon today, Representative. Do you want it voted upon today? Alright. The Gentleman asks leave of the body to put Senate Bill 1311 on Supplemental #1 and return it to the Order of Third Reading. Does he have leave? By use of the Attendance Roll Call, leave is granted and Senate Bill 1311 is returned to Supplemental #1 on the Order of Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1311. a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Young: "The Bill will be held on Third. Are there any other Members in the Chambers who have a Bill the Agreed Bill list, that requires an Supplemental #1, Amendment? We are now about to vote on Supplemental Agreed list #1. The voting will remain open until 12 o'clock. The change of vote slips will be distributed shortly, so a Member can change their vote on any individual Bill or Bills, but we are now voting on Supplemental Agreed #1. All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is final passage. This is Voting is open. Supplemental Agreed list #1. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Mr. Clerk, take the record. We will now go to the Order of Supplemental #2, Second Reading. We will read all the Bills for a Second time, move them to Third. This Order will not be voted upon until tomorrow. If any Bills require an Amendment, we can do it now. Senate Bill 127, Representative Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 127. A Bill for an Act to amend the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Young: "Are there any Motions filed?"

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions or Amendments filed."
- Speaker Young: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 219, Representative Sieben."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 219. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 There are no Committee or Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Young: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 895, Representative Williams. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 895. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee or Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Young: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1075, Representative Ronan. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1075. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Young: "Are there any Motions?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed and no further Amendments."
- Speaker Young: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1325, Representative Churchill. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1325. A Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. There
 are no Committee or Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Young: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1330, Representative McAuliffe. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1330. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed. Floor Amendment #l is being offered by Representative McAuliffe."
- Speaker Young: "Representative McAuliffe on Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1330. Representative McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, this just creates an immediate effective

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

date for the Bill."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1330 and on that question is there any discussion? Hearing none the question is, 'Shall Floor Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Young: "Third Reading. Is there any Member in the Chamber who has a Bill on the Order of Supplemental #2 on Third Reading that requires an Amendment? Any Member with a Bill on Supplemental #2, Third Reading, that requires an Amendment? Okay. We will now go to the Regular Calendar. We will start with State and Local Government, Second Readings. The Regular Calendar, State and Local Government, Second Reading. The Sponsors on this Order are; Novak, Williams, Ewing and Black. The first Bill is Senate Bill 249, Representative Novak. Out of the record. Senate Bill 890, Representative Williams. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1096, Representative Ewing. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1096. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Young: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed and no further Amendments."

Speaker Young: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1262, Representative Black. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1262. A Bill for an Act to amend the Agricultural Fair Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Young: "Third Reading. Now go to the Order of State and

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Local Government, Third Readings. This is Wednesday. There are only two days left. Bills that are taken out of the record today we may not get back to. The Sponsors on this Order are: Cullerton, Granberg, Terzich, Munizzi, Leverenz, Hartke, Laurino and Ronan. And Bugielski. first Bill on the Order is Senate Bill 8, Representative Cullerton. Out οf the record. Senate Bill Representative Granberg. Read the Bill... no. Out of the Senate Bill 243, Representative Terzich. Out of the record. Senate Bill 247, Representative Munizzi. of the record. Senate Bill 248, Representative Leverenz. Out of the record. Senate Bill 269, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 269. A Bill for an act to amend an Act in relationship to the Cook County Sheriff's Merit Board.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Young: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This Bill has been amended and basically what the Bill does right now is similar to House Bill 1489 which would require that the...civil procedures which require a court order for a private detective or licensed employee of a private detective agency to serve process. The Bill would require that the process be served in counties with a population of less than one million pursuant to a court order by a licensed or registered private detective. This Bill has been requested by the Sheriffs Association and the County Association, after having a number of complaints and scheduling and loss of revenue to the counties and I would move for your adoption."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Senate
Bill 269. On that question is there any discussion?

Hearing none the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 269 pass?'

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and one voting This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Bill 373. Representative Hartke. Out of the record. Senate Bill 922, Representative Laurino. Out of the Senate Bill 1258, Representative Madigan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1374, Representative Munizzi. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1375. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1415, Representative Ronan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1451, Representative Bugielski. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1451. A Bill for an Act in relationship to airports. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Young: "Representative Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate
Bill 1451 amends the Civil Administrative Code. It
authorizes the Department of Transportation to make loans
for airport facilities. Previously, the Department was
able to make grants to municipalities and airport
authorities for the renovation, construction, development
of airport facilities. This Bill now will add the words
'and loans'. They will be able to make loans instead of
the grants...grants or loans also."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate
Bill 1451. On that question, the Gentleman from DuPage,
Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Young: "He indicates he will yield for a question."

McCracken: "Representative, this appears to us to be a vehicle

Bill, although I understand there is a substantive change

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

allowing for loans. What is the intent of the Sponsor in...the Senate Sponsor?"

Bugielski: "The...I am not positive, but he said it...you know, if it does go into a Conference Committee, it's not going to be controversial. That's what he assured me of."

McCracken: "So the issue is actually what's being addressed in the Bill now?"

Bugielski: "As far as I know it is, Representative."

McCracken: "Do you know if Senator Lechowicz intends to concur in the Amendment?"

Bugielski: "I believe he does."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Young: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Young: "He indicates he will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "In answering the previous questions, you were given your...your...to your knowledge."

Bugielski: "That's to my knowledge, right now."

Cullerton: "Of course we don't have any control over what the Senator does."

Bugielski: "Right. But to my knowledge, you know..."

Cullerton: "And if he nonconcurs, there's a possibility that you might refuse to recede...with this Amendment."

Bugielski: "As far as I know, but, you know..."

Cullerton: "There's a possibility that it could go to a Conference Committee."

Bugielski: "There is a possibility, but, you know...the possibility is there, but..."

Cullerton: "There's no plan, there's no Conference Committee drafted yet, there's no...?"

Bugielski: "Not that I know of, right."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Speaker Young: "Representative McCracken, for what purpose do you rise? You've already spoken in debate."
- McCracken: "I assume the answers to my questions are no longer operative, is that correct?"
- Speaker Young: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bugielski to close."
- Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, we've heard the debate on it and I'd just ask for a favorable Roll Call. Thank you."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 1451. All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "...for a verification."
- Speaker Young: "Have all voted who wish? Representative McCracken requests a verification of the affirmative vote. Representative Bugielski requests a poll of the absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "Representative Capparelli is the only Member who is not voting."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Kulas, for what purpose do you
 seek recognition?"
- Kulas: "Leave to be verified, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Young: "Leave is granted. Do you want a poll of the
 affirmative vote?"
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the affirmative: Balanoff. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Van Duyne. White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Young: "Representative Novak requests leave to be verified. Novak. Representative Trotter requests leave to be verified. Representative Homer requests leave to be verified and Representative Wyvetter Younge requests leave to be verified. Questions of the affirmative vote?"

McCracken: "Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Young: "Representative Shaw in the rear of the Chamber requests leave to be verified."

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Young: "Representative Leverenz. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Sir, I'd like to change my 'no' vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Vote Representative Davis 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker Young: "Representative Ronan. Representative Al Ronan.

Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Keane. Oh, I'm sorry. Farley."

Speaker Young: "Representative Farley. Representative Bruce Farley. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Young: "Remove Representative Farley from the Roll Call.

Representative Frank Giglio? Representative Giglio? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

McCracken: "Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Young: "Representative Van Duyne. Representative Van Duyne, Leroy Van Duyne. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber?

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Young: "Representative Laurino. Representative Laurino.

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him. Representative Morrow requests leave to be verified."

McCracken" "Yes. Representative McNamara."

Speaker Young: "Representative McNamara."

McCracken: "He was in front of me, that's why I didn't see him.

Representative Terzich."

Speaker Young: "Representative Terzich. Representative Giorgiasks leave to be verified."

McCracken: "Yes. Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Young: "Let's finish with Terzich. Representative Terzich. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? He's in the Chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Young: "Representative Currie asks leave to be verified.

Representative Saltsman. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Lou Jones."

Speaker Young: "Representative Lou Jones. Representative Lou Jones. Is the Lady in the Chamber? How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove her. Representative Ronan has returned to

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the Chamber and votes 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Bowman."

Speaker Young: "Representative Bowman. Representative Saltsman has returned to the Chamber voting 'aye'. Representative Woods Bowman. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'ave'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Levin."

Speaker Young: "Representative Levin. Representative Levin. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Young: "Representative Breslin. Representative Peg Breslin... is in the Chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Brunsvold."

Speaker Young: "Representative Sutker asks leave to be verified."

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Young: "Representative Brunsvold is in his seat."

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo."

Speaker Young: "Representative DeLeo. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Balanoff."

Speaker Young: "Representative Balanoff...is in the Chamber."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Young: "Nothing further. Representative Jones."

Jones: "Speaker, I would like to be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Record Representative Jones as 'aye'.

Representative Shirley Jones votes 'aye'. Representative

Leverenz has returned to the Chamber and votes 'aye'.

Representative Turner, for what purpose do you seek

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

recognition? Representative Turner votes 'aye'.
Representative Bugielski."

Bugielski: "I'd like to put the Bill on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Young: "The Bill will be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. On this question there are 57 voting 'yes', 51 voting 'no', one voting 'present' and the Bill will be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. House (sic, Senate) Bill 370, Representative Hannig. Is Representative Gary Hannig in the Chamber? House...Senate Bill 370. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 370. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Farm Development Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Young: "Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Very briefly, this Bill as amended here in the House of Representatives, is really just a vehicle Bill or a shell Bill. It amends the Illinois Farm Development Act. There are a couple of proposals that are still around that both sides of the aisle are talking about on this proposal, but at this point there's really no agreement and so my feeling at this point is that it's best to send the Bill back to the Senate, put it in a Conference Committee and if we can reach some common ground we'll have this vehicle alive at a later date. So, the Bill itself, really though, has no substantive use, but I would ask that you would vote 'yes' and keep the Bill alive for further debate."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate
Bill 370. On that question, the Gentleman from DuPage,
Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Young: "He indicates he will yield for a question."

McCracken: "Is it your intention not to move the Bill in an absence of an agreement between both sides of the aisle?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Hannig: "Well Representative, to be quite frank with you, it seems that we who are trying to move the Bill have more support on your side of the aisle than on our side of the aisle sometimes. It would take, obviously, 6 Members of a Conference Committee and a Majority vote in both Chambers at some point, but I can't say for sure that it will be an agreed Bill."

McCracken: "Okay. I appreciate the candor. We...I opposition to the Bill. This is another vehicle Bill and we believe the intention may be to amend onto it the substance of Senate Bill 370, which had failed in the Executive Committee earlier. That has a substantial bond authorization increase and a cost to the State both as debt and as GRF funds. So I rise in opposition to this. have enough vehicles. If we are not going to meet our self imposed deadlines for passage of Bills, that's no reason to keep things alive merely in the hope that something is going to happen. I don't think we should be doing that. When we amended our rules this year, we let control remain of Conference Committees with the Sponsors. That was a bold step to limit the runaway use of Conference Committees. And now if we vote for this vehicle, all we're doing is turning our backs on that trend and going back the old way of doing business. I rise in opposition to this Bill and hope that my Republican colleagues will join

Speaker Young: "Further discussion? Representative Hannig to close."

Hannig: "Well yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very briefly, the Illinois Farm Development Board is not a partisan kind of organization and the...and the business of agriculture certainly is not any kind of partisan organization as well. In fact we find in this legislature that often times the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

political parties that we belong to mean very little when it comes to talking about agricultural issues. This Bill deals with some agricultural issues. There are problems still left on the table that are not yet resolved. this Bill in a Conference Committee it would If we put still have to be signed by six Members οf Conference...six out of ten. It would still come back to our body again for a majority vote and consideration and have to get 60 votes in the House and 30 votes in the Senate and be signed by the Governor ultimately before it would become law, so I don't think we're really giving away very much if we put this Bill in a Conference Committee Report. We allow an opportunity for some good things for farmers to still happen and if we kill the Bill we simply lose 998t opportunity, so I don't see any real big risk with moving forward with this as a Conference Committee Report. Both sides will be represented and as I said, this is generally not a partisan issue and I would ask for Members of the House to vote 'yes' on this proposal."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 370. On that question, all those in favor vote 'yes', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 77 voting 'yes', 39 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We will next go to the Order of Education. On... on the Order of Education Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 254, Representative Steczo. Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 254. A Bill for an Act in relationship to certification of teachers. Second Reading of the Bill.

There are no Committee Amendments."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Young: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker Young: "Representative Steczo on Floor Amendment #1."

Steczo: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #1 removes an exemption to the Open Meetings Act that was brought to our attention and as we discussed yesterday, all exemptions to the Open Meetings Act are being held back and going to be studied by a subcommittee, so I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 254. On that question is there any discussion? Hearing none the question is, 'Shall Floor Amendment #1 be adopted?' all those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Steczo and Didrickson."

Speaker Young: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Young: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Young: "Third Reading. We'll now go to the Order of Education, Third Reading. The Sponsors on this Order are:

Representatives Matijevich, Giglio, Curran, Williams, Flinn and Hoffman. We may not get back to this Order again. The first Rill is Senate Bill 112, Representative Matijevich.

Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 112. a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the requirements for admission to certain public institutions. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Young: "Representative Matijevich."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 112 is a Bill sponsored by the Illinois Vocational Association. Ιt relates to the minimum admission standards adopted by the Board οf Education to be implemented in the fall of 1993. Senate Bill 112 requires 15 units of high school course work as allowed by the Board of Higher Education, but allows up to 3 units of course work to be redistributed electives category or other existing categories. The Bill addresses the concerns of many that the minimum requirements for college admission are too stringent for students pursuing a specific career and through flexibility in the Bill, students can vary course work and pursue specific interests. Speaker, Members of the House, the Illinois Vocational Association has been in Springfield yesterday and today and we would honor them by the passage Senate Bill 112, which would include education as an elective. And I'd appreciate your support toward that end."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate
Bill 112. On that question the Lady from DuPage,
Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Our vocational education programs throughout this State have suffered from enrollments that have been declining and many other problems. And in fact, it is vitally important to our students, both those who are going to continue on to go to Higher Education as well as those who are going to conclude their education with a high school diploma to have those vocational education programs available to them. This Bill makes it possible for vocational education to be included as one of the electives that a student may choose to take in high school that would

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

be counted toward the admission requirements for admission to one of our public universities. I think it is an excellent Bill and I think that we ought all to support it. Thank you."

- Speaker Young: "Further discussion? Representative Matijevich to close."
- Matijevich: "We appreciate your support. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 112. On that question all those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Representative Rice, one minute to explain his vote."

Rice: "Personal privilege, if I can."

- Speaker Young: "One minute. Representative Rice on a point of
 personal privilege."
- Rice: "The Agreed List. Does it represent the Senate Bills or House Bills?"

Speaker Young: "Senate Bills."

- Rice: "Then is there a mistake on this that we received? Ain't they no House Bills? And it doesn't say Senate Bills."
- Speaker Young: "You are right, Representative, and there is a new list that will be distributed shortly."

Rice: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Young: Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and one voting having received the required 'present'. This BillConstitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 148, Representative Giglio. Out of the record. Senate Bill 187, Representative Curran. Out of the Record. Senate Bill 335, Representative Williams. Out of the record. Senate Bill 449, Representative Curran. Out of the record. Senate Bill 629, Representative Flinn.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Representative Monroe Flinn. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 629. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill." Speaker Young: "Representative Flinn."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, the State Community College Bill has been amended by an agreement with the local officials of the East St. Louis area and I don't know of any opposition, but basically what it does, it causes the Governor, within 60 days after he has signed the Bill into law that he appoints a new Board for the State Community College and that he...and likewise that they take over January 1, 1990 and operate the school for the next five years and they operate their present school in their present district. In the meantime, the students in that district will be able to attend Belleville Area College and at the end of that time, in 1994, there will be an election held and that election will have the people living in that district vote whether or not to keep the school and run it on their own by paying taxes themselves or to join the Belleville Area College at that time. That'll be the two choices they have. I know of no opposition at the time being, but will try to answer any questions and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate
Bill 629 and on that question, the Lady from St. Clair,
Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The Bill as described by Representative Flinn accurately talks about what the agreement is between all the parties. I think that it is important because the people will have a right to decide whether or not they want a district...a Community College District of their own or go into a different Community College District. I believe that this is the essence of

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- democracy, it's the essence of life and selfdeterminism, so I'm very happy with this solution."
- Speaker Young: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor please yield?"

 Speaker Young: "He indicates he will yield for a question."
- Ropp: "Representative, isn't this a bit unusual to have the Governor appoint the Board from throughout the state..."
- Flinn: "Let me interrupt you. Mr. Speaker, there's all kind of meetings going on around me and I cannot hear the question. Would you please call some order?"
- Speaker Young: "Could we have a little order in the House, please."
- Flinn: "Representative...please."
- Ropp: "First of all, I...what you're attempting to do, I think is very notable in attempting to correct an experiment, pilot program that had been going on for 20 years and my question is, normally, members of Community College Districts are elected within that district. Isn't the proposal that you are offering now, one that states the Governor appoints those people, at least until the second election?"
- Flinn: "No, that's incorrect. The Governor appoints within the district now and he will be appointing four of the members within the district and three outside the district. That's the only change in the appointment, but there will be a whole new board."
- Ropp: "Okay. But the three outside the district, is that unusual?"
- Flinn: "They can come from any place in the State and this will also open up that college to anybody in the state who would like to attend."
- Ropp: "Okay, but anybody throughout the state can attend a community college now if courses are not filled, isn't that

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

correct?"

- Flinn: "I still don't understand. Repeat the question, please."
- Ropp: "Well, I don't think the point is that anybody can attend that community college. They can attend any community college now if courses have not been filled by those within that district."
- Flinn: "That's right. But when we change the curriculum, there'll be a number of courses not offered there and therefor they'll be able to attend the next nearest college and the state will pick up the 50 percent difference of being a student outside the district. In other words they'll only have to pay tuition like every other student does."
- Ropp: "Okay. I think, to the Bill...that this is an excellent move towards attempting to resolve a situation that's been a bit of a problem for a number of years and hopefully this will get everyone on the right track so that those people in that area will have the opportunity to go to a good quality community college."
- Speaker Young: "Further discussion? Representative Flinn to close."
- Flinn: "I think everybody understands the Bill. I just ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 629. All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. At this time the Chair would like to take the time to recognize the newly appointed President of the Chicago Board of Education and the long time

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

President of the Chicago Urban League, Mr. James Compton. In the center aisle, the new President of the Chicago Board of Education. Senate Bill 960, Representative Hoffman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 960, Representative Hoffman? Out of the record. The next Order will be Insurance Bills, Third Reading. The first Bill on this Order is Senate Bill 97, Representative Lang. Out of the record. Senate Bill 577, Representative Shaw. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 577. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Shaw. Representative Shaw."
- Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House (sic, Senate) Bill 577 is a very simple Bill. It called for the...the reimbursement for clinical social workers and it's a Bill that's long overdue. It's a measure that's long overdue and what this does for...and this particularly helps downstate Illinois...and any downstate legislator should vote for 577. Because of the fact that these people serves a vital function with the mentally and...emotionally disturbed people and the clinical social workers all they asking for is to be reimbursed by the insurance companies where today they're not reimbursed and I ask for an 'aye' vote on 557 (sic, 577)."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate

 Bill 577. On that question, the Lady from DuPage,

 Representative Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 577 provides for the inclusion of licensed clinical social workers in the State Insurance Code as reimbursable providers of mental health treatment along with psychiatrists and physicians which are already

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

included in the Insurance Code as reimbursable providers. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with the increasing problems that the people of this State have encountered with drug and alcohol problems, it has become more and more important for people with those problems have some choice in what they will seek as a means of treatment of help. The fact is that in many areas of State there are no psychiatrists at all or there may be one psychiatrist serving such a large number of people that they're not even taking any new clients and therefor the only help available in many areas of this state, in the downstate portions in particular, is from clinical social It seems only fair that those people who have alcohol and drug abuse problems should be able to seek help and have that help reimbursable through their insurance. I also believe that making this reimbursable might encourage more people with those problems to seek help early, before the problems become severe. I think this also is an open market place kind of thing. The person who needs that help ought to be able to choose for himself or herself whether a psychiatrist, a physician or a clinical social worker is the most ideal kind of help that would be available. For all of those reasons I believe this is an excellent Bill, and that it ought to be supported. Thank you."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, stand in support of Senate Bill 577, which is the Senate version of the House Bill that was passed out of here earlier this Session. This alternate consumer choice provision is, I think, the recipient of a lot of misinformation. What I'd like to do is address the concerns, and in a portion of facts versus fiction, on this

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

legislation. One of the letters that my Insurance Committee received was from the AT&T...from Mr. Schlickner who has submitted a letter in support of experience in utilizing social workers to treat employees and families, and it has been a positive and beneficial association. In using the reimbursement for social workers through our insurance plans they have found that there was no increase in the cost of their insurance. By the same token, the 20 states that have enacted the legislation since 1977 have found that the clinical social workers remain 33 1/3 percent lower than those of psychiatrists and psychologists, under the Blue Shield of Maryland and the mandated benefit study that were completed last year. guess what we're saying is that manyof the business communities, business entities that are using this proposal and this choice provision have found it beneficial and in most cases cost savings. Which stands in support of the original concept that we may be saving our additional dollars by providing the lower cost provisions that would occur if 577 were to be enacted and I do stand in support."

Speaker Young: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Shaw, in introducing this Senate Bill, said that downstaters should feel privileged to support it. Representative, don't do us anymore favors. Insurance rates are already high enough and this is just going to raise them even higher. And, I don't know why you would want to come before the General Assembly and make a propos...give us a proposal, tell us you're doing us a favor and then have us go back home and try to explain why insurance rates are higher because another mandated

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

coverage that you want to...that you want to force upon the taxpayers of Illinois. I just don't understand the need for this issue to be before us. If indeed this coverage is so important, then the employee should go to their employer and say, 'Listen, next time...you're writing an insurance policy for us or you're sitting down with the agent representing the company, we want this kind of coverage and here are the reasons.' If indeed it's going to save as much money as you say it's going to do, then employers, retailers, wholesalers and others...manufacturers are going to be stumbling all over themselves trying to get this kind of coverage put in their policy and that will bring down rates; but forcing this coverage down their throats, mandated...every time you mandate coverage of an insurance policy, rates go up. That's as sure as the sun coming up tomorrow morning. This proposal will raise insurance Taxpayers and voters in your districts downstate rates. and in Chicago are going to be coming to your door saying, 'Why did you introduce and pass a proposal that raises our rates?' We ought to be talking about lowering insurance rates, increased competition, tightening the belt. And here you have another proposal that again robs from people who have the least to pay, the taxpayers and workers of Illinois. This is a bad Bill, it ought to be defeated and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Trotter."

Trotter: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Chairman (sic - Speaker),

Members of the House. I rise in support of this excellent

piece of legislation. Having been in the health care field

for the past ten years, I have personally seen the hard

dedicated work that these social workers have done in the

absence of psychiatrists and the psychologists. Especially

in Southern Illinois, in those rural areas, and we're

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

talking about access to quality health care. These social workers are needed. They're needed to be there to talk about the problems, not just the health problems but of the devastating effects of not having any income, not of having any kind of health care and just being destitute. These people work, they work hard and they should be compensated accordingly. I ask for a favorable vote for House Bill 577, (sic...Senate Bill 577)."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate Bill 577, is just one of many health care House. mandates which will increase the already heavy burden assumed by small business in providing health insurance to their employees. Make no mistake, requiring insurance policies to cover services for clinical social workers will add to the cost of health insurance for small businesses. The proponents of this legislation testified in House Insurance Committee, that clinical social workers provide over 60 percent of the mental health care delivered in the United States. How do small employers across this state assume the additional burden of paying for 60 percent of the mental health care delivered in this state without drastically increasing their premiums? They don't. you mandate a new provider you mandate higher utilization which results in higher prices. who are presently being treated for mental or emotional disorders by psychiatrists are not going to run out and find a clinical social worker to treat them. If this Bill passes, it will result in greater utilization of the mental, emotional coverage which means higher prices for health insurance for employees and employers. There will be no cost savings, only cost increases. I think it's

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

important for the Members of this House to understand there's nothing to prevent employers, unions...employees to negotiate on this issue. If the savings are there that they talk about, you would think there would be a mad rush to provide the coverage. Obviously there's something wrong or they'd be doing that. This is just another mandate which is one of the causes of the explosion of health care costs in this country...and I might add that when the House Bill...did not pass, when it was...before this Assembly. In any event we urge you to save small business and big business as well, from another mandated benefits which will increase the cost of health care and I urge a 'no' vote." Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Williamson, Representative Woolard."

Woolard: "I rise in support of this piece of legislation. I am a downstate Legislator and I believe that we have more to be concerned about than just the cost. First off. positively do not believe the argument that it will cost us more in our insurance premiums. As a small businessman who does provide insurance for his employees, I'm concerned about that, but at the same time I don't think that there's anything that justifies that the cost will increase because of additional people being available to the concerns of those people who are employees of ours. But at the same time, I think that the cost will be less than it is now with a psychiatrist psychologist that you may be seeing. But more importantly, and I think this is the thing that we should all concerned about, more importantly, we're going to be providing an opportunity to provide that service to some people who are not getting service today. If in fact you have the ability to pay, you can travel long many distance miles to accommodate yourself to a good psychiatrist, but

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

if in fact you do not have those dollars and you are trying to do it and meet it in some kind of a reasonable means as many of the people in southern Illinois are doing today, you have to accept and receive that help locally. And many of the counties that we represent in the Southern end of this state and in rural Illinois in general, do not have psychiatrists or psychologists even located within those counties that we represent, so we are providing an opportunity of service to those people we represent. I stand in support of this Bill. I thank the Sponsor for bringing it to us."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Regan." Regan: "Thank you, Madam...thank you, Mr. Speaker. got something for you, Tony. Members of the House. percent of group health insurance in the State of Illinois is self-insured. Why is it self-insured? Because more cost effective. Why is it more cost effective? Because they do not have to add the mandates from the State of Illinois, from this chamber, that keeps adding higher costs to the cost of health insurance. If we keep mandating benefits raising the costs of health insurance, employers will simply more and more go to individual, self-insured coverage and eliminate these benefits that you for the public anyway. Eventually, self-defeating what you're doing and there's no question about the cost in the matter. The cost health...will go up with the social workers. They say, 'well, they charge less money.' And don't get me wrong they do a good job and they charge less money, but all insurance programs limit the cost of psychologists and psychiatrist down to 50 percent of their fees or less. because of the fact that they charge less money its going to still raise the cost by extending coverage to more

60th Legislative Day

Lang:

June 21, 1989

people. Please vote against this Bill and save the cost of health insurance in the State of Illinois."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lang."

I rise in support of this legislation. This legislation deserves swift passage by this House. You know I...I find the comments of the opposition to be nonsense, some of I hate to use that word, but to say that using frankly. social workers which costs about a third less than other people providing the same service is going to raise the cost of insurance, makes no sense to me whatsoever. Using a social worker is a cheaper form of getting similar or the same or in some cases even better service. If we don't legislation, we're running the risk that pass insurance rates will go higher. This is an opportunity to lower insurance rates. This is an opportunity to provide choice to consumers that want to choose who should provide these types of services to them. This is an opportunity to lower costs. This is an opportunity to lower insurance rates. This is an opportunity to give people who have problems the chance to seek help to get them. There are people that can't afford to go to a psychiatrist, there are people whose insurance policies don't cover a psychiatrist to the amount that they should. This is an opportunity to...to raise the issue for the average person who would never think of going to a psychiatrist, who would like to go to a social worker to resolve his problem so he doesn't have the stigma, if you will, of going to a psychiatrist. This Bill will enable them to do that. This Bill will enable the person to get help for his problems, to get the help they need to resolve their emotional and psychological problems of the home, between families, whatever it may be, whether it be drug abuse or alcohol abuse, child abuse or

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

anything else that you can think of. We need to provide this help to the average citizen who can't afford a psychiatrist, so that we can truly say that we care about the mental health of the citizens of our state. Failing to pass this legislation will be a statement to the citizens of our state that we really don't care about their mental health. Failing to pass this legislation will be a statement to the citizens of our state that we really don't insurance rates go up, that we don't care that it costs them 50 percent more to go to a psychiatrist than it would a social worker to resolve the same problem. Let's help the citizens of our state. Let's not get involved a lot of rhetoric about insurance and about contracts and about negotiating. Let's deal with the people of the State of Illinois, the citizens of our state, and let's stand up for what's right one time and do what's right for the people that need this help from these social workers. urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no.' The opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the main question is put. Representative Shaw, to close."

Shaw: "Thank you...yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. But I think the previous speaker has said it all, and what this Bill is about is helping the people of this state who cannot help themselves. This is a good Bill. It's...as I said earlier, it's long overdue. And I'm asking for an 'aye' vote on House...on Senate Bill 577."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Bill 577. All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no.' Voting is open. This is final passage. Representative McNamara, one minute to explain his vote." "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It seems that mandate is the dirty word of the Session. This Bill does not mandate clinical psychologists or any other psychologists type of insurance. What it does, is it offers people a choice. That choice is that they can choose a cheaper form of mental health insurance such as clinical psychologists if they so choose and thereby save themselves, save the insurance company, and save this state a tremendous amount of dollars, and on top of that do good for all the people. I urge your 'aye' votes to go up on this board. you."

Speaker Young: "Representative Parke, one minute to explain his vote."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen I would quickly point out to you that the previous speakers have said that this is what's right, that we need to do what's right for the little guy, but let me tell you what's gonna happen. This cost of the health care in Illinois keeps going up and up and up and what you're doing is, that your gonna force people not to be able to afford insurance. You say you're gonna do what's right: what's right is for everybody in Illinois. We cannot afford to mandate these kind of benefits that are gonna cost tremendous amounts of money, because that is going to be reflected on the individual person who has to pay their own individual policy and that is an incorrect assumption. This is gonna fall directly on the medium size businessman and woman who will find that it is going to be too expensive to carry health care. gonna stop offering that benefit. We'll find more people

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

without health insurance and therefore they'll turn around and end up costing the State of Illinois more money to provide for those people that don't have their own insurance. We should vote 'no' on this, because it did not pass last..."

Speaker Young: "Bring your remarks to a close."

Parke: "Yes. The House Bill did not pass and only got 43 votes.

This should get no more than 43 votes and I think that it should not pass."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the I rise in support of Senate Bill 577, really for the reasons eloquently expressed by Representative Lang. I think that it is outrageous to misstate that this is an additional mandate. Right now, health insurance covers types of mental therapy by health care professionals, whether they be psychiatrists or clinical psychologists. This at a lower cost, and I'm emphasizing at a lower cost, than what is already available, will make therapy available to individuals seeking it at less cost than otherwise available. Their policies today make the available. This gives them the alternative to obtain that same therapy at less of a cost. This represents a cost savings, not a cost increase and clinical social workers are very talented, able, well-trained health professionals. So, I'd encourage an 'aye' vote..."

Speaker Young: "Bring your remarks to a close."

Preston: "This legislation is indeed overdue, it came up first some years ago and today is the day it ought to be passed and sent to the Governor. I encourage your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Young: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells, one minute to explain your vote."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the One point that was never brought up here today, is the medical side of having this kind of care. emotional disorders, as you all know, are directly related to physical disorders. In these instances, treatment of the body is necessary as well as treatment of the mind. Clinical social workers do not have the education and the training required to make those diagnoses and cannot treat physical disorders. So you go to this clinical social worker when they do a wonderful job. I'm the first one to say they do a good job, but they don't handle it all. Sometimes you have to have that doctor and a psychiatrist has the medical background to treat the body as well as the mind. There is nothing in this Bill that says you can't go to a clinical social worker. I mean right now, anybody in this state can go to a clinical social worker. The mandate is on insurance companies and we shouldn't be doing that in the State of..."

Speaker Young: "Bring your remarks to a close."

Parcells: "There is a mandate here. The mandate is that you're forcing all these insurance companies to give this coverage. Anybody in this State can go to a social worker right now if they want to. The difference is who's going to pay for it and you are mandating by doing this, and you may be hurting the very people you think you're helping because they may have a physical disorder that a clinical social worker is unable to detect. I encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

You know when we...we rewrote the licensing laws...remember
this...we ordinarily, very often grandfather people in, but

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

what we did with regards to social workers, we didn't grandfather anybody in. We said you're gonna have to take examinations, you're gonna have to show hours of experience, so that you are qualified. These are qualified people we are talking about. Why shouldn't the consumer have a choice. Why shouldn't those who are...live in rural areas say, 'I want to have a social worker who is here, who can provide me with the services.' We should let that consumer have a choice and you do that by voting 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Young: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Yes, to explain my vote. This is another case of a self-defeating attempt to impose from above the characteristics of essentially that are bargained between employers and workers of a particular collective bargaining agreement, whether insurance will be offered and if so under what terms. That already is being done. counterproductive, unnecessary. It will add costs to the people who can afford it least. You cannot continue to mandate to small employers how they are going to handle their relations between themselves and the workers. cannot afford to do it, and small workers(sic) are not people bred and raised on some different planet from your constituents, from your relatives even. Small employers are throughout our population, both Democrat and Republican, and you're doing violence to them. It is absolutely counterproductive. Mr. Speaker, if this appears to get the requisite number of votes, Ι verification."

Speaker Young: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House. This Bill is about interfering in a private

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

enterprise decision and in a private contract. It is not a matter of freedom of choice. There is nothing in the law now that prohibits insurance companies from including clinical social workers and their services reimbursement; In fact, many companies already do that their policies. This Bill seeks to mandate that, so that there is no choice, so that those people who are paying premiums have no choices to whether they...their premiums should be enough to cover this service. We should not be interfering in a private contractual relationship and we should not be interfering with the private enterprise This Bill is certainly well meant, but it is a system. misunderstanding of our role in this General Assembly and it should be defeated. Thank you."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, to explain his vote."

Black: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. I would...not to beat a dead horse, but, you know, let's focus on something. can't regulate the self-insured programs at the state level, thanks to the Federal Government. So, all you're really going to do on either side of the aisle, is you're mandating this on the small employer and on a program that already costs them so much money that many of them can't even afford now to pay the premiums. You know this isn't a bad idea as the previous speaker said, but why rather than mandate it, why don't we simply allow social workers to be covered under a policy that says you make the coverage available and if the employer and the employee want that and understand what it might do to the cost of the program, then they're perfectly able to do that. Other states have They haven't mandated it, they've just simply done this. said make the coverage available and the people will choose depending on whether or not they want to pay the price.

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- don't know why we in Illinois are so prone to mandate everything."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Black, bring your remarks to a close."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In conclusion you know it's not a bad idea, but it doesn't have to be mandated. And if...you persist in this and if it does get the requisite number of votes, you're really gonna hurt the small to medium employer, because we can't affect the self-insured program and that's where most of the big employers already are. So, I think some of you really need to rethink this and we can make it available, we simply don't have to mandate it. And I would urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman, to explain his vote."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Number of the previous speakers in debating this legislation have indicated that what we have is a situation where if we continue to move additional coverage on a mandatory basis under insurance programs, you're going to move people out of insurance programs into self-insurance. Now, this is a very common practice for larger public institutions as well as private corporations. So, in a sense what you're doing is we're diminishing the amount of resources available to help those people who are currently covered by nego..."
- Speaker Young: "Bring your remarks to a close, Sir."
- Hoffman: "We're talking about, what we're doing is diminishing the resources for those people who are already covered under agreement. There's no reason why this kind of thing can't be negotiated on an institutional basis."
- Speaker Young: "Representative McCracken, requests a verification of the Affirmative Vote. Representative Shaw, requests a

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Poll of the Absentees."

- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Representative McNamara and Representative Capparelli are not voting."
- Speaker Young: "Representative McNamara votes 'aye.' A Poll of the AffirmativeVote, please."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Balanoff. Barnes. Cowlishaw. Bowman. Countryman. Cullerton. Currie. DeJaegher. Dunn. Farley. Davis. Flinn. Flowers. Virginia Frederick. Giglio. Giorgi. Goforth. Granberg. Harris. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Hultgren. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Kirkland. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. Levin. LeFlore. Leverenz. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann, McNamara, McPike, Morrow, Munizzi, Preston. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. Weaver. White. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Steczo, requests leave to be verified. Representative Countryman, votes 'no.'

 Questions of the affirmative vote, Representative McCracken?"

McCracken: "Thank you. Representative Ronan?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Ronan? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Ronan? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Kulas?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Granberg, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Granberg: "Thank you. Representative McCracken, can I have leave
 to be verified?"

McCracken: "Yes."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Young: "Representative Granberg, has leave to be verified. Representative Kulas? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Kulas? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Leverenz? Ted Leverenz? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He's in the rear of the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Hicks? Larry Hicks? The Gentleman is in the rear of the chamber on the Republican side."

McCracken: "Representative Mautino?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Dick Mautino? Dick Mautino? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Mautino has returned to the rear of the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'."

McCracken: "I see Representative Giglio. Representative Stern?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Stern, is in her seat."

McCracken: "Representative Wyvetter Younge?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Wyvetter Younge? Representative Wyvetter Younge? Is the Lady in the chamber? How is she recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove her."

McCracken: "Representative Morrow?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Charles Morrow? Representative

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Morrow, is in the chamber. Representative Wyvetter Younge has returned and wants to vote 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Currie?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Currie is in her seat."

McCracken: "Representative Levin?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Levin? Representative Ellis Levin? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative DeJaeqher?"

Speaker Young: "Representative DeJaegher is in the chamber, by his seat."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Laurino? Representative Bill Laurino? Representative Martinez, asks leave to be verified."

McCracken: "I don't see him. Oh, alright, yeah."

Speaker Young: "How is Representative Laurino recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Giorgi, is in the..."

McCracken: "Alright, I see him. Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Young: "Representative DeLeo, is voting 'no'."

McCracken: "Representative McGann?"

Speaker Young: "Representative McGann, is at his desk."

McCracken: "Representative Steczo?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Steczo, had leave to be verified."

McCracken: "Representative White?"

Speaker Young: "Representative White? Representative Jesse White, is at the chamber by the side door."

McCracken: "I don't see him, where is he?"

Speaker Young: "Raise your hand, Representative White.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Representative McCracken doesn't see you."

McCracken: "Oh, yeah, okay. Representative Ropp?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Ropp, is voting 'no' and he's in his seat."

McCracken: "Representative Weaver?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Weaver? Representative Weaver?

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him. Representative McGann, would like leave to be verified. Representative Trotter, would like leave to be verified."

Mccracken: "Yes, yes. Representative Goforth?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Goforth? Representative Goforth?

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Young: "Remove him. Representative Flowers, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Flowers: "Leave to be verified, please."

Speaker Young: "Does she have leave?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Young: "Representative Flowers, has leave to be verified."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Young: "Nothing further. Representative Shaw. On this question there are 55 voting 'yes', 53 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. Representative Shaw requests Postponed Consideration. Senate Bill 1301, Representative Phelps.

Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1301, on page 19 of the Calendar, a

Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to health

care. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Young: "Representative Phelps on Senate Bill 1301."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

House. We would like to..."

Speaker Young: "Excuse me, Representative Phelps. Representative McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "There are many people in this chamber whose Bills have not been called the first time. This is the third time this matter has come up for Postponed...or for Third Reading. First he put it on Postponed Consideration..."

Phelps: "That is not true."

McCracken: "...Then he took it out of the record and now it's back again."

Phelps: "It's not true."

McCracken: "Let's go to the Bills that haven't been called already, first."

Speaker Young: "Representative McCracken, we're..."

McCracken: "You told people that if they had to take their Bills out of the record today, that you might not get back to them, yet you call this Bill repeatedly. Now, we're looking for a little justice. Call the Bills that haven't been called already."

Speaker Young: "Representative McCracken, you're well known for requesting the Chair to go straight down the Calendar.

We're going straight down the special orders."

McCracken: "Speaker. Speaker, you're going straight down the Calendar, because you made the Calendar. It bears no relationship to justice. You've put this on special orders of call, so it can be called repeatedly and we want Bills that haven't been already called, called for a vote.

You've already told people you may not get back to them if you have to take it out of the record."

Speaker Young: "Representative Phelps, on Senate Bill 1301."

Phelps: "It's a point of order, Speaker, first. Point of order."

Speaker Young: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "How long does it have to go on before anyone gets

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

You know, my job's to stand up here and offended? complain, so nobody listens to me when I complain. facts. Doesn't it offend anyone's sense of justice to have these Bills called repeatedly? There're Democratic Bills called repeatedly and you're telling us that if we take our Bills out of the record, they may never be called at all. It's bad enough that you use the special orders of business and subject matter call to take out of the record any Bill that offends your sense of whatever it is, now, it isn't bad enough that you do that, if Bills fail you just make them reappear by magic, they just reappear. Another vote. Another vote. But when it comes to our Bills, when it even comes to other Democratic Bills, if they're taken out of the record, they may never be called again. That is not just. That is not just. Defer the matter, if we have time at the end he can have another vote."

Speaker Young: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think we're looking for quick action on your part to stop the mechanism in which you're running this part...type of legislation without giving this side of the aisle a fair opportunity. Now, you rammed down a Chicago income tax down our throat, ninety-four million dollars out of the taxpayers of this state, now you get in the Chair as a Chicago Legislator and you start ramming down Bills without giving us a fair opportunity. I want to ask you, 'Where's the real Speaker in this House?' He hasn't been seen around this place for the last four days. Where is the real Speaker of this General Assembly? Does he exist? Is he anywhere around here? We want equity and fairness, Mr. Speaker, right now."

Speaker Young: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I have my turn at point of order, please, as Sponsor of the Bill? I placed this Bill,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Representative McCracken, on Postponed Consideration after one vote. It came up again and I was out of the chamber and it was taken out of the record. But, I have sat here day after day at 9:00 o'clock in my seat, punching a lot of people in 'present', when you people on that side of the aisle... That's right, just like you have, and also at the same time, time upon time, your Bills were taken out of the record, because people were no longer here. Now what is justice? Let's define it. Being on the job is one and I'm here, I'm ready to call my Bill. You vote it down or up. Once and so have you several times. I said that I acknowledge that, Tom. I said I'm calling it again and I would like to have the fair hearing on this Bill again. There are a lot of Members that were not present."

Speaker Young: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would just You know, we spent about twenty minutes suggest this. debating whether or not this Bill should be called. Why don't you just get up and order of business call for Postponed Consideration. We could do that on Friday afternoon. We're about ready to adjourn here. If he wants to call his Bill for a third time at that point in time I'm fine. But in the meantime that will have made sure that all of us on the House Floor, Republicans and Democrats alike, will have a chance to have our Bills called. We have probably two hundred Bills left on the Calendar, two hundred Bills that haven't even been called for the first time. Before he call Bills for a third time, let's call Bills on the first time, first. Do Postponed Consideration on Friday. Let's do the other Bills and be done with it."

Speaker Young: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

I think what some of the previous speakers have been trying to get across, Mr. Speaker, is in the past we have gone to Consideration Postponed, whether it be a Republican Bill, Democrat Bill, it didn't matter, but we covered all those at one time. This Bill has had a hearing, it failed. Fine, it's on Consideration Postponed, Mr. Speaker. some time we should cover all the Bills on Consideration Postponed, because that's one specific order of business. You know, a couple of the speakers on our side of the aisle, you know, brought up the partisanship. Ladies and Gentlemen, on the other side of the aisle this isn't partisanship, there are Bills of yours that are not being heard as well as Bills on this side. I don't care if Bills aren't called, but it's got to be fair to both sides of the aisle, and calling a person's Bill repeatedly on Third Reading for more than one vote is not fair to anybody the chamber. And so, Mr. Speaker, I would ask is that we go to a specific order, at a specific time Consideration Postponed and the people who have not had their Bills called on Third Reading, will have a due and fair chance. I think that's only fair and equitable to the entire Body."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to point out that we have got at least seven or eight more days that we're all going to have to work together and that's going to take some real team...teamwork, and so I would just ask the Chair and Members of both sides that we in fact remember that we have to work as a team and that we work together to try and make sure that everybody has the right to have their Bills heard, that the work of the people of Illinois is heard.

And one other thing aside; Representative Phelps has got a

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Bill that obviously is important to him and I would ask that the heat of this debate does not reflect on Representative Phelps' Bill and that it's given due consideration based on whether or not it's proper and good for all of us. So, I would just ask that on behalf of Representative Phelps, that once his Bill is called, that we just address that and base our votes based on the merit of that Bill."

Speaker Young: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would want to request... May

I take the Bill out of the record, please? Will that be
okay, Representative Tate?"

Speaker Young: "You are out of order, Repre...Shut Representative Tate off, he's out of order. Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "I'd like to request to take the Bill out of the record, but with that, I'm personally going to be logging, I'm going to request the Speaker log those Bills that are called up, for not the first, but the second time they are taken out of the record, because someone does not want to call the Bill at that time for whatever reason. That's fair."

Speaker Young: "Out of the record. Representative Tate."

Tate: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I guess I rise on a point of order. It's one thing to call Bills two or three times in a week, I guess it's another...another issue is, whether we're going to acknowledge people when they have their lights on. And, I would admonish you, Mr. Speaker, that I have had my light on for the last ten minutes. You saw my light, and to just tell me that I don't have an opportunity to represent the constituents that elected me to come down here, I don't think you'll call that fair play. Do you?"

Speaker Young: "Representative Tate, while Representative Phelps

was attempting to take the Bill out of the record, you were

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

screaming without being recognized so that the Body couldn't hear Representative Phelps take his Bill out of the record, which is what the Republican guest was..."

Tate: "Because Mr. Speaker, I had my light on, though. I had my light on like every other Member. Now I have a right to be heard, so I would just ask you to give this side some fairness in this process. You know, whether it be calling a Bill or recognizing a Member, we're entitled to that. We represent the same number of people that you represent. Now don't abuse that Chair."

Speaker Young: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies, Gentlemen of the House. What we're actually witnessing is a disrespect for this Chair and I'm a bit fed up with it. Now, we're suppose to be adults in here and there are Bills to be heard, and those of you who want to play games, go outside and play ball, because we're in here to pass some Bills. think Representative Phelps' Bill should have been heard and I think the game these people are playing disrespecting the Chair has to stop. And as far as that tax increase...as far as the Demo...Chicago Democratic increase, it's at the Governor's suggestion. But. for those people on the other side of the aisle who feel that they can continue to disrespect the Chair, I think they should be in for a rude awakening and I think that their switches should be turned off if they're about the business down the business of House slowing the Representatives. Now, there are people in here who have Bills that they want called and they want passed. it's a beautiful day outside and if you want to play games, go out there and play ball."

Speaker Young: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, the previous speaker spoke about

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

playing ball and on that topic, I just want to mention that last night during the half time of the Illinois Express basketball game, there was in fact indeed a ball game, Republican Legislators against Democratic Legislators and the score in that game was 14 for the Republicans and 7 for the Democrats. A real good game."

- Speaker Young: "We've been advised...for the information of the Body, we've been advised that there is a cable television cameraman filming these proceedings right now at the request of Representative Daniels. The next Bill...the next order is Civil Law, Second Reading. The first Bill on that order is Senate Bill 68, Representative Cullerton. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 68, a Bill for an Act in relation to the definition of death. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Young: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Cullerton, on Floor Amendment #1."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment was suggested in Committee. We indicated we would add to the definition, 'brain stem'.

 That's what the Amendment does and I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor

 Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 68, and on that question, the

 Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Young: "Indicates he will yield for a question."

McCracken: "Now, let me..."

Cullerton: "You're opposed to the Bill, but you should be for the Amendment. The Amendment expands the definition so as to

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

make it similar to Representative Stern's Bill. This Amendment...this Bill came over from the Senate and they didn't take care of the situation where...they didn't require the brain stem to be dead and this is what this Amendment does."

- McCracken: "Would this make this Bill identical to Representative Stern's Bill?"
- Cullerton: "Identical to the...in the definition section. I think the other thing that this Bill does is, that her's didn't, is to cross-reference this definition to the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act. In terms of the definition of that portion which is a new Act, which to..."

McCracken: "It's identical."

Cullerton: "It's identical right."

McCracken: "Okay. Alright. Thank you."

- Speaker Young: "Further discussion? There being none,
 Representative Cullerton moves that Floor Amendment #1 to
 Senate Bill 68 be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the
 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Young: "Third Reading. We'll now go to the Order of
 Civil Law, Third Reading. First Bill on this order is
 Senate Bill 93, Representative Martinez. Read the Bill,
 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill#93, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act requiring the attachment of pamphlets about fetal alcohol syndrome to marriage licenses. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Martinez."
- Martinez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

 Senate Bill 93 amends the Marriage and Dissolution of

 Marriage Act. It requires the county clerk to provide a

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

pamphlet describing fetal alcohol syndrome with each marriage license. It requires the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse to develop and publish such pamphlets. This Bill received... Proponents of this legislation maintain that should such pamphlets prevent just one child from being born with fetal alcohol syndrome, the cost of publication and distribution would be offset by the amount of money saved by not having to institutionalize the otherwise affected child at state expense. I ask for your favorable vote on this critical issue. Thank you."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 93. On that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 93 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 109 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be Senate Bill 742, Representative Sutker. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 742, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Young: "Representative Sutker."

Sutker: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill amends the Condominium Property Act to provide for more efficient operation of the management companies and the associations involved in condominium ownership. It clarifies the obligation of the unit owners; it provides for annual budgets which sets aside sufficient money for reserves or repairs to condominiums; it provides for adequate notice to all unit owners of matters before the Board of Directors, signifying that there be thirty

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

days notice of such action; it deletes certain requirements that have been onerous over the last period of years which required two-thirds vote of the management association for certain actions and now requires majority vote; it also provides for flexibility in investment accounts, provided that adequate records are kept and maintained with respect of each association. I urge its passage and ask for your affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 742. On that question is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 742 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 763, Representative Barnes. Out of the record. Senate Bill 927. Representative Shirley Jones. Representative Jones? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 927, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Young: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 927 the holder of the property who knows or has reason to know that a potential beneficiary caused the death of the deceased shall fully cooperate with the law enforcement authorized in the investigation of the death. I would ask for a favorite vote."

Speaker Jones: "The Lady has moved for passage of Senate Bill 927. On that question is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 927 pass?' All

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 929, Representative Cullerton. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 929, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning adopted children. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes. I was going to take it out of the record, but
 I'm intimidated so I better call it. I'd like to bring it
 back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."
- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman asks leave to return Senate Bill 929 to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Leave is granted. Second Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is very similar to a Bill that we passed out of the House dealing with the issue of adopted children and whether or not they shall inherit under a will that is silent as to any specific individual adopted children. And what the Bill says is that for any instrument that was executed prior to 1955, September 1st, 1955, the child who is an adopted child shall be presumed that the child should take just as if that child was a...was a natural born child. We also require that the fiduciaries are not obligated to determine if an adopted has become a taker under the instrument, unless he or she receives notice that the child is that, and then including

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

a sworn statement that is filed within ninety days of the instrument, or by February 1st, 1990, whichever is later. So, this Amendment I believe is supported by those who originally supported passing the House Bill. I think it's a good public policy. There are many children who are now discovering that they...they are not taking under a will when their adopting parents intended them to take, so that's the purpose of this Bill and I'd appreciate adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McPike, in the Chair.

Representative Hultgren on the Amendment."

Hultgren: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPIke: "Yes."

Hultgren: "John, I wasn't able to hear everything you said, but
I'm specifically concerned whether this Amendment would
allow the adopted child to then also inherit from the
natural parent. Does it address that issue?"

Cullerton: "For the adopted child to..."

Hultgren: "To also inherit from the natural parent. Does the Amendment address that issue?"

Cullerton: "No. That was another Bill which I think we passed out of the House, that dealt with the issue of if an adopted child...if a child is adopted by a...someone other than a natural parent, should they be able to take from the natural parent. What the Bill said was that they 'yes, they could', but that's another issue."

Hultgren: "But that's not addressed in this Amendment?"

Cullerton: "No."

Hultgren: "Thank you, very much."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 929, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning adopted children. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative...Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment became the Bill. The Amendment that we just adopted and just debated, so I move the passage of the House Bill. Be happy to answer any questions if there are any further remaining questions."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. Senate Bill 929 having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. It's the Chair's intentions to go to the Approp. Bills, so if Representative Mays and Representative Ryder and others could come to the floor, we would appreciate it. As soon as they are here we will start on that. On State and Local Government appears Senate Bill 8. Out of the record. Senate Bill 240, Representative Granberg. Out of the record. Senate Bill 243. Representative Terzich. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 243, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create sanitary districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Water Reclamation Bill which transfers the function from the Civil Service Board to the Director of Personnel in connection with veterans' preferences in

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

employment. And also, Amendment #1 provides for a salary adjustment for the newly elected commissioners in 1991 of approximately \$2,000. It amounts to \$6,000 and the adjustment amounts to 2.8 percent annually over a four year period and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill... Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to the Bill. This would be a pay raise for members of the Chicago water reclamation district. I believe that this is something that we should be considering very carefully and I think that there's not been a case adequately made for this. I rise in opposition."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells, on the Bill."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also rise in opposition to this Bill. I tried to amend it so that those salary increases would be no greater than our own Speaker and our own Minority and Majority Leaders, but the Metropolitan Sanitary District is going to get increases considerably higher than those salaries, and from living in that area I can tell you they don't work as hard as our people here do, and unfortunately my Amendment was not accepted and these are going to be very large increases that I think are unjustified. And I would suggest a 'no' vote on SB243."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Anyone who doesn't...is unaware of how hard members of the water reclamation district work, simply should look into it much further. These people are not only responsible for raising and spending vast sums of money in the hundreds of millions of dollars, but are required to operate the water reclamation district which has wide ranging responsibilities throughout the district. These

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

people work hard, they are incredibly underpaid. I think they're paid something like \$32,000 a year, something in that neighborhood, which is highly inadequate for anyone with that kind of responsibility for raising and administering those kinds of funds for the people throughout a very large water reclamation district. work hard, they're conscientious, they know their job and how to get it done, they don't work by way of legislation or government by news release, they work hard at their desks doing the work in a responsible manner with a great deal of integrity. They deserve a raise. This is the only way they can get it. We shouldn't be afraid of our shadows and afraid of looking in the mirror and afraid of looking under rocks. We should stand up and do what's right this governmental unit as well as for others that come before us for similar purposes, for pay raises. This...the people on the water reclamation district deserve raise, they deserved it last year and the year before and they didn't receive it. This is the opportunity to do what's right and I encourage your 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich, to close."

Terzich: "Yes. The basic statement about a substantial increase as I mentioned before, that this...the last commissioner's salary increase was in 1985 and for those elected in 1986. This adjustment is for the newly elected commissioners in 1990 and it doesn't apply to current members, it only applies to newly elected members. It provides for a salary adjustment over their six year term of less than 3 percent and in addition to that, it...the total amount is \$6,000, is the total expenditure, annual expenditure for the pay raise, and if they think a \$6,000 in a billion dollar agency is a lot of money, we're in the wrong business. So, I would appreciate your support of this legislation."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 243 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Kulas, to explain his vote."

Kulas: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, one of the things we keep forgetting is what happens when you flush that toilet. Yeah. You don't think about it. The water reclamation district has one of the world class systems in the whole civilized world. We're talking about a little pay raise for people who take care of a lot of business. This isn't something that you should be afraid to vote for. You're not voting for a pay raise for yourself or anyone else. This pay raise is long overdue for these people. It's for people who are going to be elected in 1990 and I think we should have some more 'green' votes on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Put the Bill on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker McPike: "The Bill will be placed on Postponed Consideration. We're now...the Chair now intends to go to the Appropriations Bills. The Chair would like to remind the Members on Supplemental #1, to please turn in the yellow slips on your desks. We're not going to close the vote at this time, but just want to remind you to turn those in. Alright, beginning on the Calendar on page 8, Appropriations Bills only, appears Senate Bill 12, Representative Leverenz. Bills on Second Reading. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 12. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 37, Representative

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Keane. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 37, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Auditor General. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1, by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz, moves to table
 Amendment #1. Any discussion? Question is, 'Shall
 Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed
 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's tabled.
 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representatives

 Deuchler, Flowers and Didrickson."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Deuchler. Out...withdraws.

 Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 163, Representative Leverenz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 163, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Comptroller. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3 lost in Committee. Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Currie."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This would appropriate some money to the Public Broadcasting

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Council to add hardware to improve the 'UP links' for both public radio and public television."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 184. Out of the record. Senate Bill 229. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 229, a Bill for an Act to making appropriations to various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. This would make a transfer of a million, one hundred sixty—nine thousand, six hundred.

And if there are any questions I'd answer those. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 230, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 278. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 278, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations for education. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #2, by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder. Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. I move to table

Amendment #2...Committee Amendment..."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's tabled. Any further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment...I mean, a Motion to table

Amendment #3, offered by Representative Mays."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Yes. I move to table Committee Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative LeFlore."

Speaker McPike: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "I'd like to table Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #4. Further
 Amendments?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Number#5 simply makes some reductions so we can fit in some Amendments later on. This has been signed off on by the Board of Higher Education. I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5. Hearing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative LeFlore."

Speaker McPike: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Withdraw #6, please."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw #6. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative LeFlore."

Speaker McPike: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Withdraw #7."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #7. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This adds money back from the minority recruitment retention and achievement programs that was done by #3, but this is...fits into the Bill in a technically correct way, so I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative LeFlore."

Speaker McPike: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #9 is technical nature and correction...corrected mistake that was made in Committee. The Amendment changed the line item to read 'minority recruitment and education achievement', instead of just 'minorities recruitment'. This will allow the money for both the higher education expending of cooperation program of three million dollars and the program recommended by the Joint Committee on Minority Student Access to Higher Education to four million point dollars. The Joint Committee money should be directed...dispersed and grants to the four university system various programs, directed through the BNH, for the bottling...of teacher training program. universities and high school, the programs, the summer academic enrichment programs, the student recruitment and retention model programs and community based education organization and program related to the Minority and Engineer and Science Recruitment Act. I would like for a favorable vote on this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Representative Keane."

Keane: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker McPike: "Sponsor will yield."

Keane: "Has the Board of Higher Ed signed off on this Amendment?"

LeFlore: "Have they signed off on it?"

Keane: "Yeah. Have they approved the Amendment?"

LeFlore: "Yes, they did."

Keane: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "No further discussion. The question is, 'Shall

60th Legislative Day

June 21. 1989

Amendment #9 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 279. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 279, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the State Universities Civil Service System. This Bill has been read a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 280. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 280, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to certain retirement systems. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #1, by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman moves to table Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a technical correction.

I move its adoption."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie moves the adoption of Amendment #2. Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 281, a Bill for an Act to making appropriations to the Board of Governors. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This corrects a mistake in the original Amendment. I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 282, a Bill for an Act to making appropriations to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 284. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 284, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Regents. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed, no Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 285, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Would you check to see if there's a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No written Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Yes. I would move to table Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2. Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's tabled. Any further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."

Speaker McPike: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 286. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "What Senate Bill 286, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Community College Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 5 were adopted in Committee."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. I move to table Amendment #2, adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's tabled. Further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #3, offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. I also move to table Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's tabled. Further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."

Speaker McPike: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment...Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Anthony Young."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Young."

Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #6 would appropriate 2,000,000 dollars to ICCB for the city colleges of Chicago for apprenticeship and educational training. This appropriation is to enact the recommendation of the current negotiations between the city colleges and the trade unions to provide apprenticeship training in those areas, formerly done at Washburn Trade School. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I stand in opposition to this

Amendment. It appropriates 2,000,000 dollars, that's not
part of the budget. It's not approved. This extra

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

2,000,000 dollars is for special programs that primarily assist in urban centers. It's not a statewide project. It's not universal in its scope. It unfairly favors certain groups and as a result, we would ask for a negative Roll Call on it. And we do request a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Mays: "You mention that this is to affect the outcome of negotiations between the city colleges and the labor uni...organized labor, is that correct?"

Young: "That's correct."

Mays: "...Has there been an outcome..."

Young: ".. That's correct..."

Mays: "It's my understanding that this issue hasn't even been resolved yet."

Young: "Representative Ryder (sic), the final draft of the legislation is being drafted now to be shown to both sides for an agreement. So, there will be an agreement before the day is over."

Mays: "Well, just to...to the...to the Amendment. There is no agreement that I'm aware of at this point between organized labor and the city colleges. If there's one that comes down the pike, that's what Confer...Conference Committees are for, but in the meantime, this is 2,000,000 dollars in General Revenue Fund that we don't need to be spending on a very parochial interest like this. So I would urge a 'no' vote and I'd like a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Young to close."

Young: "There will be an agreement. This is a very important, necessary Amendment. We've been meeting nonstop throughout the Session with the city college and the unions. Both

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

parties admitted...submitted drafts. Those drafts were combined and we expect them to be approved today. I urge an 'aye' vote and there will be money in the budget for this, based on additional money that we expect to see from House Bill 490."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?'

All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative

Keane to explain his vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens to explain his vote."

Stephens: "Well, just to say that I don't see why any downstater would want to support this. And I don't know how Senate...

House Bill 490 would be a vehicle for funding this. That's not the purpose that the Speaker indicated when he passed 490."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I urge an 'aye' vote on this legislation. This is a good Amendment. The issues raised in opposition to it fact, we...we do are spurious. In often make appropriations for pending legislation. It's a way that we have of reserving room in the budget to make sure that we don't go over the...the bottom line that is appropriate. And so, I would urge my collegues, particularly on this side of the aisle to join in supporting this Amendment. It is an Amendment that is...is very much needed to this legislation."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, in the event this receives a requisite number, as it appears that it does, we request verification."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted

- June 21, 1989
- who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Ryder, do you persist on your verification? No he, withdraws his verification. On this Amendment there are 62 voting 'aye', 51 voting 'no' and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #7 would add 134,000 General Revenue for a training program. And I move for the adoption of the Amendment. We've done this before."
- Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 288, Read the Bill,
 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 288, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations of the Board of Trustees. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Johnson."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson. Representative Johnson here? Representative Satterthwaite, what's your desire?"
- Satterthwaite: "Move to table Amendment #2."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #2. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 328, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 329, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 329, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. No Floor Amendments."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 401, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Arts Council. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 404, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions or Floor Amendments."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 405, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board. Second

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 through 7, 9 through 23, 25 through 35 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #1, offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move to table the Amendment as we have a corrective Amendment later."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #33, offered by Representative Novak."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Novak on a Motion to table
Amendment #33. And he so moves. And on the Gentleman's
Motion, Representative Novak has moved to table Senate...
House Amendment #33. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I agree, table it."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #33 be tabled?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's tabled. Further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."

Speaker McPike: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #26 (sic-#36) was withdrawn in committee. Floor Amendment #37, offered by Representative Weaver and Black."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment 37 adds 335,000 GRF and 500,000 GRF to Foxridge State Park and Kickapoo State Park, respectively."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment 37 be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #38, offered by Representative Richmond."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Richmond. The Gentleman offers

 House Amendment #38. Any discussion? The question is,

 'Shall Amendment 38 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye',

 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's

 adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #39, offered by Representative Leverenz and Mays."
- Speaker McPike: "There's a Motion to adopt House Amendment 39,
 House Amendment 40, House Amendment 41, House Amendment 42,
 House Amendment 43, House Amendment 44 and House Amendment
 45. On one Roll Call. Any objections? Question is,
 'Shall these Amendments be adopted?' All in favor say
 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the
 Amendments are adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #46, offered by Representative Hasara."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Hasara."
- Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to make several points in explaining the reason for my Amendment. This Amendment would provide for the construction of two new correctional facilities in the State of Illinois. All year, particularly during this legislative season, we have been discussing many Bills that provide for stricter sentences. I believe there are more than thirty Bills that are still out there doing just that. We are not addressing the problem of what we're going to do after the judge imposes these sentences. I believe we are really missing the boat by not addressing this issue. It has been two years since the issue of new prison beds has been addressed by this General Assembly. It is my belief that we are very shortsighted by overlooking this very,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

very important issue before us. Last week, I took an issue poll of the constituents in my district. Most of whom are probably not any different in their opinion, than most the constituents in your district. I would like to read to you the question and the answer that I received. question was, one of the more controversial issues of today is the building of new prisons and the impositions tougher criminal penalties to reduce crime. Do you approve disapprove of the enactment of tougher criminal penalties, knowing that it will require additional expenditure of state funds for the building of new prisons? Eighty-three point one-nine percent of the people polled agreed that we should continue to provide for stiffer sentences, knowing that it will require additional expenditure of state funds for the building of new prisons. I am very concerned that no one else is raising this issue. This morning at the Criminal Justice Information Breakfast, the Supreme Court Justice from this district, Justice Miller, told us in his address that by the year 2000 it is estimated that drug arrests will increase by We must address the problem of what we're going percent. to do about prison overcrowding. We are now fourteen hundred plus beds, more than...we need fourteen hundred more beds than we now have and we all know that we continue to increase this number every single month. year alone, the prison population has grown by almost two thousand inmates. This Amendment would provide for medium security facilities, location not named. And I think if we look at what has happened in the past, Governor has usually placed a prison in a Republican and a Democrat district, and very honestly that is the reason I am proposing two sites, is so this is not perceived as simply a partisan issue. I ask for the adoption of this

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Amendment. I really feel that it is necessary that someone address this critical problem before the end of Session. And this Amendment is intended to do that. Mr. Speaker, I ask for a Roll Call vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I understand that Representative Hasara is very sincere in her efforts to advance this question and bring it before this Body. Frankly, when you look at the Department of Corrections overall, if there's a glaring hole this year, it's not in their operating budget as it has been in the past, but it's in the capital side. have what the department would estimate to be fifteen hundred beds short of rated capacity right now. no capital to speak of, in terms of adding new beds within the existing capital budget, and that is Representative, I'm sure, wanted to advance this question. However, there are many questions that have to be raised on an Amendment of this magnitude, at this time. least of which is the amount of dollars that we'd be taking for capital for this purpose, and obviously, we will be diminishing the amount of capital available for other purposes, that are probably every bit as worthy as this one. I'm hopeful that at some point, the department will come forward with a plan to address the capacity question. But at this time, 100,000,000 dollars in a capitol budget is just too much. And I would urge a 'no' vote from all Members."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I stand in support of the Lady's Motion. I think the...the sooner we address this problem we have in the State of Illinois, the better.

Thank you very much."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. The Lady is certainly well-intended, but this is a 100,000,000 dollar item. I'd just like to... to reiterate that's a 100,000,000 dollar item at this stage in the budget process. It simply cannot be absorbed. It cannot be digested. Projects of this magnitude are the kind of things that have to be in the budget from its inception, otherwise, it is impossible to achieve any reasonable balance when we pass the Bills...return the Bills to the Governor. So, while the issue is certainly a timely one. while it is one, the question, that certainly does need to be raised and discussed. We do not have to do it now. We should not do it now. I am sorry the Lady would not able to withdraw her Amendment. I think that would be the best course of action. But if she does not withdraw her Amendment, I would definitely urge a 'no' vote and would hope that we'll see this in next year's budget."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just encourage everyone to reject the Amendment with a 'red' vote. I don't even think we have the authorization, but we will adopt no Amendment before its time."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hasara, do you wish for a Roll Call on this?"

Hasara: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Well, you may close if you wish."

Hasara: "Thank you. I would like to make just a couple of comments in closing. I realize that it is late in the process to be introducing this kind of an Amendment, but it is really not the fault of the people in this Body that this was not in the proposed budget. And there was a lot of talk in the last few weeks that something would be

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

coming. Something would be arising and it has not done that. And I think it is time that we stopped being hypocritical in passing laws creating stiffer sentences that we all want and we know our constituents want. They also want protection. I want protection. You want protection. We can't have it all. I ask for the adoption of this Amendment and I do ask for a Roll Call."

- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment 46 be adopted?'

 All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all

 voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take...

 Bugielski, 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this

 Amendment there's 26 'ayes' and 86 'nos'. The Amendment

 fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #47, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker McPike: "There is a request to adopt Amendments 47, 48 and 49 on one Roll Call. Any objections? Question is, 'Shall these three Amendments be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #50, offered by Representative Novak."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Novak. Amendment #50."
- Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment... Floor Number #50 allocates 200,000 dollars to the Department of Energy and Natural Resources for the construction of an addition to the Current museum at Governor Lenn Small Memorial Park in Kankakee. The museum right now is currently operated by the Kankakee Valley Park District and it's growing out of space. The artifacts are piling up in boxes right now. And in conferring with the...Gene...Small, the editor of the newspaper and with the... and talking with the Lt.

- June 21, 1989
- Governor, George Ryan, this is his home area also, we think this is a very important project. And I would like your support."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #50 be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #51, offered by Representative Balanoff."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Balanoff."
- Balanoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this would appropriate 100,000 dollars from...or what is needed from the Capital Development Fund for roof repair and renovation of the Mexican Community Committee of South Chicago building. It's a community center, which provides numerous things for our community. And I'd urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment 51 be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #52, offered by Representative

 Mays."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is 340,000 dollars to complete the renovations and the roof repair and retaining walls at Dickson Mounds at Lewiston. I would move its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #52 be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #53, offered by Representative Ropp."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a million dollars for planning money for the science building at Illinois State

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- University."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #53 be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #54, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Speaker...thank you, Mr. Speaker. Four hundred...45,600 for the optometric clinic at Edwardsville.

 Move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #54 be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder, would you see if anyone else would like some money? Mr. Ryder."
- Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, we have a list, we'll get it to you in just a few minutes or perhaps later this week."
- Speaker McPike: "Is that a Motion to adopt everything on the list? Third Reading."
- Ryder: "Would you second it?"
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 406. Read the Bill,
 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 406, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for permanent improvements. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1, by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker McPike: "Is there a Motion to table 2 and 3 also?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table 1, 2 and 3 all by Representative Leverenz."

- June 21, 1989
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leverenz on the Motion to table all three Amendments."
- Leverenz: "The sum of..."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the Amendments be tabled?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendments are tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4 lost in committee. Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Representative Leverenz. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

 Amendment #5 replaces the Amendment #4...Amendment #2,

 which is flawed. I move for the adoption of the

 Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendments #5, 6, 7 and 8. Does anyone object? Question is, 'Shall Amendments #5, 6, 7 and 8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendments are adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 408, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Civil Service Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions or Floor Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 409, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Commerce Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 and 4 were adopted in committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions, no Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 410, read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 410, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions, no Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 411, read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 411, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Emergency Services and Disaster Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions, no Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 412, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Energy and Natural Resources. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 through 6, 8, 10 and 11 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #4 by
 Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Is there a Motion to table 10 and 11 also?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table 10 and 11; 10 by Representative Leverenz, 11 by Representative Black."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move that we table Amendments 4, 10 and 11 at this time."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Question is, 'Shall 4,

10 and 11 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed

- June 21, 1989
- 'no'. And the 'ayes' have it. The Amendments are tabled.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "This would appropriate 250,000 dollars in General Revenue from the Natural Energy Management Institute for the asbestos abatement. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative

 Mays."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #13 would add 6,000,000 dollars to the Solid Waste Management Fund for the Department of Energy for recycling grants and market development. This is subject to the tipping lawsuit being settled. I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment 13 be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 413, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Financial Institutions.

 Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

- June 21, 1989
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
 Mays."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 adds 253,000 dollars back to the department's budget to affect House Bill 1832, which has passed previously, which would probably generate around a million-three in fees. I would move its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 414. Any Amendments? Oh, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 414, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of the Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 415, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 415, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Governor's health and physical fitness council. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 416, read the Bill,
 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 416, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Historic Preservation Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. No Commit... Amendments #1 and 2 were

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Giglio in the Chair."

Speaker Giglio: "Senate Bill 416, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "We just moved that Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Moved it to Third? 417. Senate Bill 417."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 417, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Human Rights. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "No Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 418."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 418, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Human Rights Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 420."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 420, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Industrial Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

Mays and Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays on Amendment #1."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment strips the funding for the Industrial Commission. The intent is to send this budget to conference committee, where we can then effect whatever the outcome of the Governor...Governor's summit on worker's comp is. I would move its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any further discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 421."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 421, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Insurance. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Leverenz and Mays."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #2.

Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This would restore 217,300 dollars. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 422."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 422, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Second

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 423."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 423, a Bill for an Act making appropriations of the Liquor Control Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 424."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 424, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Local Labor Relations Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment would reduce fifty-four hundred in General Revenue. I would move for adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 425."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 425, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers Training Board. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 426."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 426, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Mines and Minerals.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Mays and Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 426."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment restores two positions to the department, well inspector in the Oil and Gas Division and an engineer in the Land Reclamation Division. I move its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 427."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 427, a Bill for an Act making

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

appropriations to the Pollution Control Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

Bowman."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman on Amendment #3 to Senate
Bill 427."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This makes some modest restorations and it's an agreed Amendment. I move its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

Mays and Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays. Withdraw Amendment #4.

Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 428."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 428, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Professional Regulation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 429."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 429, a Bill for an Act making

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

appropriations to the Property Tax Appeal Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 430."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 430, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Office of Public Counsel. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 431."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 431, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Racing Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #1 by Representative Mautino."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move to table
Amendment #1 because the Racing Board has agreed to
promulgate rules that will provide for the same penalties
on the postrace examinations implemented on the
prerace...prerace testing. And I think it would be
beneficial because those penalties would then eliminate
what may be drug induced horses. And I will table that
Amendment based upon their implementation of the rule."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 432."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 432, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Revenue. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 through 6 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think I have a Motion to table Committee Amendment #5, not Committee Amendment #6."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright. You heard the Gentleman's Motion..."

Mays: "...On the board it's Committee Amendment#..."

Clerk O'Brien: "There's no written Motion filed."

Mays: "Okay. Well, I move to table Committee Amendment #5."

Speaker Giglio: "...Table Amendment... Alright. The Gentleman asks to table Amendment #5. Any discussion? Hearing none, Amendment's tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 433."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 433, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Office of State Fire Marshal. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like...there some discussions and questions about this budget. I'd like to pull it out of the record at this time and get back to it after we get the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

questions resolved."

Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk.

House... Senate Bill 434."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 434, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Labor Relations Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Were there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, Amendment #2 to Senate
Bill 434. State Labor Relations Board."

Leverenz: "I'm getting there. When you get a little older, you move a little slower. On Amendment #2, I would move for its adoption. It would reduce the total of seventy—five hundred from the board. Move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? All those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 435."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 435, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 through 22 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #9, offered by Representative Leroy Van Duyne."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the... tabling the Amendment?

- June 21, 1989
- Hearing none, Amendment #9 has been tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #23, offered by Representative

 Mays and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays on Amendment #23."
- Mays: "Thank you very much. This is a technical corrections in the total's line only. There's no debt dollar change. I would move its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? All those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #24, offered by Representative Parcells."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This would grant an amount of 250,000 dollars to fix up and rehabilitate the Green Bay bicycle and jogging and foot path trail, which goes in the northern part of Cook County on up into Lake County. And I would ask for your affirmative vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #25, offered by Representative Van Duyne and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Van Duyne. Withdraw the Amendment. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #26, offered by Representative Van Duyne."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Van Duyne on Amendment #26 to Senate Bill 435. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Four hundred and twenty-five

- June 21, 1989
- thousand in Road Fund for the right-of-way. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Alright, Mr. Clerk, let's return to 433."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 433, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Office of State Fire Marshal. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, Amendment #5."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It would appropriate 500 dollars from the Fire Prevention Fund. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative

 Mays and Frederick."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays withdraws Amendment #6.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative

 Mays and Frederick."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Amendment #7 signify

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. What does it do, Representative Mays?"

Mays: "This Amendment appropriates 202,300 dollars in Fire Prevention Fund monies to the State Fire Marshal for implementation of the Fire Equipment Distributor and Employee Regulation Act and the restorations of monies in their budget for the Fiat Allis move."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's discussion. All those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 436, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 436, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 437."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 437, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Aging. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 15 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendments #5 and 6,
 by Representative Ryder."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder tables Amendments #5 and 6.

All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendments

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

are tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16, offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman on Amendment #16."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is just a technical correction to House Amendment #1, which is already on the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17, offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Withdraw, please."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #17. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18, offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Withdraw, please."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #18. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19, offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Withdraw."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #19. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20, offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. This Amendment appropriates an additional 410,000 in Federal Funds. This is as a result of the Federal Funding and I move its adoption."

- June 21, 1989
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #21, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "This sends back 87,000 dollars to adjust for a hiring and to increasevacancy salaries."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Yes, I don't believe this was something that we discussed earlier, and I wondered if you could just explain where it came from?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays.(sic) Representative Mays."
- Ryder: "This is at the request of the department. And it adjusts for some of the cuts that were made in the Committee Amendment #1, I believe."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Amendment...Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Well, I'm going to stand in opposition to this Amendment, because apparently this did come from the department and it's the sort of thing that should have been discussed earlier. And so, I would stand in opposition to the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the discussion? All those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it. The Amendment fails.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading, 438."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 438, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- 3, 4 and 5 were adopted in committee."
- Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Bowman."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman on Amendment #6."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #6 is a technical correction to Amendment #1, which is on the Bill.

 I move its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you. The Department of Children and Family Services just recently received notice that some unexpected federal funds became available. As a result, this is a transfer of 400,000 from the line that the federal funds will supplement to provide additional support to some children's shelter facilities."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder. Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "We show that Amendment #8 is filed under Representative

 Bowman's name. I'll be glad to table it, however, if he
 would like."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

Ryder: "Or withdraw it, whichever."

Speaker Giglio: "Rep...Bowman".

Bowman: Our records show to the contrary...if we could.

Ryder: "Well, then I...Just...pull that out. That's fine. I
 withdraw 8.

Bowman: "...Pull that out. That's fine. I withdraw 8."

Ryder: "Okay, than."

Bowman: "Why don't you withdraw 8."

Ryder: "I'll withdraw 8."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #8, Mr. Clerk. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative Bowman and Ryder."

Speaker Giglio: "Number 9, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Excuse me. Representative Ryder, could you take this

Bill out of the record just a second. I think we need

to..."

Ryder: "Yeah."

Speaker Giglio: "Take it out of the record, Mr. Clerk..."

Bowman: "...Check our records."

Ryder: "...Sure..."

Speaker Giglio: "439."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 439, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #2, offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, table Amendment #2.

The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2. Are there further Amendments?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Is 2 tabled?"

Speaker Giglio: "Table Amendment #2. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk.

Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think we're awaiting the drafting and distribution of another Amendment on this budget, so I'd like to pull this budget out of the record at this time."

Speaker Giglio: "Take 439 out of the record, Mr. Clerk. How about 440. Ready? Mr. Clerk. read Senate Bill 440."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 440, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Health Care Cost Containment Council.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2 was withdrawn in committee. Floor

Amendment #3, offered by Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Leave to withdraw please."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #3. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman on Amendment #4."

Bowman: "Leave to withdraw please."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #4..."

Bowman: "...Oh, wait a minute. Excuse me. Excuse me. I beg your pardon. I withdraw my request for leave. This corrects a technical error in Amendment #2 and restores personal services funding cut as a result of the one percent, prior to the cut in the Senate. I move its adoption."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "I think that we've clarified our problem on the previous Bill, which was, I think it was 438."

Speaker Giglio: "439. Both of them? 438 and 439."

Ryder: "438."

Speaker Giglio: "Are you ready? 438?"

Ryder: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, read Senate Bill 438."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 438, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Six and seven were adopted previously."

Speaker Giglio: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Eight...and Amendment #8 was adopted previously."

Ryder: "No, I'm sorry. It was withdrawn. We wish to adopt it."

Clerk O'Brien: "Eight was withdrawn."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder on Amendment #8."

Ryder: "Yes, this simply makes technical changes in the Bill.
Changes...or deletes FY89, inserts therein, FY90. I
apologize for the previous confusion."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 438? Hearing none, all those in favor say 'aye', opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment's adopted.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative Bowman and Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman on Amendment #9."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #9 simply restores all the lines to the level as originally recommended by the Governor. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor say 'aye', opin...'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted.

 Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 441."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 441, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 were adopted in committee."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #6 by Representative Bowman."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A Motion to table as a result of further negotiations so we can get this in propershape... So I move to table."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, Amendment #6 has been tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7 was withdrawn in committee. Floor

 Amendment #8, offered by Representative Bowman."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this

 Amendment appropriates 75,000 dollars for a grant to cover

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the cost of a court appointed monitors, as a result of the Nathan versus Levitt consent decree. I move its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "In response to comments made previously by the Sponsor of this Amendment, this may be a good idea, but we need to have discussed it earlier. It was my understanding that this item would not be presented at this time. Therefore, I am standing in opposition to it. It is not part of the process. It is money that should not be used at this time.

And I would ask for 'no' votes."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "I'll withdraw this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #8. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative

Hultgren."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hultgren on Amendment #9."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #9 restores to the community line the sum of 5,000,000 dollars. This was money that the Senate added to the community provider line, which was eliminated in House Committee action. Both the House and the Senate recognize that we have underfunded community providers for a number of years. And in fact, both the House and Senate budget do include increases for community providers, however, the House budget seems to me, inadequate as opposed to that amount proposed by the Senate. Specifically, we should all remember what this Body did and in fact, what the United States Congress did earlier this Spring, in terms of raising the minimum wage. Most of these community providers are paid at or near the minimum wage and with the increase that's contemplated, whether we talk about 4.25, or whether we talk about 4.55,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the community providers are going to be experiencing an increase in their personnel cost of between ten and twenty percent. Without this Amendment, frankly, the community providers will have less money for personal services after the increase in the minimum wage, than they did before. I would ask for your support on this. It's money that's going to be distributed statewide. It's within the Senate proposal and Mr. Chairman...Mr. Speaker, would like a Roll Call on this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an important issue. And Representative Hultgren, I'm sure is deeply concerned about the...the inadequate salaries that many of the community agencies And I share his concern. Unfortunately, I cannot share his support for this Amendment. I have to oppose this Amendment because when the Bill came over from the Senate, there was just a very large amount of money in... in there for such purposes. It was added on as a result of Senate action. But in doing so, they...the Senate sent the budget way out of balance and that in trying to work out some kind of a compromise solution, we pared back the Senate proposal. We did not eliminate the Senate proposal. The Bill as it is before you now, has some monies in there. In fact, 6.5, approximately, million dollars, in there for the purpose that Representative Hultgren wishes to support. Representative Hultgren is almost doubling the amount of money that the House Committee chose to add for this purpose. So while his goal are laudable, the money simply isn't there. And we have in the budget, already provided for six and a half million dollars, above the request of the Governor. As the Bill stands right now without Representative Hultgren's Amendment. So, I urge my

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

colleagues to join me in defeating this Amendment and sending the Bill back to the Senate in a form that they can accept."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also, rise reluctantly in Ryder: opposition to this. I'm certain that if we had all money we could spend, that this would be one of the places where we could do it. However, we're not in that luxury at this point. I should bring to your attention that status of the budget as it now exists. Within the provider line for community was the same increase that was provided for most providers throughout the Governor's budget, which is a five percent increase. In addition to that, the committee selected to add an additional two and a half percent, which is the six and a half million dollars that Representative Bowman just alluded too. We are in a situation in which the community mental standing health line has received more funds had been anticipated, more funds than the Governor had appropriated, more funds than they received last year to...even though it may be certainly well-intended by the Sponsor of this to appropriate these additional funds, would simply put out of proportion the kinds of dollars and cents that we have available. And for that reason, I...again, reluctantly, because of the sincerity of the intentions of the Sponsor, stand in opposition and ask my colleagues to join."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? All those in favor of
Amendment signify by voting 'aye'...
Representative...excuse me, Representative Hultgren. I'm
sorry."

Hultgren: "Thank you. If I may close and I did request a Roll Call, Mr. Speaker. The two previous speakers both referred to this being above and beyond the amount of

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

revenue that's available, but of course, as everyone in this Body knows, we really don't know how much revenue We don't know how much money will be available for school funding. We don't know how much money will available to share with local governments. We don't know how much money will be available from a cigarette tax if it happens or from an income tax increase if it happens. in fact, this entire budget process is still somewhat in a state of flux. It seems to me that if in fact we're concerned about properly funding community mental health and developmental disabilities, that we can set our priorities for those conferees, who will later determine what the budget looks like by voting for this Amendment and sending a strong message to the Conference Committee, that this is a high priority of the House. Would request a Roll Call vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 442, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Health. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 7 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1 and 3 by Representative Ryder."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder moves to table Amendments #1 and 3."

Ryder: "And 7."

Speaker Giglio: "And 7. One, three and seven, Mr. Clerk. You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Hearing none, Amendments 1, 3 and 7 to Senate Bill 442 are tabled.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8 was withdrawn in committee.

Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Withdraw Amendment #9."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #9, Mr. Clerk. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Withdraw."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #10, Mr. Clerk. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative Johnson."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Johnson on Amendment #11, Senate
Bill 442."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Unless the Body would allow leave for me to present his Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, I'll give him leave, however, I'm going to oppose the Amendment."

Ryder: "That's fine. Thank you. I appreciate the courtesy. The Amendment reduces to one dollar the specific line item for a grant to the Gay Community Agent Project. This is due because of a publication that was distributed by GCAP, that Representative Johnson had had a previous agreement that, that kind of publication and specifically the kind of language that was employed, would not be published. He had that agreement with that organization. The organization sought that, not only to republish similar kinds of language, but also to produce language that Representative Johnson found to be even more offensive. I think it's his

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- position that tax dollars are inappropriately spent. I think I've stated, although not as eloquently or forcefully as Representative Johnson, that which he would have said."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion on the Amendment?

 Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Well, I rise in opposition to the Amendment. I understand why the Gentleman wants to do this. On the other hand, what he's doing is bringing a local fight to the Floor of the General Assembly and I think that is the sort of thing that we really ought to keep to a minimum and save for the cosmic issues of the state. But not for a brochure published by a local health department. I think if we start getting into...playing around with the grants to local health agencies, then we really open the door to a lot of mischief. And I think we would regret it at a later date. So, I stand in opposition to this Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? All those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nays' have it. The Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder. Withdraw Amendment #12.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative Ryder and Pullen."
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #13. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #14, Senate
 Bill 442."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. This Amendment would reduce the budget by

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- a million dollars. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor say 'aye', opinion... 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is at the request of the Bureau of the Budget and the Department of Public Health. This Amendment appropriates 5,233,000 of which only 225,000 is GRF, to the Department of Public Health for funding for a center for rural health within the department. Social Security enumeration, reappropriates and W.I.C. redesign project. I believe that this is an appropriate... and has been discussed."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder. Any discussion on Amendment #15? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16, offered by Representative Ryder and Pullen."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #16 transfers GRF money within the AIDS Education, AIDS Advisory Council and AIDS alternative test sites to lines for a name length partner not...notification. In addition it limits the number of alternate test sites and requires that at least ten sites have bilingual spanish speaking staff. This...this Amendment does not spend any new dollars, it simply reappropriates...it transfers within the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

department's budget. I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in opposition to the Amendment. Normally, we like to have Amendments that where the money washes, where you delete an equal amount from some place to pay for your add-ons. However, in this particular case the money is coming from the wrong places. We're taking it out of funding for the alternative test sites. Now the alternative test sites is the backbone of the AIDS Identification program in the State of Illinois. We have alternative test sites so to provide opportunities in places where people can go in and get tested for AIDS. have alternative test sites all over the state. It seems to me that the place where the money is coming from is the real problem with this Amendment. Not... And that is the main problem. And I would stand in strong opposition this Amendment. And if the Gentleman wants to persist in trying to find money in the budget for his other project, well, I'd be happy to talk to him about it and see if we couldn't work something out. But, I certainly would not want to see this Amendment adopted."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This money does not cut the alternative test site line as it exists in Fiscal '89. It does cut the increase that the department requested. The department has already indicated that several of their alternative test sites are not efficient and they believe that those should be closed and moved to another location. This would move those to an area where the incidents of HIV infection currently is

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

rising very rapidly and that is in the Hispanic communities. That is entirely proper and it is extremely important that the State of Illinois begin name length partner notification, which this House believes it mandated two years ago, but the Department of Public Health is not doing. This Amendment provides 550,000 dollars which should be an almost adequate amount for the first year for partner notification, which is the most effective way of intervening in the HIV epidemic. It is responsibly done because it does not add money to this budget. It takes it from places where it is not needed and puts it where it is. I urge adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nays' have it and the Amendment fails. Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "I'd like to take this Bill out of the record now."

Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk.

Senate Bill 443."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 443, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Rehabilitation Services. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendments #1 through 6 and 8 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #5 by Representative Ryder."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to table Amendment #5."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative
Novak and Woolard."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Novak on Amendment #9, Senate Bill 443."

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 443 appropriates 50,000 dollars for a CIL, Center for Independent Living in Kankakee. Right now we have a Center for Independent Living, it's called the Option Center and it operates out of Riverside Medical Center. It does an excellent job and this 50,000 dollars would be for outreach services and other fundamental services that CIL provide to people with developmental and physical disabilities and I urge its adoption. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Grundy,

Representative Woolard."

Woolard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of Amendment #9, offered by Representative Novak. Kankakee County is a community of over 100,000 people, does not have CIL facility. There are many disabled people. We'll be able to help them by adoption of this Amendment. I urge adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Reluctantly, I rise in opposition to both of the fine Representatives that just spoke. We have a limited number of dollars available for Independent Living Centers. We have just this year been able to provide equalization funds so that several of those that were underfunded, were able to come up to the statewide average to at this point attempt to initiate this program, which I think is well-meaning and it does a good job. It simply is inappropriate at this time and I would reluctantly urge us to oppose it."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, unfortunately, regretfully have to rise in opposition to this Amendment too. It's kind of the same problem on a smaller scale with Representative Amendment earlier, where it's kind of an awkward point of There are...there the appropriations process. is money that is appropriated for new CIL, as well as equalization that Representative Ryder just spoke to. we've tried to shoehorn all this stuff into a bottom line figure and frankly, I just wasn't aware of this until the last minute. And I'm afraid this is not a good time to be taking up the Amendment and I apologize, but I have to stand in opposition."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Novak."

"Yes, Mr. Speaker, 50,000 dollars is not a lot of money. We deal with billions and billions of dollars down here and with due respect to Representative Bowman Representative Ryder, the Kankakee CIL has been operating for a couple of years. We have the largest chapter of the Coalition for Developmental Disabilities or citizens with disabilities in Kankakee County and they're a very active group. And this 50,000 dollars really is a pittance of money that we spend down here and I think it's going for a good cause. We're allocating more money to CIL downstate and Kankakee has been fighting for the last three four years to try to get some funding to get its...to get its Sill going. So, with deference to Representative Weller, who represents half the area in Kankakee County and myself, we'd ask for your support. I'd like to have a Roll Call vote. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 443 vote 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there's 55 voting 'yes', 48 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative Bowman."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman. Representative Bowman."

 Bowman: "Thank you. This is the... what previously had been an agreed Amendment on the Centers for Independent Living, which covers Peoria and Elgin and Quad Cities and Bloomington and Urbana and many other places. This was the...the Amendment that I was talking about in my previous discussion. Well, we better put this on or Kankakee will be the only place that gets extra money."
- Speaker Giglio: "This is Amendment #10, Representative Bowman."

 Bowman: "I'm sorry. This has been done elsewhere in the budget.

 I withdraw Amendment 10."
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #10, Mr. Clerk. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Representative Giorgi, are you seeking recognition, Sir?"
- Giorgi: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. Mr. Speaker, I advised the Members of our General Assembly that we were going to take the Senate Bill 572 up, riverboat gambling, and because of a couple of mechanical glitches, we're going to have to postpone it until tomorrow afternoon, tomorrow afternoon about this time. So 572 will be taken up without fail."
- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you, Representative Giorgi.

 Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Yes, Mr, Speaker, it was put in dry dock."
- Speaker Giglio: "Which river? Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 461."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 461, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Comptroller. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 462."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 462, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capitol Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "I'm sorry. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee on Senate Bill 462."

Speaker Giglio: "Were there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 463, Senate Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 463, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Alcohol and Substance Abuse. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 720...Senate Bill 728, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 728, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Office of State Treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Alright, on page seventeen of the Calendar appears Senate Bill 1164. 1164, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1164, a Bill for an Act appropriating funds in relation to Build Illinois Program. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments#1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment...or I'm sorry. A Motion to table Committee Amendment #4, by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, the Amendment seems to be badly flawed, so I would move at this time to table Amendment 4."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Mays: "What's the flaw with this Amendment, Mr. Chairman?"

Leverenz: "Pardon me?"

Mays: "I said, you said that this Amendment is badly flawed.

Would you please explain to the body what the flaw might
be?"

Leverenz: "Yes."

Mays: "What might the flaw be?"

Leverenz: "Well, it seems to have a bad reaction on the State and that we wouldnot want to do anything like that."

Mays: "Does this...the Amendment that you seek to table, does it have statewideapplications?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Leverenz: "Maybe so."

Mays: "Does it impact business...large and small...economic development loans through DECCA Statewide?"

Leverenz: "Maybe."

Mays: "Does it impact about two and a half million dollars worth of materials and equipment for the Department of Energy and Natural Resources?"

Leverenz: "Bingo. You hit one. Go ahead. Next."

Mays: "...And as far as large and small business loans statewide... downstate and up...it's about seven and a half million dollars that you wish to table?"

Leverenz: "Just seven."

Mays: "Well, what's the rest of it, Mr. Chairman? Would you please... I mean we're going to have to...I would like a Roll Call and we're going to have to put some people up on the board one way or the other and I want them to know what they're voting to do."

Leverenz: "This makes us choose up sides."

Mays: "That's right."

Leverenz: "So the Republicans are asked to vote 'red', the

Democrats are asked to vote 'green' on a Motion to table

Amendment #4."

Mays: "Well that may be one way to look at it, but I think that the debate could be framed in many, many other ways and thank you very much for your candid responses to my questions. This, pure and simple, is a Chicago add back. You put this Amendment...you table this Amendment, you're throwing 10 million dollars to the City of Chicago. It's 10 million dollars that will not be spent because frankly, the Governor has held this and withheld the approval of the expenditures of these dollars since the inception of the program because it was his understanding that it was to be a statewide program and you're taking the dollars that will

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

not be spent if this is amended. or this is tabled...you're taking those dollars away from the last installment of small and large business economic development loans statewide. Let me give you an ex...There are cities throughout this State and industries throughout this State, in Addison, and Broadview, and Elgin, Champaign, and Schiller Park...throughout the whole state that have benefited by this program and what you're doing by tabling this Amendment is funneling it all to Chicago. It will never be spent because the Governor's office is under the understanding that it was supposed to be a statewide program. I adamantly oppose this Motion to table and I would ask for a Roll Call vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. appropriately think the previous speaker has very articulated this issue very well. Pure and simple, this is to everyone that lives outside of Cook County in this State, the question is, does the rest of the State of Illinois get 10 million dollars and Cook County gets 10 million dollars, or does Chicago get 20 million dollars and all of us? Now, I hope that everyone will look at this program and when they look at this program, you're going to see that there's 4 million dollars for large business grow...loans, there's 3 million dollars for small million for energy there's 2.5 loans, and natural resources, and each and every Member in this General Assembly that lives outside of Cook County would have a business that would be eligible for this funding. Now. unless we're all going to fall in line and follow the head duck in this program, this tabling Amendment should be defeated. It's fair, it's equitable and it's good for the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

rest of the state and let's defeat this Motion and put some equity back in the process. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I in support of the Gentleman's Motion to table. The other side of the aisle has been trying to paint this as some Chicago versus downstate thing. But there's kind of a another way to look this big...large at business development loan program, too. It's a giveaway to the Governor's buddies. How about former director Fox? How about former director Woolford? They've both gotten low interest loans out of this pot. Are we going to give more money to former directors who just happen to be well connected with the administration? I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion to table this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Leverenz said it well when he said that the vote here is...we're going to be choosing up sides and it's supposed to be Republicans and Democrats, but it's not that, Representative, and... downstate Democrats, I...I'm very interested to watch your votes as we vote on this Amendment. This tells the people in your district exactly where your sentiments lie. Do you think that the State should be treated fairly and downstate should get their share of the dollars that we appropriate here in the General Assembly? Or are you forced by leadership on that side of the aisle, the leadership that...that has led you astray before to vote to support the City of Chicago and just that one little corner of our great state? And so, downstate Democrats, we'll watch your votes very carefully here. Yeah, we're choosing up sides. This is Chicago versus the rest of us and for once you need

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

to stick with the people of your district. Downstaters, vote with Republicans. Vote with the people of your district. Don't support the tabling Motion for Amendment #4. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Unlike some previous speakers, I don't want to portray this as a Democrat versus Republican Bill. you'll come over here and look at what these programs have done, where these small and large business loans have been granted, they're in Republican districts and they're in Democrat districts. And if it's only to help the Governor's crony, then I...you know, heavens forbid that Representative...the Representative that has Effingham in his district... I don't think that Representative is a crony of the Governor. And I think a plant in his district was the largest single beneficiary of one of these loans. I think it was Fedder's Corporation, as I recall. I don't think it's a Democrat/Republican issue at all, Ladies and Gentlemen and I don't think it's a...has to be a downstate versus Cook County. These are sound programs that enable all of us, wherever you live in the State of Illinois, to access the small business loan program and the large business loan program and I don't think there's Representative on this floor, Democrat or Republican that doesn't have a business that in some time or come to that Representative and asked for some help. what you're going to do, if you vote to table this Amendment is, you're going to leave 10 million dollars in a UDAG grant for the City of Chicago. And the Governor clearly said when he vetoed this, 'Although I approved this UDAG grant application last year for Chicago, it was done with the understanding that the legislature would change

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the law so that all cities in Illinois will be eligible.' What we're talking about here is some basic fairness throughout the State of Illinois, not upstate/downstate, not Democrat/Republican. I would urge Members on both sides of the aisle to give very serious consideration to this proposal and vote not to table this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz to close."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me. Representative Van Duyne. Did you want to make a speech?"

Van Duyne: "Yes, Mr...Yes, Mr. Speaker. Evidently someone has their signals crossed because a member from the Department was at my desk a little while ago asking me if I would join them in resisting tabling this Amendment. Not...It wasn't five minutes later he came back to me and said, 'Hey, we've talked to the leadership and they're going to put this back into a Conference Committee and so therefor it's okay, we don't need to fight this...tabling this Amendment', so if the Department don't know which way they want to go, possibly we ought to support Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me just cut right to chase. It's the bottom of the ninth and the score is tied. The fact of the matter is, this was a Republican sponsored Amendment in Committee that I inadvertently adopted to this Bill. In trying to cooperate with the membership in the Committee I went a little too far. if you go back and look at the whole story of this tabling Motion and the Amendment, you will find that in the original agreement in 1986, there was an agreement to provide 20 million to the City of Chicago. Ten was released. The other ten sits here in front of us today. The fact is, they just haven't released it and now they

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

want to grab it. Time after time we hear about original deals that don't get kept. I would ask that you would support me in the Motion to table this Amendment and keep the agreement that was made, because you may be next. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Giglio: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor to table the Amendment vote 'aye', opposed 'no'.

 The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mays."
- Mays: "Yes. Should this get the requisite votes, I would request a verification."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there is a portion of this Amendment that is very well deserved. The portion that goes to ENR for equipment for the scientific surveys is really very much needed by those surveys. By whatever mechanism the funding becomes available, I certainly hope that that portion of the Amendment can somehow survive and that is the reason that I am voting against the Gentleman's Motion to table Amendment #4."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 57 voting 'yes', 57 voting 'no'. Representative...Representative Leverenz. Representative Breslin."
- Leverenz: "It's a 3-2 pitch. I ask for the poll of those 4 friends that are in the darkness somewhere. The absentees."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, call the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting Breslin."

Speaker Giglio: "Vote Representative Breslin 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "DeJaegher."

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative DeJaegher, 'aye'."
- Clerk O'Brien: "And Harris."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Harris 'no'. On this question there are 59 voting 'aye', 58 voting 'no' and the Amendment's adopted... er, the Motion to table is adopted. Further Amendments? Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. On Rule #75.

 According to Rule #75...excuse me...question on Rule #75.

 How many votes does this take?"
- Speaker Giglio: "The Parliamentarian informs the Chair the majority of those voting. The Motion passes, the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative

 Leverenz and McNamara."
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #5. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative

 Leverenz and McNamara."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #6."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. Amendment #6 would make a technical language change. It would make it technically correct for the Oak Lawn village project. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #6."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'. 'No'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #7. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments. No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1166."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1166. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Attorney General. Second Reading of

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Alright, we're going to go...return to Senate Bill 441, Mr. Clerk. On page 14 of the Calendar. The Bill was taken out of the record momentarily."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 441. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. This Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were adopted in Committee, Amendment 6 was tabled, Amendments 7 and 8 were withdrawn. Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Hultgren."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hultgren...withdraw Amendment #9,

Mr. Clerk. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1190, Mr. Clerk.

Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1190. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Withdraw. Withdraw."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #3. Are there further

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. The Chair would like to announce that we're going to go back to the Order of Civil Law where we left off before we went to the appropriation Bills and with leave of the body we'll return back to the two appropriation Bills when the Amendments are printed and distributed to put those Bills, hopefully, on Third Reading. Is there leave? Hearing none, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk. The Chair would also like to announce that any Member wishing to change their votes on the Agreed Bill List #1, please turn them in to the Clerk's station here at the well and we will take no more after approximately five minutes. Alright, on that Order of Civil Law on page 6 of the Calendar appears House (sic, Senate) Bill 929. Representative Cullerton. Alright, that Bill has been passed and forwarded to the Senate. Representative Granberg on 1320. Is Representative Granberg in the Chamber? Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. 1466, Representative Peterson. Out of the record. Alright, on the same page of the Special Order on Human Services Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 376, Representative Currie. Out οf the record. Representative Buqielski. Representative Bugielski. Out of the record. On Human Services Third Reading. Bowman, White, Parke, and Giorgi. Gentlemen in the Chamber? Representative Bowman, 499. Out of the record. Representative White. Out of the record. Representative Parke. Representative Parke? Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 855. Read the Bill. Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 855. A Bill for an Act creating the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Parke: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 855 requires that the local youth service...the board in DuPage County, as a condition of receiving continued recognition by the State have at least 50 percent of its members from a crisis intervention agency which have subcontracted with the system. In essence, this Bill simply brings the parity with the two groups that work on crisis intervention with young adults, make them a 50-50 board so they both can cooperate and work together for the same...for the benefit of these young adults. I ask for passage of this Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. I wonder if the Gentleman could give me some of the history behind the need for this legislation."

Parke: "Okay. The Bill was introduced in the Senate because..."

Cullerton: "Not the Bill. I'm sorry. Not the Bill, but the history of the conflict that occurred out in DuPage County."

Parke: "Well, it's...right now we have an agency that is administering grant money to the agencies that are actually working together to make sure that the services are being provided. We are finding that the agency that is taking the money is not cooperating with the agency that actually has to go out in the field and work together and there's an impasse on the board. We are now taking and developing a 50-50 board so that they both must work and cooperate and compromise so that one side doesn't have an advantage over the other side and that there must be a compromise and this is the intent of this Bill, is to get both sides together to compromise on what we think is going to be the benefit of the young adults of that area. And that is the basis of the Bill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Cullerton: "Ted, the...Our analysis says that the Illinois Collaboration on Youth, which is an independent advocacy group relating to youth services, they reported that the sponsor of the Bill, Senator Karpiel, indicated in the Senate that the local...this is a local dispute which will be resolved locally and that the Bill would not be pursued. But then somehow, the Bill passed the Senate on May 23rd and it was not needed because it was to be resolved locally and apparently one of the problems out there in DuPage was that the rules of the Department of Children and Family Services currently prohibit service provider membership since the boards would be reviewing and approving funds for such agencies and that would result in an inherent conflict of interest and that's why the Bill was put in so as to overcome that objection. So, I wonder if you could comment on that. Was this matter resolved locally? And if so, why do we need the Bill?"

Parke: "Okay. The Bill was put in to bring both parties together to cooperate and come up with a compromise so that the Bill was not going to be necessary. We have now moved through the process of trying to get the two sides together. have not been able to come together, they have not been able to compromise and in Committee, the Illinois Collaboration on Youth took no position. The Department of Children and Family Services came...were there in support the legislation and we are now hoping that this legislation will still continue to move these groups together to compromise and come up with something that's going to be workable. And right now, at this point there is no compromise and we can't get both groups together. This is an internal dilema that we're trying to solve and we're saying, 'if you don't do it on your own, then legislatively we'll do it'. This is a way of solving the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

problem, this is a way of taking both parties, bringing them together and ending up with what we think is going to be a good compromise."

- Cullerton: "Alright. Just so we know who we're voting for and who we're voting against, who are the two groups that are fighting? And since we're passing a Bill, obviously one of them is going to lose, so maybe you could explain which one we're sticking it to."
- Parke: "Well, in my opinion, neither side is going to lose because you're going to make up a board, 50-50. That means one side is going to have to...somebody on one side is going to have to compromise and come over and support it or someone from the other side is going to have to. Actually, in fact, no one's going to get stuck to it with this The Bill is there because it is going to force those groups to work together and compromise or they won't get anything done. Now, one side is the 1500 board, and we are changing The other one are the makeup of that 1500 board. actual providers that are taking this... this... the money and that kind of...the actual Committee work and bringing those people together so that the people that are in the street have a say in what's going to happen with this 1500 board."
- Cullerton: "I'm sorry, I'm a little confused. I thought you said
 that the Bill was put in to force two people...two groups
 to come together and that hasn't worked, so now we're
 supposed to send it on to the Governor, and so I wanted to
 know whether the Bill chooses between the...one or other of
 the two groups, and I quess...
- Parke: "Representative Cullerton, I told you twice, this will be the third time, that nobody wins or loses, there's a 50-50 board. They are supposed to come together and compromise.

 One side doesn't have an advantage over the other side.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

That's the third time I've explained that to you, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "So the Bill doesn't choose between one side or the other, it is a compromise."

Parke: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "It's a compromise which is imposed upon them. The two groups that have not agreed to it."

Parke: "Right. That's right."

Cullerton: "Okay, So it's your intent then, that the Governor sign this, not to use it as leverage to bring about a compromise."

Parke: "As you know, we will have some time between the time that this Bill passes to the Governor and the time that the Bill(sic)signs it for them to still voluntarily come up with a conclusion that is going to be acceptable to both sides. If they, in fact, do not come to that conclusion, then we will have a compromise board made up 50-50 and then they will work with that and hopefully between the two groups will end up providing good quality human services to these young adults."

Cullerton: "And the way the Bill is drafted, it only affects

DuPage County, right?"

Parke: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "So it's just like a little favor for DuPage County, then."

Parke: "No, it is not. It is an internal problem that needs to be solved."

Cullerton: "I mean...No, no, not DuPage County government, it's for an agency which is operating in DuPage County."

Parke: "Absolutely."

Cullerton: "Right. Okay, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Mr. Parke to close."

Parke: "I ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Bill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 855 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', one voting 855 'present'. Senate Bill having received Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative White, did you wish to go back to your Bill? Returning to 735, the previous Bill. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 735. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative White. Representative Ryder, for what reason do you rise? Representative White."
- White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Senate Bi 11 735 is а demonstration product...a demonstration Bill and it's designed to allow a public aid recipient to designate the provider of his choice who will handle their medical, dental, et cetera needs. limited application and we've been trying to work it out with the Department of Public Aid and we've talked with Senator Carroll and as it turned out, he did not agree with some of the things that they wanted, so I would make myself available for questions and if not I will move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "On the Bill, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. Would the Sponsor yield for some questions,
please?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ryder: "Thank you. Representative, I don't understand what a
 'managed care delivery system' is. Is that the same as an
 HMO?"

White: "No it isn't. It's similar, but let me give you an example of why we have the Bill and respond to your

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

question. All too often there are public aid recipients who sometimes will share their green card with others and this demonstration product...proposal is designed to cut into the abuse of the green card. Not long ago the Joint Committee on Welfare Reform spoke with me about this problem and they asked for me to introduce this demonstration product...demonstration program, which I said earlier is limited in scope and its designed to save a lot of tax dollars for the people of the State of Illinois."

Ryder: "Representative, you indicated that you'd been negotiating with the Department of Public Aid on this. Are they now in favor of this Bill?"

White: "No, they're not in favor of the Bill but they understand the concept of it and they've been talking about doing it themselves and yet they have not got their act together, so I feel compelled to go forward with this measure."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Keane in the Chair."

Ryder: "Representative, is there a cost to your project?"

White: "It is...the Department estimated about a million dollars, but we feel as though it's considerably less and in the long run, once this program is implemented it will save the people of the State of Illinois a lot of dollars."

Ryder: "Thank you, Representative. I rise in opposition to the Bill. It's not in agreement with the Department of Public Aid. Their budget does not contain the money, whether it's a million dollars or even less than a million dollars that's indicated. What we're talking about is a restriction on the freedom of choice by the recipients. The intent and the respect for the Sponsor is intense. I understand why he may be wishing this. The fact of the matter is, the money's not in the budget. The language hasn't been worked out. It's not agreed. It restricts the freedom of choice and pilot projects, in order to be

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- workable, to make demonstrations, costs money and they don't save money and it's for those reasons that I reluctantly rise in opposition to the well intentioned and highly respected Sponsor."
- Speaker Keane: "Any further discussion? Is there any further discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 735'...I'm sorry. Representative White to close."
- White: "First of all, I'd like to respond to the statement that was made a moment ago from my dear friend, Representative Ryder. This is a totally voluntary program and as I said before, this is a pilot program designed to save dollars.

 As I said before, there have been a lot of abuses in the use of the green cards. The recipient could now designate his or her doctor to manage their health needs and this way we would have a handle on who was providing services and I think that's important. I move for its passage."
- Speaker Keane: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is open. Representative Black to explain his vote."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Not so much to explain my vote, it's just to say should this get the requisite number for passage, if it's close we'll be requesting verification."
- Speaker Keane: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On...Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 57 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no'. none voting 'present' and the Sponsor asks for a poll of the absentees.

 Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting: Keane, Krska, Kulas,
 Leverenz, Levin, Mautino, Mulcahey, Pullen, Ronan and
 Terzich. No further."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Keane: "On this issue there are 58 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no' and the Bill... the Sponsor requests postponed consideration?"

White: "Yes."

- Speaker Keane: "Leave for postponed consideration? Leave, and the Bill is on postponed consideration. Senate Bill 1354, Representative Giorqi. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1354. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker... Mr. Clerk, has Amendment #1 been adopted? Would you... Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave of the House to move Senate Bill 1354 back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."
- Speaker Keane: "The Gentleman asks leave to bring... return the
 Bill to the Order of Second Reading. Is there leave?

 Leave. And the Bill is on Second Reading."
- Giglio: "Okay, I'm..."
- Speaker Keane: "Mr. Speaker... I mean, Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Amendment #1, all it does is change the meaning of one word because this is going to be a vehicle Bill, so I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 and I've cleared it with Mr. McCracken earlier."
- Speaker Keane: "Any discussion on Amendment #1? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'

 All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further...

 Representative Hultgren. Representative Hultgren, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Giorgi: "I want to... First, before Hultgren, could I..."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Speaker Keane: "Representative Giglio. Representative Giglio, can you hold on? Representative Giorgi, I'm sorry.

 Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Representative, I believe at the time that this was presented in Committee, you had agreed to present the Amendment, or give us a chance to review that Amendment.

 Do you have a final Amendment ready to go on this Bill?"
- Giorgi: "Did you check Mr. McCracken's desk?"
- Hultgren: "No, but the agreement wasn't with Mr. McCracken, it was between you and I."
- Giorgi: "On this Bill? Not this Bill."

Hultgren: "Yes."

- Hultgren: "No, I've got it right here in my notes, 1354."
- Giorgi: "This is a vehicle Bill and it has nothing on it. It has nothing on it and we'd like to get it out of the House and send it to the Senate so it goes to a Conference Committee.

 There's nothing on the Bill. Nothing complete. In fact, I'm going to table Amendment #2. I checked with your leadership and told them what the plans were and they agreed."
- Hultgren: "Do you...what do you intend to put on this Bill in Conference Committee?"
- Giorgi: "I have no idea. It's not my Bill. It's by request."
- Hultgren: "Well, I believe you made an agreement that you'd present that... give us a chance to review that."
- Hultgren: "Yeah. It says that you'll present the Amendment to me to review before final passage."
- Giorgi: "I don't have an Amendment to give you to review."

Hultgren: "Okay."

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Hallock, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Hallock: "Well, I just rise in support of the Amendment and also in his effort to pass the Bill. There are a lot of needs in this area and I think it's something we'll work out, hopefully in Conference Committee, and I would ask that this Bill...the Amendment be adopted and the Bill be passed."
- Giorgi: "Okay. Mr. Clerk..."
- Speaker Keane: " Amendment #1 has been adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Giorqi."
- Giorgi: "I'd like Amendment #2 tabled."
- Speaker Keane: "Is there leave to table Amendment...withdraw

 Amendment #2? There's leave to withdraw...Amendment #2 is

 withdrawn."
- Giorgi: "Now I'd like leave of the House to move it back to Three and move it out."
- Speaker Keane: "Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Keane: "Third Reading. Representative Black, for what reason do you rise?"
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Just to let the record reflect that we have agreed with the Sponsor to remove the fiscal note request and that as Representative Hallock said, we're in agreement with moving this Bill."
- Speaker Keane: "Thank you. Is there...the Bill is on Third Reading, is there leave to hear the Bill on Third Reading? Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Is there any objection? No objection and Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1354. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Keane: "Representative Giorgi."

- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, as we said earlier this is a vehicle Bill.

 There's nothing on the Bill. We want to send it back to
 the Senate so we can have a vehicle. This is by request of
 some nursing associations and we'd like to accommodate
 them, so I'd like to send the Bill over to the Senate..."
- Speaker Keane: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 110 voting 'aye', one voting 'no', 2 voting 'present' and Senate Bill 1354 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We will go back to Senate Bill 472, Representative Bugielski. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 472. A Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home Care Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Keane: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Bugielski."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Bugielski."

- Bugielski: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

 Amendment #1 changes from 10 days to 14 days... It was 10

 working days and now we are making it 14 working days to

 give the nursing homes more of a chance for the hearings

 and I ask for your favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Keane: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'. All those in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. the 'ayes' have it, Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Keane: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave to hear

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the... it was read previously... this Bill having been read previously a second time, is there leave to use the Attendance Roll Call for immediate consideration on Third Reading? Any objections? No objections. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 472. A Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home Care Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. As was previously discussed, Senate Bill 472 amends the Nursing Care Act in relation to the administrative hearings. Requires that such hearings will be conducted within 14 working days after the notice is mailed or delivered to the facility and I ask for a favorable Roll Call?"

Speaker Keane: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present' and Senate Bill 472 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Speaker, add Representative...the Speaker to the...Mr. Clerk, add the Speaker to the Roll Call. There's now...on this Bill there are 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present' and Senate Bill 472 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We will now go to the Order of Government Administration, Second Reading. Government Administration, Second Reading. First Bill on that Order is Senate Bill 819, Representative Senate Bill 819 on the Order of Government Hicks. Administration. Out of the record. Senate Bill Representative Novak. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 988. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois State Collection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

 There are no Floor or Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Keane: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1200, Representative McGann. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1200. a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Keane: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "Two Motions filed. Motion to table Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Andrew McGann."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative McGann."
- Speaker Keane: "Take the Bill out of the record. Senate Bill 1210, Representative Currie. Senate Bill 1376, Representative Capparelli. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1376. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mobile Home Landlord and Tenants Rights Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Keane: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Keane: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Terzich."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I move for adoption of Amendment #2.

 Basically, it clears up the language on the Bill and I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Keane: "Is there any discussion on Amendment #2? There being none the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?'. All those in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'.

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- The 'ayes' have it, Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Keane: "Third Reading. We will go back to Senate Bill 988, Representative Novak. Representative Novak, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Novak: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I inadvertently advanced this Bill to Third Reading. I would like to take it back to Second and hold it there, please."
- Speaker Keane: "Is there unanimous leave to return the Bill to Second? There is no objections, leave has been granted and the Bill is on Second Reading. We'll now go to Government Administration, Third Reading. The first Bill on that Order of Business is Senate Bill 136, Representative Terzich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 136. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 Senate Bill 136 provides taxpayers 65 years of age or older or blind shall receive an additional standard exemption for himself and qualifying spouse. The Bill was amended in Committee. There needs to be some cleanup work on there and it should go into a Conference Committee to iron out the problems with it and I would urge to pass Senate Bill 136.
- Speaker Keane: "Is there any discussion on Senate Bill 136?

 Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"
- Speaker Keane: "Yes."
- Black: "Representative, It's hard to hear over here. Let me establish your intent. Is Senate Bill 136 now a vehicle

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Bill that you may use to reinstate the blind and elderly exemption in Conference, is that your intent?"

Terzich: "That's correct. You know, clear up as to what it should be."

Black: "Alright. So at the present time, 136 does not do anything. It's pure shell Bill, correct?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker Keane: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 2 voting 'present' and Senate Bill 136 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 255, Representative McPike. Out of the record. Senate Bill 260, Representative Farley. Out of the record. Senate Bill 392, Representative Matijevich. Out of the record. Senate Bill 555, Representative Hoffman. Out... out of the record. Senate Bill 685, Representative Bowman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. Senate Bill 716, Representative Bowman. Out of the record. Bill 751, Representative Madigan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 984, Representative Capparelli. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 984. A Bill for an Act concerning asbestos abatement. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Terzich."

Representative Terzich: "The...Senate Bill 984 creates an Asbestos Abatement Finance Act. The Act is administered by the Illinois Department...Development Finance Authority and the Authority may issue bonds to finance public and private

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

asbestos abatement projects. Currently, the federal law requires that all public and non-public schools must have inspected their facilities by May 1989 and this also applies to buildings that had a 24 occupancy and I would urge your support."

Speaker Keane: "Is there any discussion on Senate Bill 984? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

The voting is open. Representative Van Duyne to explain your vote."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think it's about time that somebody on this House floor at least voiced some kind an objection to the rip-off that's happening to all our school systems and our appropriation process. talking to the people at Vocational Ed last night over at Baur's and they agreed and I told them it's about time that we all start digging in our heels somewhere along the line and refusing us to be allowed to be taken to the cleaners by these people. Now, I realize it's a scary thing and I realize also that we don't know too much about asbestos and what it... all the detrimental effects it has on our body. But, when they start going into schools with a pick and start digging up the tile on the floor and telling us that the wax that's covering and everything is a detriment our children's health, I think they're going too far and I think it's about time that we stop now and look at things from an intelligent point of view, rather than going into some kind of a trauma and blowing, literally blowing. billions and billions of dollars. So I'm going to vote 'no' as my little minor protest."

Speaker Keane: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. There are 99 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', 7 voting 'present' and Senate Bill 984 having received the required

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We will return to Senate Bill 260, Representative Farley. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 260. A Bill for an Act in relationship to employment. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 260 adds an exemption for employees for non for profit educational... or residential child care institutions who care for orphans, foster children, abused, neglected or abandoned children or homeless children who are compensated at a rate of not less than 13,000 or 10,000 if the employees reside...resides at the institution and receives free room and board. This Bill was Senator Rock's Bill in the Senate. It passed the Senate 58 to 0 and I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise in support of the Gentleman's measure. It is a much needed measure with regards to this particular issue for those charitable not-for-profit institutions that we all care a great deal about."

Speaker Keane: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 100 voting 'aye', one voting 'no', 2 voting 'present' and Senate Bill 260 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1078, Representative Woolard. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1078. A Bill for an Act to amend the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Woolard."

- Woolard: "Yes. What Senate Bill 1078 will accomplish, it will raise the limits from one thousand to two thousand on a single action and two thousand to four thousand, collectively, for the year on those elected officials in the small municipalities throughout the State. This will afford opportunities for many of the qualified business people that we have in these communities to represent their communities in a very fine fashion. I think now, with the restrictions and limitations, many of them do not serve specifically for the purpose of being a detriment to that body that they could represent and represent well. I would move for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Keane: "Is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 112 voting 'aye', one voting 'no', one voting 'present' and Senate Bill 1078 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Martinez, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Martinez: "I just wish to be recorded as voting 'aye' on Senate Bill 260."
- Speaker Keane: "Alright, the record will so show. Senate Bill 1289, Representative Steczo. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1339, Representative Bugielski. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1339. A Bill for an Act in relationship to fees and salaries. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Bugielski."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 1339 amends an Act in relation to fees and salaries making a population reference change. What we are doing is changing...right now the Bill stated from 30,000...counties of 30,000 or more inhabitants and less than 1,000,000 inhabitants to 30,000 inhabitants and less than 2,000,000 inhabitants. So that's what we're making the change. From one million to two million inhabitants."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Keane: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, do you have an idea or concept you want to see put on this vehicle Bill? The reason I ask, quite frankly, would it have anything to do with a pay raise for certain..."

Bugielski: "No."

Black: "...certain county officials?"

Bugielski: "Not unless we receive one."

Black: "I appreciate your candor, Representative. Thank you."

Speaker Keane: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 85 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present' and Senate Bill 1339 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1345, Representative Myron Olson. Out of the record. Representative 1380... Senate Bill 1380, Representative Bugielski. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1380. A Bill for an Act in

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- relationship to fees and salaries. Third Reading of the Rill."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Bugielski."
- Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All we're doing in...this is just removing obsolete language from the Bill right now.

 Take it out of the record, Sir."
- Speaker Keane: "Take the Bill out of the record. Senate Bill 1402, Representative Capparelli. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1421, Representative Hicks. Senate Bill 1421, Representative Hicks. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1443, Representative Giorgi. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1443. A Bill for an Act to amend the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Keane: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1456, Representative McPike. Out of the record. We'll now go to Professional Regulation, Third Reading. Senate Bill 4, Representative...out of the record. We will now go to Order...we'll go to Second Reading, Labor. The Sponsors on this Order of business are: Farley, Breslin, Saltsman, Kulas and McPike. Senate...On Second Reading...Senate Bill 85, Representative Farley. Is the Representative in the Chamber? Out of the record. Representative... Senate Bill 141, Representative Breslin. Out of the record. Senate Bill 181, Representative Saltsman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 181. A Bill for an Act to amend the Wages of Employees on Public Works Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Keane: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Didrickson."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Didrickson."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Didrickson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would like to withdraw Floor Amendment #1."
- Speaker Keane: "Withdraw Floor Amendment #1. Any further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Keane: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 85, Representative Farley. We're on Order of Labor, Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 85. A Bill for an Act to amend the
 Unemployment Insurance Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Keane: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Keane: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Didrickson and Farley."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

What I would like to do is withdraw the Committee Amendment

if I might on the House floor? I'd like to table it."

Speaker Keane: "You wish to withdraw Amendment #2?"

- Didrickson: "No, I wish to proceed with Amendment #2 on the floor, but I wish to table the Committee Amendment #1 that was put on in Committee."
- Speaker Keane: "The Lady asks for leave to table Amendment #1.

 Is there leave? Leave for the Attendance Roll Call?

 Leave. And Amendment #1 is tabled. Now, Representative

 Didrickson on Amendment #2."
- Didrickson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. What we're doing with Amendment #2 is, basically it's a shell Amendment. We are looking for some Agreed Bill language and what we're really doing is just changing 'Director means the Director of Employment Security and Department

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

means the Department of Employment Security' and I would then like to keep this on Second, if you would, please."

Speaker Keane: "Is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?'. All those in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Keane: "The Bill will be held on Second Reading. Senate
Bill 86, Representative Farley. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 86. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workers Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Keane: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Farley, McPike and Didrickson."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Farley."

Farley: "I would move to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Keane: "The Gentleman asks to withdraw Amendment #1.

Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Farley, et al."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is just a technical Amendment that I would like to have adopted and then have the Bill left on Second Reading."

Speaker Keane: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?'.

All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #2 is adopted and the Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 292, Representative Kulas. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 292. A Bill for an Act in relationship to medical and family responsibility leave. Second Reading

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- of the Bill. There are no Committee or Floor Amendments." Speaker Keane: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 571, Representative Out of the record. McPike. We are closing...pay attention...we are closing the change of votes on the Agreed Bill List. Those were the vellow sheets that you for Supplemental Agreement #1. Ιf you are interested in getting your votes in, bring them to the Clerk immediately. We are closing that shortly. go back... we will go to Third Reading on Labor. Bill 32, Representative Balanoff. Out of the record. Senate Bill 541, Representative McPike. Out of the record. As of this time, the Clerk is no longer accepting change of vote forms. The yellow change οf vote forms Supplemental 1. We'll now go back to Government Administration, Third Reading to pick up a Bill that we passed over. It's Senate Bill 1289, Representative Steczo. This Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. is Government Administration Third Reading, Senate Bill 1289."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1289. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Agricultural Act. Correction, that's Illinois Architectural Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask leave of the House to take Senate Bill 1289 back to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment, please?"
- Speaker Keane: "The Gentleman has asked leave. Is there leave?

 Using the Attendance Roll Call, leave has been granted.

 The Bill is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any

 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative...correction, Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Steczo and Mautino."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Steczo."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, withdraw Amendment #2, please."
- Speaker Keane: "The Gentleman asks to withdraw Amendment #2.

 Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone; "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
 Mautino."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Steczo will handle that Amendment.

 Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of Amendment #3, which seeks to license environmental health practitioners. The Act specifies the academic and experience qualifications for government and industry personnel engaged in activities related to food service, private water wells, sewage systems, and other public health concerns. I would answer any questions, and if not move for the adoption of Amendment #3."
- Speaker Keane: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?'. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker Keane: "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 amends the Private Detective, Private Alarm and Private Security Act and it makes a change that the Department is contemplating doing by rule, and it relates to police officers that seek to be registered under the Act. Where now it requires them to submit to the Department fingerprint cards furnished by the Department, et cetera, it exempts current law enforcement officers because they already have those things on file. So, the Department of Professional Regulation is for the Amendment. There's no opposition to the Amendment, I move for its adoption."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Keane: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those oppose...all those in favor say 'aye', all those...opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Keane: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave to hear the Bill on Third Reading. Is there use of the Attendance Roll Call? Any objections? There being none, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1289. A Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Architectural Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. As it's amended, Senate Bill 1289 now makes the noncontroversial change with the Private Detective Act, and also has deleted the interior design portion of the Bill, because that's dealt with in another Bill and now provides for Environmental Health Practitioner Licensure. These are the people that do engage in activities related to food service and private water wells, sewage systems, and things of that nature. And it's supported by the Illinois Restaurant Association, by the Illinois Department of Public Health, the Chicago Department of Public Health, Public Health Association, Environmental Health Association, and also I should make...make the House aware that the House State Government and Regulatory Review Committee in 1985, when they issued a report on salmonella, recommendation #9 provided for licensure of these practitioners. I would answer questions you would have, but certainly would appreciate the support of the House in the passage of Senate Bill 1289."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Stern."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Stern: "Just a question for the Sponsor. I have received multiple letters and cards from interior decorators and architects, and I'm not sure I'm clear on what you have done about their queries on this."

Steczo: "Representative Stern, House Bill 1476 has the interior designer language that's been agreed to by the interior designers and by the architects and that will be coming over here for concurrence."

Stern: "Okay, Thank you."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Representative...Will the Representative yield?"

Speaker Keane: "He indicates he will."

Hultgren: "Representative Steczo, just some questions about the new Environmental Health Practitioner, and what that person is and is not licensed to do, and who it will and will not affect. For my own information. It talks about Milk and Food Sanitation. Would this for example, affect school cafeteria workers? Would they have to be licensed?"

Steczo: "Representative, I would suggest that school cafeteria workers would not have to be licensed to do this. Let me...let me explain what the definition of a registered Environmental Health Practitioner is. It's a person who, by virtue of education and experience is especially trained to organize, implement and manage environmental health programs and is...and, of course is registered in accordance with the Act. So, a cafeteria worker would not be considered an Environmental Health Practitioner, nor would they get into trouble if they were not registered under the Act."

Hultgren: "Well, I wasn't just pulling something out of the air.

You read from the definition of Environmental Health

Practitioner, and I was reading the next paragraph for

Environmental Health Practice, which includes the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

professional areas, including milk and food sanitation, and I assume that part of the business of running a school cafeteria, for example, is milk and food sanitation, but it doesn't affect anybody in the cafeteria?"

- Steczo: "Representative Hultgren, these would be the people that would inspect those cafeterias or inspect restaurants or inspect dairies to make certain that they're...they're sanitary."
- Hultgren: "How are those people licensed today, or are they licensed?"
- Steczo: "Unbelievably, they are not. They are not licensed, and ever since the salmonella report...and the salmonella problem occurred a couple of years ago...that brought the issue to the forefront, and as I mentioned, the one House report recommendation specified that in fact we should do this, and do this as soon as possible. It's unfortunate it's taken four years."
- Hultgren: "Then, if I can skip to the...also in paragraph (e), right where I was a minute ago, it talks about the protection and regulation of private water supplies. Would that mean that the well drillers would have to have this licensure in order to conduct their trade?"

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, I would yield to Representative Mautino."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Representative, I'd be happy to answer your question.

Let me clarify it for you. The language you are looking at now is identical to the law that was in existence up to 1981. Nothing is changed. The federal government passed a federal law that says where you serve the general public on an interstate highway you must have sanitation on the facilities such as a truck stop, et cetera, for the inspection and the protection of public health and safety of the individuals. Nothing has changed. They're the same

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

individuals who check to make sure that when a well driller drills a well that there is no bacteria that would harmful to the health of the individuals, that would come out of that well. The same process of inspection that was done before and is done now, in those areas where there is a public health department that delegates and designates sanita...sanitarians...like DuPage the County Department, we had a law regulating them that this was first one to ever sunset because of an oversight by this General Assembly, and the committee that was in charge of it at the time. What we are establishing is the good protections that are the same provisions that are Federal Law, and also were in our statutes up to 1981."

Hultgren: "This is reinstituting something that was on the books?"

Mautino: "And it should never have been taken off."

Hultgren: "Without change?"

Mautino: "Exactly."

Hultgren: "Thank you."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. As a spokesperson on the R&R Committee, I looked over this Amendment and as well as the other Amendments on the Bill, and I support it."

Speaker Keane: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 111 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no', 3...none voting 'present', and Senate Bill 1289 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill...on this Order of Business, Senate Bill 751,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Representative Madigan. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 751, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to copies of committee material. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill, which is sponsored by President Rock and Speaker Madigan, expands the ability of the Clerk of the House and the Secretary of the Senate to establish a schedule of reasonable fees to be charged for copies of Bills on a continuing basis to members of the public. Right now, the Clerk of the House has the ability to schedule reasonable fees to be charged when we provide public copies of committee documents or committee tape recordings and also transcripts of committee proceedings. This would add to that providing copies of Bills on a continuing basis to members of the public other than governmental entities. So governmental entities specifically excluded. I would also indicate, it's the legislative intent that in setting up these fees, the Clerk and the Secretary shall have the authority to, if they deem it appropriate, to exclude other entities if they wish. Such as the press, if they wish to obtain copies of Bills. Be happy to answer any questions and appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Keane: "He indicates he will..."

Cullerton: "...Yes, I will."

Dunn: "Right now, if I go up to the Bill Room up on the fourth floor, I can ask for a copy of a Bill, so can any member of the general public and they'll give it to me free, is that correct?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Cullerton: "That's correct."

Dunn: "And if this legislation becomes law, the public would have to pay for those copies of Bills, is that correct?"

Cullerton: "Where...it depends on what the Clerk of the House and the Secretary of the Senate do with regard to establishing fees. This would authorize them to charge..."

Dunn: "...Okay..."

Cullerton: "...If those copies of Bills are being provided on a continuing basis."

Dunn: "I understand."

Cullerton: "Okay? So in other words, just to give you a little background, some of the...there are some lobby groups which subscribe to the Bill Room, where they order all Bills that are introduced, ten copies each be delivered to their lobbying offices. And it's apparently costing an incredible amount of money and Senator Rock, when notified of this, that's what motivated him to put the Bill in. He certainly doesn't want to limit a person who's down here from Decatur just to want to look up a Bill to go over there and not be able to get a Bill. What he's aiming at are people that subscribe to the Bill Room and in some cases, just have...a like a subscription service, that's what he's trying to address."

Dunn: "Thank you very much. I...You're probably sensitive to what my concern is and that is, there's a freedom of speech aspect here that...we pass legislation, which affects the general public and at the present time we...we make our work product readily accessible to the general public and I think we should certainly continue to do that. However, someone who is costing us a lot of money and who is a professional, probably ought to pay some kind of fee. And maybe the Bill could be articulated differently to suit me, but I...but it's probably alright the way it is. Thank you

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

very much."

Speaker Keane: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield? Does the Bill by its terms relate only to a subscription service or will it...will it as written, regardless of its intent, apply to everybody?"

Cullerton: "Well, the language is, 'providing copies of Bills on a continuing basis to members of the public other than governmental entities'. That's the new language and of course, given the fact that the Clerk of the House already has the ability to establish reasonable fees to be charged to the public with regard to copies of committee documents and committee tape recordings, and since that permissive, okay, right now it's up to the Clerk establish those fees. If he...or the Sec...or in the case of the Secretary of the Senate she, does not which charge, they don't have to. So, I would envision, for example, if someone asked for one Bill, there would be fee, maybe if they asked for to two Bills, two copies. it's when these lobbying groups say, 'we want ten copies of every Bill delivered to our...or available for pick up', and, you know, there's a lot of...it's a lot of paper and a lot of cost to the state. That's what Senator Rock's getting at. So I think the language the way it's drafted, it's permissive, it's up to the Clerk of the Court(sic)to make those determinations. And the language, 'providing copies of Bills on a continuing basis', is not...is designed to cover, not someone down from your district asking for a copy of a Bill, but rather these people that subscribe in effect to...to the Bill Room."

McCracken: "But there...but...but, there is no subscription currently in affect, is there? I mean, you don't... you don't call up and have a continuing formalized arrangement

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

for the..."

- Cullerton: "...Yes, that's...that's what's...that's...that's
 exactly what's happening. Someone calls, a lobbyist calls
 the Bill Rooms in the Senate and the House, they say, 'we
 want to pick up ten copies of each Bill that's been
 introduced and make them available'. I don't think they
 mail them to them, but they...then send one of their
 lobbyists over to pick them up. And that is in effect, the
 facto subscription service, which...which motivated Senator
 Rock to put the Bill in."
- McCracken: "Well, I think...I think that if it were drawn to cover that case and not give so much discretion to the Clerk, that, that would be something that objectionable. But, I share Representative Dunn's concern. I think we've tread into an area that...that we should not leave to the discretion of the Clerk. I don't think there's anything inherently wrong with the idea of charging for the copies, but it clearly ought to be limited to a subscription type arrangement. And should not be made available generally, with the only statutory exemption being for units of government. I mean, why shouldn't the public generally have the right to free copies as well as the units of government. I mean, the people are the ones who ultimately make those decisions. So, we don't have a position on it, but, I...I...I just think it goes a little too far and it's really not drawn properly."
- Cullerton: "Well, I'll tell you what. I...given the...given the schedule that we have here, there is an Amendment on the Bill. I would take your concerns over to Senator Rock and ask him if he wouldn't mind putting in a Conference Committee to give more direction to the Clerk and the Secretary of the Senate as to what we want to tell them.

 But..."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- McCracken: "And...and is that all that the Bill would do in Conference Committee, just address this..."
- Cullerton: "...Oh, yeah. This is not...this is...this is to simply what Senator Rock...this is all he wanted to do with this. This is not a vehicle for anything else."
- McCracken: "Well, I have no objection to that, then. I think probably that that's something that we should consider further."

Cullerton: "Okay."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a point of clarification I guess?"

Speaker Keane: "He indicates he will..."

Klemm: "Representative Cullerton, if you mentioned that governmental entities would not be charged for these particular copies of Bills, even if it were on a continuing basis, is that correct?"

Cullerton: "That's correct."

Klemm: "But what happens if a group of governmental entities, let's say the Urban Counties Council, who has a lobbyist down here, decides they want copies of Bills, they formerly go together, they are in fact government entities that are collectively trying to get Bills from the Bill Room. The School Board...Illinois School Board Association are members of elected school board members, the Illinois Park Association or the Library Association, again are made by elected officials by government bodies and they do serve a function. Wouldn't you in this case, then be excluding some but allowing others to do it, and yet it's still all elected officials?"

Cullerton: "Well, there's a...the reason for the distinction is because governmental entities by their very nature are, you know, not-for-profit organizations..."

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Klemm: "...Exactly...nor sometimes are their groups that they
 represent..."
- Cullerton: "...I mean, they...they use tax dollars to send people down here to lobby and if we charge them, I'm sure Senator Rock's thought here was that, if we charge them it's just charging taxpayers. Where as if it's, you know, the Trial Lawyers or the Medical Society then they are for a profit."
- Klemm: "Okay. I'm only mentioning this is because I think there is a distinction of what you're talking about versus a group of...let's say the Mc...McHenry County, the Lake County, the Cook County Board decides they have a lobbying group to get the Bills that keep us informed, they could do it individually, it wouldn't cost them anything, if they do it collectively they'd have to pay for it because it's an organization. I just want to...I hope you in the Conference Committee could..."
- Cullerton: "...I see what you mean, yeah..."
- Klemm: "...Could understand and clarify that..."
- Cullerton: "...In other words what you want to know...what you want to know is, if it's a governmental entity, like if the City of Chicago asks for the Billsthen they would be exempted. So, what you want to know is what if there's a...say the Municipal League..."
- Klemm: "...The consortium of government entities, let's say.

 That could be an association. Maybe you'd look into that one when you're in the Conference Committee."
- Cullerton: "Okay. I think that when Senator Rock indicated...passed the Bill, I think what he had in mind was giving... the questions like that... discretion to the Clerk or the Secretary, to answer a question like that. But again, I...maybe it's...maybe it's a good point, maybe it should be clarified or associations of public governmental entities. That could be added as well."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Klemm: "That could be. I'm thinking of the Library Association that they all subscribe to. It's really doing a service, rather than each library in Illinois asking for ten copies. Sometimes they get it through the association. Why should we charge them also when they're trying to do it for elected officials, for example. Maybe you'd at least look into it. I didn't want to belabor the point."

Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Keane: "Any further discussion? There being none,
Representative Cullerton to close."

Cullerton: "Right. Well, just so...just to review, what I'm going to do if the Bill passes, since it has been amended, is go back to President Rock, indicate to him that perhaps the...there's general agreement in principle on passing the Bill, but there are some questions raised with regard to giving more direction to the Clerk and the Secretary of the Senate, with regard to how and who they should bill. And that I would recommend that he put this in a Conference Committee so that we can come up with an agreed Bill. So with given that, I would ask for the passage of the Bill."

Speaker Keane: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gent...the Lady from Cook, Representative Jones, do you wish to explain your vote? indicates she does not seek recognition. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no' and l voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1345, Representative Olson. Olson. Out οf the record. Senate Bill Representative Bugielski. Clerk, read the Bill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1380, a Bill for an Act in relationship to fees and salaries. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

Senate Bill 1380 amends an Act concerning fees and salaries. What it's doing is removing obsolete language that dates back to 1984 and...and other years therein and does not apply any longer."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 1380. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1380 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 77...76 voting 'aye', 36 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On this same Order appears Senate Bill 692, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 692, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Could I ask leave to return the Bill to Second Reading?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second for the purposes of an Amendment..."

Keane: "...Of a Motion..."

Speaker Breslin: "...Does he have leave? Purposes of a Motion,
...does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is
granted. Are there any Motions filed, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "A Motion to table House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 692, filed by Representative Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I am removing Amendment #2,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

which returned the Bill to its original order and which had some problems in it. By tabling this Amendment, I will...the Bill will have received an Amendment...a House Amendment in committee. The Senate Sponsor wishes the Bill to go back to the Senate. And she's going to put it into a Conference Committee to try to work out the problems that she has with the Bill. And I'd ask the adoption of the Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the tabling of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 692. On the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Motions or Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Keane now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 692. Any objection? There being no objection, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 692, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "This is a Bill, which I explained in my Motion description that the Senate Sponsor wants to put into a Conference Committee to work out some problems she's having with it. And I would move the adoption of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 692. On the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 692 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Laurino is voting

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the 'aye'. record. On this question there are 76 voting 'aye', voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby passed. Ladies and Gentlemen. we have taken... Representative Morrow. Is Mr. Morrow seeking recognition? Turn off Mr. Morrow's light please. Ladies and Gentlemen, the record has been taken on all of the Bills on the Agreed Bill List, Supplemental #1. These Bills having received the Constitutional Majority have all been declared passed. Should any of you wish to know the exact Roll Call vote on any of these Bills, it is available at the Clerk's desk. So you may come up and see it on an individual Bill by Bill The next Order of Business is Transportation. Second Reading. The Sponsors are Novak and Ronan. The First Bill is Senate Bill 1174, Representative Novak. Out the record. Senate Bill 1369, Representative Ronan. Mr. Ronan. Out of the record. Transportation, Third One Bill appears on this Order. The Sponsor is Representative Phelps. Is Mr. Phelps in the chamber? Phelps. Out of the record. There's a House Resolution on this Order. Representative Hicks is the Sponsor. Is Mr. Hicks in the chamber? Out of the record. The next Order is the Order... Special Order of Revenue, Second Reading. Sponsors are Steczo, Hartke, Keane, McGann and Granberg. The First Bill is Senate Bill 38. Representative Steczo. Mr. Steczo. Out of the record. Senate Bill Representative Hartke. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 344, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act making lawful conduct...conducting of bingo. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Did you say there were Motions?" Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Kubik."

Speaker Breslin: "Who's the Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Kubik."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Amendment #1 does...essentially does two things. What it does is it...it...changes the current provision that requires each jar and pull...jar game and pull tab game to expire on... right now, they expire on What this Bill would do would be have them June 30th. expire at the time that they're applied for, a year later. will...it allows for staggering the license it expiration dates. The second thing the Amendment does is to allow for special permits for pull tab and jar games to be split, so that it they can be utilized by two festivals. The...the Amendment is supported by the Department of Revenue. I've checked it with the other side of the aisle. There doesn't seem to be any opposition to it. I'd be happy to answer any questions and would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 344. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Hartke, would you like to move this Bill at this time? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk, on Third."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 344, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act making lawful the conducting of bingo by certain nonprofit organizations. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 344 amends the Bingo License Tax Act. Decreases the angle or aggregate daily average prize limit for Red Bud, Illinois from 2,250 dollars to 3,250 dollars, plus Amendment #1, which was put on by Representative Kubik here just...just moments ago. And I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the passage of Senate Bill 30...344. And on the question, the Lady from Lake, Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Thank you...thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Hartke: "Yes, I will."

Frederick: "Does...does this Bill raise the prize limit from the... from the approved rate of 2,250?"

Hartke: "Yes, it does, Ma'am. That's just what I said."

Frederick: "That's...that's in your Amendment?"

Hartke: "That's not in the Amendment. That is the...the Senate
Bill as it came over from(sic)the House. As you recall,
originally I had a Bill in the House, which was defeated in
committee which did this statewide. This Bill does not do
that. This...puts it in for one village in Randolph
County, Red Bud, Illinois and moves that limit from
3,2...or from 2,250 to 3,250."

Frederick: "Okay. Would you tell me where Red Bud, Illinois is please?"

Hartke: "Red Bud, Illinois is in Randolph County and it's about one or two miles from the Madison St. Clair Monroe County

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

line."

Frederick: "How large a community is Red Bud?"

Hartke: "It's a very small community. I want to say under the population of about 2,000."

Frederick: "Okay. Okay, thank you. Thank you very much."

Hartke: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 344 pass?' Representative Keane, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Keane: "Yes, I rise in opposition to this Bill. The Bill violates what everyone or what we agreed to in the past, which was a deal on the level of lobby...of bingo prizes. It now creates an exem...an exemption to that. It will allow an increase in a town called Rose Bud, I believe, Red Bud...Red Bud, excuse me. And, Red Bud will become a little spot in the state which will have unique...or have higher bingo prizes than any place else and I think everyone else will start looking for it. It will spread the bingo palaces around the State of Illinois. I have nothing against Red Bud or Rose Bud, but I would ask for your 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Leverenz: "Do you have a river in your district?"

Hartke: "Yes, Sir, I do."

Leverenz: "And the name of that river is?"

Hartke: "The 'Ambrough? Ambrowe"

Leverenz: "Is it wide enough to have a boat on it?"

Hartke: "John boats."

Leverenz: "Is this your answer to the fact that they will not listen to you when it comes to river boat gambling?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Hartke: "Representative Leverenz..."

Leverenz: "Is this the Bill..."

Hartke: "...This is not a river boat gambling Bill..."

Leverenz: "...That will make the Las Vegas of Illinois?"

Hartke: "Pardon?"

Leverenz: "Is this the...make the Las Vegas of Illinois in Red Bud?"

Hartke: "Representative Leverenz, Red Bud, Illinois is in Monroe
County. I'm from Effingham County and I do not represent
Monroe County..."

Leverenz: "...The only thing you have over there..."

Hartke: "I'm handling...pardon?"

Leverenz: "The real thing this should do is kill a lot of starlings. But are you...are you making everything relocate out of Chicago then, down to your area with the bingo palaces?"

Hartke: "Representative Leverenz, this is one town, one mile from the border of Monroe County. It's in Randolph County. And it's limited to one town by the population requirements here. And I don't see this as a major expansion of the bingo agreement that was agreed to some years ago..."

Leverenz: "...Then just tell me. Does...If you're for gambling how do vote and if you're against gambling how do you vote?"

Hartke: "If you're for gambling you would vote 'yes'. If you're opposed to gambling you'd vote 'no'."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke, you're recognized to close."

Hartke: "Well, Madam Speaker, I'm doing this as a favor to Wayne

Goforth and my friends over in Randolph County. And I

would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 344 pass?'

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 62 voting 'aye', 43 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 38, Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 38, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to promote energy efficiency and reduce operating costs in government facilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Churchill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Churchill. Mr. Churchill.

 Representative Matijevich, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Matijevich: "Back to this again. I would question the germaneness of this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, would you give me the Bill and the Amendment, please. Yes, Rep...yes, Representative."
- Matijevich: "Could you...you could probably save some time. I'm going to question the germaneness of all of these, Churchill Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, would you give me all of the Amendments, please. Representative Churchill and Representative Matijevich, from reading the Bills, it appears that Amendments #1, 2 and 3 are not germane, Amendments 4, 5 and 6 are out of order. Representative Churchill, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Churchill: "Madam Speaker, yesterday we went through the same course of action that we're appearing to head down today

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

and I asked you for some logic behind the reasoning of nongermaneness. You said that I could discuss that matter with the Parliamentarian afterwards, which I did, discussed it with you at the same time. I believe that it was the answer of the Parliamentarian when I posed the question, why in an Amendment that deals with Chapter 96 1/2, cannot go onto a Bill that deals with Chapter 96 1/2, and I believe that it was the logic of the Parliamentarian that West Publishing Company puts these Amendments wherever West Publishing Company decides to put those Amendments, that therefore, that allows you the freedom to and determine whether or not something is germane. reason to believe that West Publishing Company would put these Amendments in the Chapter 96 1/2, in the proper place, where they belong, regardless, of what the Bill does and that...that I think that I can request that of West Publishing Company and that we will end up with a position that these Amendments will end up exactly where there supposed to be and when they do that makes them germane. So I would ask you if there is any other insane logic that might be running rampant in this place, that would...that would make these Amendments nongermane?"

- Speaker Breslin: "I know of no insane logic running rampant in this chamber. That's what he asked for, that's what he qot. Are there any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

 Amendment #7 makes some changes that have been negotiated with various parties including the Bureau of the Budget on the Bill and I would move for its adoption. It becomes the Bill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 7 to Senate Bill 38. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 588, Representative Keane. Jim Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 588, a Bill for an Act concerning tax sales. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representatives

Steczo and Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Madam Speaker, withdraw Amendment #1, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #1. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 deletes the provision of the underlying Bill, which require in Cook County the recordation of instruments transferring ownership interest in property or evidencing a mortgage without a title search of current and seven preceding tax years. The provision is deleted at the request of the Cook County Mortgage Bankers, the Chicago and the Illinois Bar Associations. In addition, the Amendment exempts units of local government from the prohibition against bidding on tax delinquent property if bidders are in violation of the new restrictions on bidding contained in the underlying

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Bill. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 588. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 593, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 593, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane. Any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

Amendment #2 contains the exact length...the exact same language as was included in House Bill 2186, and that language is an agreement between the tax buyers of the state and the county treasurers. What Amendment #2 does is three things. First, the fees that are paid at the time the taxes are purchased, which go for automation, specifies how those fees...how those fees can be used and it provides that they shall be used for cost of hardware, software, research and development and personnel related thereto. It also provides that the tax buyers cannot buy the taxes until after the second installment has been paid. They have agreed to that. And there is a provision that provides for the percentage rate for purchases, which would

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

go back up to 18% from 12% after December 31st, 1988; which I understand it's going to do anyway and this is a clarification. This Amendment has been agreed to. There's no opposition and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 593. On the question, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a quick question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, can you tell me what the rationale is for increasing the over-the-counter sales penalty interest rate from 12% to 18%?"

Steczo: "Representative Black, my understanding from talking to the county treasurers and the... and the tax buyers, is that the rate technically is supposed to jump to that level shortly anyway and this...the language was needed to clarify that. That's...that's the information that was given to me."

Black: "It's their understanding it would be 18%..."

Steczo: "...Anyway."

Black: "In how long a period of time? Within the next year?"

Steczo: "I would...I would...I think so. The date of September...of a...it says for purchase occurring after December 31st, 1988. So I would imagine that that rate was going to be increased in the very near future."

Black: "Alright..."

Steczo: "To...to that certain level. But the county treasurers have expressed no opposition, in fact we're very interested in doing the same."

Black: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. They did express opposition..."

Steczo: "...The county treasurers signed off on that..."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Black: "Oh, okay. Alright, thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 634, Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 634, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane...excuse me,
 Representative Steczo. Representative Keane, for what
 reason do you seek recognition?"
- Keane: "Yes, at the proper time, I would like to go back to 588 and 593 to be heard on...ask leave to be heard on Third Reading."
- Speaker Breslin: "They may not be because they have not met the Constitutional requirement of having been read three times on three separate days. Today was the first day that these Bills were read a second time. They must remain until tomorrow."
- Keane: "Does that mean...does that mean that we were in error in passing Senate Bill 344?"
- Speaker Breslin: "No, 344 had been held on Second and had been
 read previously. We don't make too many errors,
 Representative Keane."
- Keane: "That's wonderful."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo is recognized on Senate Bill 634."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Steczo."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

 Amendment #1 deletes everything after the enacting clause and provides just a...replaces the provisions of the Act with a simple change that has been agreed to by the downstate assessors. And it provides that every property owner should be notified in bold type, a notice of possible eligibility for a homestead improvement exemption. Rather than the...rather than the plan that was provided in the original Bill, this makes it a lot simpler and a lot less difficult for the local assessors to comply with. And they have agreed to this language and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 634. On the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Stange."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stange. Is Mr. Stange in the chamber? Withdraw #2. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 945, Representative McGann. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 930...9...Senate Bill 945, a Bill for an Act in relation to financing community facilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- June 21, 1989
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1094, Representative Granberg. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1094, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic development. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Granberg."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd ask leave to withdraw Floor Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #1. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments. Wait, yeah. Amendment #2, offered by Representative Black."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Black."
- Black: "Well, thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 would allow a manufacturing facility to be exempt from additional charges added on its utility bills as pass—ons of the municipal and state utility taxes to the extent that such charges are exempted by municipal ordinance and to the extent agreed by DCCA. It would also exempt this manufacturing facility from the Retail Sales Act."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1094. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. On the Order of Revenue, Third Reading there are several Bills. The Sponsors of which are

- June 21, 1989
- Hultgren, Giorgi, John Dunn and Trotter. The first Bill is Senate Bill 500, Representative Hultgren. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 500, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Library District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hultgren."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second for the purposes of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted.

 Are there any Amendments filed, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Hultgren."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of You may recall that this matter was discussed yesterday. And there was a concern expressed that it could result in an increase in property tax without a referendum. And specifically this Amendment is intended to address that concern. It provides that in the case of a conversion of a municipal library to a district library, that municipality must reduce its property tax levy by the amount levied in the last year it had the library, in the first year after the conversion. And I believe having discussed this with some of those who took place...took part in yesterday's debate, that this does in fact satisfy their concerns. Would be glad to answer any questions and would ask for a favorable Roll Call on the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hultgren, would you mind taking this out of the record just for a moment and we'll get right back to it? The legal staff is looking at the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Amendment. Okay? Out of the record. Senate Bill 697, Representative Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi. Out of... Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 697, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill." Speaker Breslin: "Any...Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, this is House...Senate Bill 697 and it has to do with the Public Community College Act.

Authorizes ineligible community college district to annualty levy additional tax increases, total taxing authority to the most recent statewide average actual levy rate for specified purposes subject to certification by the state board and subject to the backdoor referendum."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate
Bill 697. On the question, the Gentleman from Will,
Representative Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Regan: "Representative, does this still require a backdoor referendum?"

Giorgi: "Yes."

Regan: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 697 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 60 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present'. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "I just wanted to make Representative Giorgi nervous

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

while I stood up."

- Speaker Breslin: "This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 744, Representative John Dunn. John Dunn. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1305, Representative Trotter. Out of the record. The next Order is the Order of Housing, Second Reading. The Sponsors are Capparelli and Turner. Mr. Turner. The first Bill is Senate Bill 722, Representative Capparelli. Mr. Terzich, are you handling that Bill for Representative Capparelli? Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 722, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the
 Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1463,
 Representative Turner. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the
 record. The next Order is the Order of Environment and
 Natural Resources, Second Reading. The Sponsors are Kubik
 and Balanoff. The first Bill is Senate Bill 83,
 Representative Kubik. Out of the record. Senate Bill
 1073, Representative Balanoff. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1073, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to natural resources. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Balanoff, your Bill must remain on the Order of Second for want of a fiscal note. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1385. Representative Cullerton in the Chair."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Balanoff...yes, Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1385, a Bill for an Act to amend the Energy Conservation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. On this Order of Business,

Senate Bill 635, Representative Breslin. Mr. Clerk, please
read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 635, a Bill for an Act to amend the Solid Waste Planning and Recycling Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker Cullerton: "Any Motions filed with respect to Amendments #1 and 2? I'm sorry, with respect to Amendment #2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Cullerton: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. The next Order of Business...
the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Excuse me, just an inquiry of the Chair. Was Floor
Amendment #2 withdrawn on 635?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Amendment #2 was adopted on June 20th, which
 was yesterday."

Black: "Okay. I thought I heard him say no Floor Amendments.

I'm sorry."

Speaker Cullerton: "No further Floor Amendments."

Black: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "I would like to present this Bill on Third Reading. It was held on Second previously and has not been amended."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. I'm sorry, the Bill is on Third Reading, correct, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill is on Third Reading. Senate Bill 635, a

Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Solid Waste

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Planning and Recycling Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Breslin on Senate Bill 635."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the agreed Bill that would ban lead acid batteries from being landfilled in the future and would establish a program for the collection and the recycling of batteries. We have adopted Amendments on behalf of the Illinois Retail Merchants Association and the Battery Industry to make this an agreed Bill. The process itself is one that we have discussed in the past. We think that it has been streamlined to the satisfaction of business and industry and I believe would be a very good environmental vote. I

move the adoption of... or the passage of Senate Bill 635."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 635. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 635 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On Third Reading, Environment and Natural Resources. Representative Hannig on Senate Bill 64. Out of the record. Representative Novak, Senate Bill 231. Representative Novak on Senate Bill 231. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 231, a Bill for an Act regarding the propagation and conservation of pheasants in Illinois.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Novak on Senate Bill 231."

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House. Senate Bill231 creates the states pheasant stamp.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

It provides that 50% of the funds received shall be given to not-for-profit organizations and 50% to the Department of Conservation for the purpose of enhancing pheasant propagation and conservation in the State of Illinois. pheasant stamp would cost five dollars. This is something The emphasis or the genesis of this legislation is that for a number of years our pheasant population in the State of Illinois is declining. It's declining because agricultural methods of farming are changing and also we're plowing more... we're plowing more dirt up and fields up, and we're trying to use...we're going to try to use this money to propagate pheasants. Now, I'm a pheasant hunter. I know we have other pheasant hunters that are Members of the Legislature. Whether you're a hunter or not, or a conservationist or not, if you ever had pheasant for dinner, it's delicious. It's some of the best tasting fowl that Illinois can produce. I think this is a good piece of legislation. The Department of Conservation is for Pheasants Unlimited, I think, everyone got a letter from me and Senator Jerome Joyce and a little complimentary lapel pin from Pheasants Unlimited...or Pheasants Forever. And I would certainly appreciate your support on this important legislation. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 231. On that...I see Representative Tate's light is on. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. thank you. Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise reluctantly in opposition to this Bill. It's...it's not a bad concept to...to establish funds for habitat preservation for pheasants, however, the precedent we're setting here will leave us with a situation where we'll have...next year we'll have

60th Legislative Day

June 21. 1989

the quail stamp, the next year after that we'll have the rabbit stamp, the next year after that we'll have the...the deer stamp and the next year after that we'll have a robin stamp and a squirrel stamp and a...and et cetera, cetera, et cetera. There was alternatives offered to this plan, in fact, for the last couple of years, I've sponsored a habitat stamp, which essentially accomplishes the objective, which would be stamp that would...would...would fund all upland game programs. And I think the Sponsor has a good idea. In fact, I think it's important that we do preserve pheasant upland game programs and establish habitat, but the only problem I have is if the Members think about this and especially downstate Members, you know that there are Quail Unlimited, there are Bow Hunters Unlimited, there's Turkey Unlimited and there's a lot of other groups that are out there that are going to be left without a program and are going to come right back to you the next year and ask for a similar program. therefore, I rise in opposition to the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask him a question.

Does...nonprofit organization...which nonprofit organizations get some of this money?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "...Pheasants Forever. Their national headquarters is in St. Paul, Minnesota. They have many chapters throughout the State of Illinois."

Balanoff: "And what do they do, you know, as..."

Novak: "They are basically a private, not-for-profit corporation.

They have fundraisers and raise money for conservation programs. In our...on private properties to enhance the population of pheasants in Illinois."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Balanoff: "And. Then they...they spend some of that money to let us know that they want this thing passed, this Bill passed?"

Novak: "It's a nice little lapel pin...it looks good on your lapel, Representative."

Balanoff: "Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he'll yield."

Harris: "Representative, the five dollar stamp, who pays that?

The...someone who is hunting pheasants?"

Novak: "The hunter. The hunter, the persons who hunt pheasants."

Harris: "Okay. A hunter now who hunts pheasants, as an example on a stocked preserve, do they pay anything to hunt pheasants now?"

Novak: "No, other than a hunting license, Representative."

Harris: "Alright, so there's not a special stamp. To follow up what the Gentleman said earlier about the possibility of more and more stamps, a proliferation of stamps for quail and all these other things, is it your intention to have a game stamp of uniform to hunt all sorts of fowl or game or are you looking to do this with quail and with rabbit and the other types of things that were mentioned?"

Novak: "No. My intention is for a pheasant stamp. It is not my intention to form a upland game stamp or however you want to term it. Representative Tate did try to put an Amendment on the Bill and was not successful and we're here just specifically for pheasants."

Harris: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Robert Olson. Representative Robert Olson."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Olson R.: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, not a question but to the Bill. The concept I buy, I think it's good. I think where the five dollars would go is good. But I see a problem for conservation officers. Pheasant season, rabbit season, quail season, all run the same days or near the same days and you're going to be having a stamp required for only the pheasant. I see an enforcement problem in whether a man is a hunter in the field, is hunting the quail and the rabbit also, either, neither, nor; it's a determination that'll be hard to be made. An upland game stamp might be well. I would...I would even be more pleased though with just a stamp for those three species and I just am opposed to this Bill in its present concept and I'd like others to join me in that position."

Speaker Cullerton: "There being no further discussion, the

Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak to close."

Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the "Thank you, Mr. Novak: House. To address Representative Robert Olson's concerns. What's the difference between that and a...if you were fishing walleye on the Kankakee River or on the Illinois River and the walleye season was finished and you had a valid fishing license in your...in your...on your and a game preserve officer came over to you and said...or a conservation officer said, 'what kind of fish are you How can he tell if you're fishing for fishing for?' know, Ι share your walleye, perch or bass? So, you want to point out one that, but I just concerns about thing. I never got a call from Bunny Rabbits Unlimited, or Bunny Rabbits Forever, I never got a call from Ground Squirrels Unlimited or any type of other little rodents that go around in this habitat. We're here to propagate and increase the pheasant population in Illinois. Not only for hunters but for conservationist, so we can see this

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

beautiful animal in our state. And I ask you for your 'aye' support. Thank you."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 231. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 231 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Yes. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, one minute to explain your vote."
- Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I...It obviously appears it has the requisite number of votes to pass. I just wanted to...hope the Sponsor doesn't make me give the pin back. Alright?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 87 voting 'yes', 26 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is passed. Representative hereby declared McCracken. Representative McCracken, I just wanted to indicate for the record that we were on Representative Hultgren's Bill, which was on the Order of Revenue and we asked him to take it out of the record. With your permission, Sir, I'd like to go back to...out of order, go back to Representative Hultgren's Bill, Senate Bill 500. And apparently, Representative McCracken does not oppose that. Clerk, if you could please read Senate Bill 500."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 500, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Public Library District Act. This Bill's taken
 back to the Order of Second Reading. Amendment...Floor
 Amendment #3 was under discussion, sponsored by
 Representative Hultgren."
- Speaker Cullerton: "So, the...Representative Hultgren on Amendment #3."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Before this Bill was taken out of the record, I briefly explained Amendment #3. It is intended to address the concerns of those who participated in yesterday's debate, that Senate Bill 500 might in fact result inadvertently, in a property tax increase without a referendum. I believe, having spoken with some of those people in yesterday's debate, and having reviewed Amendment #3 with them, that this satisfies their concerns. And I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment and would be glad to answer any questions if any remain."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 500. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading."

Hultgren: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes, one second, Representative. This Bill was on Third Reading. You brought it back for an Amendment. So the Gentleman asks leave to hear and consider Senate Bill 500 at this time. Is there objection? The Gentleman has leave. Mr. Clerk, by the Attendance Roll Call the Gentleman shall be allowed consider Senate Bill 500. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 500, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Library District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hultgren on Senate Bill 500."

Hultgren: "Thank you. I'll try and be brief, Mr. Speaker.

There're two components of the Bill. The first part says,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

that when a municipal library converts to a district library, that the new library district maximum tax rate is the rate that was being charged by the old municipal library that it succeeded. Second part of the Amendment says that when a county library converts to a district library, it may maintain a library board larger...or as large as the board of the preceding county library. And it...both of these Amendments are intended to facilitate conversions to district libraries. I'd be glad to answer any questions that may remain on this Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."

McNamara: "What I'm wondering about on this Bill, maybe I didn't hear right in your explanation. Is it true that the...the library district that disconnects will maintain the same tax rate as when it has disconnected, is that correct?"

Hultgren: "I'm not sure that disconnect is the right word."

McNamara: "Okay."

Hultgren: "Convert is the right word. If a home rule municipality, and that's all that Section 1 applies to, home rule municipalities converts from a municipal library to a district library, the newly formed district library shall have a maximum tax rate at the rate that was being charged previously by the municipal library. That's all it says."

McNamara: "This is for one year, correct?"

Hultgren: "No, that...that..."

McNamara: "...And then it reverts..."

Hultgren: "...That will be the maximum tax rate that the district library can charge, unless they go to referendum, a front door referendum then they could exceed that maximum rate.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

But that is the maximum rate that library can charge."

- McNamara: "Okay. Could...could you give me an example of that.

 I still don't totally understand what...what you're talking about as far as... as far as it being transferred."
- Hultgren: "My understanding is that this was specifically introduced to address a situation in Granite City. assume that the Granite City library is currently...Granite City municipal library is currently charging at seventeen They would convert to a district library. cents. Now under the District Library Act, the maximum rate is fifteen cents, but these taxpayers have already been paying at the rate of seventeen cents with the old municipal library. the new district library can then charge the maximum of The same rate they were accustomed to seventeen cents. paying for the municipal library tax."
- McNamara: "So the advantage for a library to come under this law is, is that by doing this and in converting the library...by converting the library to a district rate...In other words, if they were going to convert or just establish a new district library, they would actually get two cents less rather than just converting over, which in affect, gives them an increase of two cents of a tax levy forever, is that correct?"
- Hultgren: "No. They've already...they already have the two cent increase. What it prevents is a penalty for conversion to a district library. Currently, if they chose to convert to a district library, they might be penalized and this simply removes the penalty. They would be penalized in the conversion. This removes the penalty so that they can tax at the same rate, but no higher that they were previously taxing as a home rule municipal library."
- McNamara: "Correct. As a...if a home rule municipal library were to convert to a district library, correct?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Hultgren: "Yes."

McNamara: "Under the old law, they would lose two cents assessment, on their tax rate, approximately?"

Hultgren: "Under the example that I just gave you, yes."

McNamara: "That's correct. Okay. Therefore, if a library was to form itself as a new district library, they would have a maximum cap lower than the conversion rate?"

Hultgren: "That's right, without this Bill."

McNamara: "That's correct. So therefore, what this Bill is doing is, is allowing a home rule convert to a district library and actually receive a two percent increase over what a district library would be if it were established new..."

Hultgren: "That's right. Two percent increase, but the same rate that the municipal taxpayers were currently paying."

McNamara: "I understand that. But... but it still allows them to convert at a two cents higher and that's the reason they would wish to do this. Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Indicates he will."

Williams: "Okay, after that long discussion I hope to kind of make this some clarifying things that I want to make sure of. First of all, this only converts a present municipal library into a separate library district, is that correct?"

Hultgren: "That's right and if I can anticipate your next question, it does not affect Cook Count...or does not affect the City of Chicago because the conversion statute specifically exempts home rule municipal libraries over a given population and it's intended to exclude the City of Chicago."

Williams: "I wasn't going to ask that but thanks. But, now, the next question is..."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Hultgren: "...I anticipated the wrong question, I apologize."

Williams: "No, it's okay. Now, along with the tax that the conversion does not in any way increase the tax rate that's presently being paid, is that correct?"

Hultgren: "That's right. The only way the tax rate could be increased over the present rate is with a front door referendum."

Williams: "So, if in fact there is a desire to increase it, it would be by means of a front door referendum and this move here does not directly affect the taxes whatsoever?"

Hultgren: "That's correct."

Williams: "Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Barger."

Barger: "Yes, a qu... a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Cullerton: "Indicates he'll yield."

Barger: "Representative..."

Hultgren: "Yes."

Barger: "I was busy listening to someone else when I should have been listening to you and I apologize for that. If a municipality does disconnect their library in this fashion, would the municipalities tax rate that they charge the taxpayer be lowered by the 17% that you mentioned?"

Hultgren: "The answer to your question is, yes. The Amendment which we just added says, that in the year following the conversion, the municipality must completely abate the rate which they've been charging for library purposes."

Barger: "Now most municipalities don't charge a special rate for each of these functions, except in their own budget, but their request to the county includes it. But this will... this solves that problem too."

Hultgren: "I believe it addresses that problem as well, yes."
Barger: "Okay. Thank you."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise in support of Senate Bill 500. I think that the Sponsor with the adoption of Amendment #3 has adequately addressed the concerns of those who were concerned that there would be an increase in taxation. I think that the Bill in its present posture, is going to allow library boards to convert to library districts and thereby provide services to outlying areas that don't have those type of services at the present time. I would urge your support of Senate Bill 500."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook,
 Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to say that yesterday I raised objections to this Bill and the Gentleman has satisfied those objections with the Amendment. I support the Amendment and I support the Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hensel."
- Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

 Speaker Cullerton: "It won't be necessary, no one else is seeking recognition. The Gentleman... Representative Hultgren to close on Senate Bill 500. Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would simply ask for an Affirmative Roll Call."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 500 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 95 voting 'aye', 19 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of State Budget

- June 21, 1989
- there are two appropriation Bills yet to do. The first one is Senate Bill 439, Representative Mays. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 439, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Corrections. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any Motions filed with regard to Amendments 1, 2 and 3?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Committee Amendment #2 by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Leverenz..."
- Clerk O'Brien: "... And that Motion prevailed, previously."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any other Motions?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "... No."
- Speaker Cullerton: "... With respect to Amendments 1 or 3?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Okay. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
 Mays."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Mays on Amendment #4."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 adds back 700,000 roughly to the department's budget to put back in their original schedule for the work camp beds that they had in at Harrisburg, Dixon and East Moline. I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 439. Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Leverenz."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Leverenz asks to withdraw Amendment #5. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Leverenz and Mays."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #6."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This would restore some cuts that were made in contractual services, commodities, equipment and this and the previous Amendment 5 would be a restoration of about 2.2 million. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 439. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #6 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. The next Bill is Senate Bill 442, Representative Ryder. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 442, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Health. This Bill has been read a second time previously. There were Motions to table Committee Amendments #1, 2 and 3...or 1, I'm sorry. Table Committee Amendments 1, 3 and 7, and those Motions prevailed. Floor Amendments 9 and 10 were withdrawn, 11 lost, 12, 13 were withdrawn, 14 was adopted, 15 was adopted and 16 lost."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17, offered by Representative Deuchler and Flowers."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Deuchler on Amendment #17 to

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Senate Bill 442."

- Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 Amendment 17 adds a sum of 2,860,000 dollars from GRF to
 the Department of Public Health for the purpose of grants
 to local county and multiple county health departments for
 home visitation and other services for pregnant women, new
 mothers and infants. This appropriation Amendment would be
 used to fund Senate Bill 1047, Representative Mary Flower's
 Bill, which passed yesterday with seventy—one affirmative
 votes."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Senate...of Amendment #17 to Senate Bill 442. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Well, fir...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Lady, I believe, mispoke when she said this was an appropriation for 2,860 dollars. It is appropriation for 2,860,000 dollars and while this is a...certainly a worthy goal and we do very often try reserve money in the budget for Bills that pass; this issue has not come before the Appropriations Committee, nor have we had a chance to examine the issue to determine exactly how much money would be needed and at what point during the year it would be needed. Any new program, as you know, does not...cannot start up on July 1. In fact, the General Assembly will probably still be in Session July 1. consequently, we need to...more time to examine legislation to determine how much money this...will in fact be needed next year. And so the...reluctantly, I rise in opposition to the Amendment at this stage of the game, not to the concept but to the... I do oppose this Amendment at this particular time."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I too, rise in support of this Amendment. And it was brought to my attention yesterday by one of the previous speaker, on my Bill 1047, in regards to the amount of monies that would be involved. And as a result of that, Representative Deuchler...Deuchler and I came to the conclusion that this amount would be appropriate to help the women and the children, who are now presently not being served in this particular state. So, again, I would urge the support of Senate Bill 424(sic), Amendment #17. Thank you very much."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. I rise in opposition to this. This is a good idea whose time has not yet come. In the process of the appropriation this...this is not the correct time to proceed with this. It's 2,000,000 dollars that is not in the budget. It is dollars that should be discussed, if at all during the conference time, later on. And as a result, I would ask our colleagues to oppose what may be a worthy idea, but is not being presented at the right time."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Well, I stand in support of the Bill because, you know, it says this is not the appropriate time, but this year we probably have more money available for programs with not even including tax increases than we've had in the years I've been in the Appropriations Committee. And one of the speakers, the Chairman I think of the committee, said it hadn't been discussed in committee. Well, I do remember vividly Representative Flowers and Representative Deuchler speaking about this very issue in the committee where we

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

all served. And though we didn't resolve it at that committee, it was suggested that maybe we'll tackle this problem on the floor. I do agree with Representative Ryder in that perhaps it needs a little bit more clarification in the Bill about whose...who can avail themselves of this money, but I certainly think the time has come. This is a better year to have this program, then a year when we don't have funds. And I think we should act on it now and I do support the Amendment."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #17 to Senate Bill 442 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who Clerk... wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Representative...from Cook, Representative explain his vote. Doesn't wish to seek recognition. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 38 voting... 40 voting 'yes', 71 voting 'no', 2 voting The Amendment is defeated. 'present'. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18, offered by Representative Johnson."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Johnson on Amendment #18."
- Johnson: "Yeah, this...there...I believe this agreed to. It

 deletes 11,233 from AIDS education to a local health
 department and I move its adoption."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #18 to Senate Bill 442. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #18 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19, offered by Representative Ryder and Pullen."

- June 21, 1989
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ryder, Amendment #19 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20, offered by Representative Bowman."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Bowman on Amendment #20. He wishes to withdraw Amendment #20. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #21, offered by Representative Ryder and Pullen."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ryder...Representative Ryder on Amendment #21."
- Ryder: "Thank you. This is similar to a previous Amendment with the addition that it doesn't take money away from certain line items. It does add money for the link-partner contract tracing and some other worthy...worthy endeavors. It does not however, take some of the money away as did the previous Bill(sic). And I think now it would be a bit more acceptable. Thank you."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #21. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. Direct your attention to the center aisle, there's Mr. Houlihan and there's three people look exactly alike who are in the center aisle. Congratulations. I'd like to welcome Grant, John and 'Myria' to the Illinois House of Representatives. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Leverenz: "Just as a point of information for the Chair. Now that we have taken care of all the Appropriation Bills on Second Reading, when we pass them on Third, we will have completed passage in the House of about 99 percent of the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

entire budget."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Congratulations...Return to the order of what
 we were on before we went to...back to Representative
 Hultgren. On Third Reading, Environment and Natural
 Resources, the next Bill was House (sic)Bill 633,
 Representative Kulas. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 633, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Kulas on Senate Bill 633."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 633 amends the Environmental Protection Act, and it increases the civil penalties for violations of the Act from a maximum of \$10,000 to a maximum of \$50,000 and also 10,000 instead of 1,000 for each day the violation continues. Twenty years ago you know, a \$10,000 penalty for EPA violations got the polluters attention and had a deterrent effect. In today's economy, however, a \$10,000 penalty does not discourage violations of the Act and for the polluter has just become another cost of doing business. Senate Bill 633, also creates the offense of criminal operation of a hazardous waste or PCB incinerator and I would move for its passage."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 633. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Balanoff."
- Balanoff: "Yes. I want to rise in support of this and explain that this in many respects addresses a problem that on the southeast side of Chicago we have a PCB burning incinerator, which on a...four occasions they shut off the carbon monoxide staff monitors, burned real high level amounts of PCB's which are cancer causing. I felt there should of been criminal penalties because it was a conscious act, and I certainly think that even fifty

- June 21, 1989
- thousand dollar penalties are certainly not enough, and I really urge every Member of the Legislature to vote in favor of Senate Bill 633."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will. Representative Kulas, to answer a question."
- Black: "Thank you. Representative, on your fines, that's a pretty big jump from \$10,000 to \$50,000 and we're also creating a class 4 felony, but it's...is it my understanding that what your doing here basically is bringing Illinois law into compliance or the same as federal law, and federal fine?"
- Kulas: "That's correct."
- Black: "So, we're not...we're not really breaking any new ground here, we're just trying to get into compliance with federal EPA rules and regulations?"
- Kulas: "That's correct."
- Black: "Alright. Thank you, very much."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is (shall Senate Bill 633 pass?) All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 787, Representative Currie. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 787, a Bill for an Act concerning bike paths. Third Reading of the Bill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Currie, on Senate Bill 633 (sic, 787)."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 787, is Senator Sam Vadalabene's Bicycle Bill. The program is about safety, it's about healthy recreation, it's even about economic development. The Bill as as we see it before us, would establish a grant program in the Department of Conservation funded by an increase in the vehicle transfer tax that would make monies available to local governments and in areas administered by the Department of Conservation, to improve and to increase the number of miles of bicycle trails in the State of Illinois. Today we have a very limited number of bike trails, never mind that practically half the adult population in the State of Illinois are prepared to be bicyclists. public lands, only...only about...two hundred...sorry...one hundred and eighty one miles of Safety is an issue here as well, there were more than five thousand injuries suffered by bicyclists in 1987 Safe places for bicyclists to ply their wheels alone. would obviously make sense. Thirdly, the Bill is about economic development. We have seen in our sister state Wisconsin, a substantial development of bike trails and in fact the 'Elroy Disparta' Trail encourages more than fifty thousand visitors a year. More than half of them come from out of state and the majority of the out of state users are from, you guessed it, the State of Illinois. impact, economic impact, on the State of Wisconsin from those out of state visitors is about a million and a half dollars a year. So, this Bill which is supported by the Department of Conservation, by the Secretary of State, the Sierra Club, by the Park Districts, by the Illinois Environmental Council and by other conservation

- June 21, 1989
- organizations is before you. I would appreciate your support for good trails for Illinois bicyclists and I'd be happy to answer your questions."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Mr. Clerk, let the record indicate this is

 Senate Bill 787. So the Lady's moved for the passage of

 Senate Bill 787 and on that is, there any discussion? The

 Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor
 yield?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates she will."
- Black: "Thank you. Representative, it's a little noisy in here.

 Let me see if I can make sure we're on the same wave length here. What...what this Bill does is to increase fees for certificates of title or duplicate certificates of title or corrected certificates of title by two dollars. Is that correct? From three dollars to five dollars? Correct?"
- Currie: "That is correct."
- Black: "Our file indicates that there are approximately three and a half million such transactions a year, so we're talking about raising about seven million dollars a year. And did I hear you say that this...this is...becomes then dedicated revenue to the Department of Conservation?"
- Currie: "Under this Bill yes, Representative, but let me for the record point out that there is an agreement that fifty cents of that increase ultimately will be used in order to help combat the asian tiger mosquito. There is not at the moment any substantive legislation to accomplish that purpose, but the proponents of this Bill, the Department of Conservation and the Secretary of State's Office, all support eventual diversion of one quarter of the total to asian tiger mosquito abatement activities."
- Black: "And would the...would the seven million dollars then be subjected to an annual appropriation by this Body?"

- June 21, 1989
- Currie: "I believe that it will be. There is language in the
 Bill that would establish the grant program for the
 Department of Conservation to meet the substantive
 requirements that are set before it."
- Black: "Alright, thank...thank you very much."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."
- Klemm: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates she will."
- Klemm: "Did you say, Representative Currie, that one fourth of the funds for this increase would go to the tiger mosquito campaign or something like that?"
- Currie: "There is a side agreement among the proponents of this Bill? The Secretary State's Office, the Department of Conservation, the Pollution Control Board and various and sundry others, that fifty cents ultimately should go to the battle against the asian tiger mosquito, but there's no present substantive legislation to accomplish that end."
- Klemm: "Alright, and...and do I understand also that this extra monies are being eyed to maybe help landfills and tire disposal and things such as that besides?"
- Currie: "That fifty cents I assume would be used to deal with the tires, the other kinds of places where asian tiger mosquitos breed."
- Klemm: "After everybody eyes this extra new money and then takes a fourth of it here and a fourth of it there, what really will be left then for the bike trails that this original legislation is suppose to be for, because we seem to be cloaking it, if you will, for bike trails and open spaces and in the same time diverting all the funds, at least now almost fifty percent of it for other activities?"
- Currie: "Well, what is before you now Representative, is the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

opportunity to vote to spend all of this money on bicycle trails. I just want the Membership to be aware that the proponents of the Bill would be prepared for later legislation that would divert not most of the money, but only a quarter of the money for the purpose of combating the asian tiger..."

Klemm: "Alright, that's...and that's different legislation at
 this time."

Currie: "That is different legislation, but I think it is important for the Assembly to know that it is not likely that the full amount will be spent on developing bicycle trails."

Klemm: "Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates she will."

Pedersen: "Are are you saying that the owners of automobiles are gonna have an increase in fees so that they can take care of some bicycle trails? Is that what we're talking about?"

Currie: "We are talking about a two dollar increase in the Vehicle Transfer Tax. Let me just point out to you that..."

Pedersen: "But that's for automobiles, right? For automobiles...for bicycles."

Currie: "Passenger vehicles and so forth. This legislation is supported by the Secretary of State. To my knowledge our Secretary of State does not whimsically support increased fee Bills in this Assembly, and let me just tell you that there are only seven states in the Union who have fees as low as ours. Our neighbor Iowa charges ten dollars for a Vehicle Transfer Tax. Michigan charges ten dollars.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Wisconsin is...is more than is the State of Illinois right now. As I say, most of the states in the nation charge at least five dollars and some of them are well above that amount. In order to get the Secretary of State's support for this program I think you'd have to agree that this is not a...whimsical or far out, a proposal that would put Illinois drivers in some disadvantaged situation compared to those of our neighboring states."

Pedersen: "Thank you. To the Bill, Mr. Chairman. I think that the automobile driver and the automobile owner is already being punished enough. That...we're talking about gasoline tax increases we're talking about license plate increases, and they're always going after the automobile owner. And I think that if...if we're going to increase these kind of fees, it ought to be for something having to do with automobiles. And I would recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Yes. I...you know, when...when is the time going to come when we say enough is enough? Can't we think of a better use of the taxpayer's money than bike paths? What is government doing taking away money by force of law and then spending it on something as frivolous as bike paths. As soon as I heard the Sierra Club was for this I They want everybody in America to walk to work. And they want everybody in America to live as they lived a hundred years ago for its own sake. It is absolutely silly. When are we going to stop being frivolous? Don't we understand government is for important things in life, instead of bike paths and roses so people can smell them when they wake up in the morning. agree a bike is a nice thing and a bike path is a nice thing, but we're gonna force people to pay more money under

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the guise of good government, when we use it for some frivolous purpose. It's a silly Bill!"

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of Senate Bill 787. You know one the previous speaker's mentioned about...you know, bicycling, smelling the rose...smelling the roses, walking to work, etc. You look around you, everybody's on a health kick these days. You know, we don't want people to smoke, we don't want them to eat red meat, you know you gotta eat more fish, you need more exercise, and bicycling is getting to be a very popular sport right now. You know, whole families are going out bicycling. What...what other way is better to encourage this, to encourage more tourists coming into the State of Illinois, spending their money instead of going to Wisconsin or Michigan. So, I think it's a good Bill and I think you should all be voting 'green' on this Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Lady from Lake,

Representative Stern."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I love it when Representative McCracken gets exercised on a subject and I just can't remain in my seat. I rise in support of this legislation and would add only that I've heard from every park district in my considerably...park district populated district. In support of this legislation, it is good healthy sensible outdoor environmental legislation. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, like Representative McCracken

a few years ago, thought that developing a bike path was a

very poor use of state monies, until we did provide some

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

funds from the state to an in—town bike path that was an abandoned railroad in Bloomington/Normal. And I can assure you that is one of the most successful pieces of final ventures that we have been able to develop in the twin cities. It is constantly in use. There are people both day and night, families as have been indicated and certainly this has been a very successful program, and one that has a tendency to keep young people and families together doing something right in their own back yard."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. The idea of bike paths is certainly a good one. probably all support it, we probably all ride bicycles at some point or another and we like the idea of having a bike path. However, I think we can question here, why do we why do we increase a fee for people who drive tax. automobiles or motor vehicles to supply something for bicyclists? Why don't we make the bicyclists pay for their bicycle paths? It would be like...like levying a tax on airport...or people who use airports, airplane passengers, to pay for the roads in the State of Illinois or conversely increasing the gas tax to build airports. We don't do it. We don't do it because in essence it's a user fee. people who use the bike paths should pay for the bike paths. It's instructive to note I think, that the started out in the Senate, probably along the right lines. Senator Vadalabene levied a tax on those people who bought It turned out to be a ten dollar tax which has bicycles. subsequently been amended to this Bill, but a tax on those people who bought bicycles. That makes sense. If you buy bicycles you're gonna use the bicycle path. You ought pay for the bicycle path. But, why make the operator of a

- June 21, 1989
- motor vehicle pay for a bicycle path that he's never gonna use? It's inappropriate. For that reason the Bill should be defeated."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Hartke."
- Hartke: "Well, I think this is just a fantastic idea. You know
 we have bike paths in southern Illinois, only we call it
 Route 50 and we run semis up and down it."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van

 Duyne. He doesn't seek recognition, or does he?

 Representative Van Duyne? Your light...you were requesting recognition?"
- Van Duyne: "We were...thank you, Mr. Speaker. We were just sitting here remarking about what Representative Harris just brought up, and Representative Balanoff pressed my button and he said that he agrees with Representative Harris, that some of the expense of this should be put on the bicycle owners."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik."
 Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in support of this fine legislation and the reason I do, is because I have experienced in my own district the comments and considerations of the people who use bike paths. Though I live in Cook County, there's a gorgeous bike path that goes through Cook county into DuPage County and it's long...it's along the old railroad line. And if you were to see the number of people that are using these bike paths, you wouldn't even be giving it this type of debate. This is a good Bill and it's a good request and I ask that you give it a favorable vote."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf." Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

All those in favor of moving the previous question,...question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The previous question is put. The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie to close."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. T think there's a reason that we're...we're increasing the tax on the...on the motor vehicle transfer program to pay for the bicycle trails, it's to help the motorists by getting the bicyclists off the highway. The accidents, the fatality rates have increased dramatically and bike trails are a way to insure that people who are using those...those items for recreational purposes are not cluttering the highways, are not in the way of the automobile drivers. This Bill has the support of Jim Edgar, the Secretary of State, the Department of Conservation, the Park Boards, the Illinois Environmental Council and I think all the people who care about a healthy, fit and safe bicycle riding public in the State of Illinois. I'd appreciate your support for Senate Bill 787, Senator Sam's Bicycle Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 787 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

The voting is open. This is final passage. The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing, one minute to explain your vote."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I wanted to ask the Sponsor a question and maybe she'll shake her head. Maybe the Sponsor will answer the question. Does the money from this go to reimburse any of the bike trails that have already been built and paid for locally or is this just for new ones?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Currie, you may explain your vote if you wish. Representative Currie, to explain her

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

vote."

Currie: "I believe that it is for the creation of new bicycle trails."

Ewing: "Thank you."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 67 voting 'yes', 44 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'.

 This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill...Senate Bill 850, Representative Brunsvold. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 850, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to fur-bearing animals. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Brunsvold, on Senate Bill 850."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen Senate Bill 850 was a Bill that was put the House. together by the Illinois Trappers Association. group that would like to tax themselves. The fur trappers of Illinois have a large economic effect on this state, in fact, in Northwestern Illinois alone, there's eighteen million dollars in the fur business in that portion of the This Bill would set up a fur-bearers stamp that would generate approximately two hundred thousand dollars a year that would be given directly to the Department of Conservation for habitat...fur-bearing habitat and also for public education on fur-bearing mammal...management. The fur-bearing stamp would apply only to fox, raccoon, coyotes, possums, skunk, animals like that. It is not an upland game type stamp that would include rabbits and squirrels, so I would... I know of no opposition to the Bill and would answer any questions."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 850. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson. Does Representative Johnson seek recognition? The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."
- Ropp: "Representative, is this fur-bearers stamp to be conducted like we have a duck stamp now, where we have artists that will draw and design pictures of different ducks? Will we have someone draw a fur?"
- Brunsvold: "Representative, I don't believe that's going to happen. I think Conservation will probably just produce the stamp..."
- Ropp: "Well, this is a kind of economic development. We have all these artists that would be out there drawing furs."
- Brunsvold: "Well...well I suppose we could go in that direction,
 but the stamp would be purchased by hunters and sellers of
 furs and that stamp, I think, would be generated in-house,
 in Conservation."
- Ropp: "This is a group of people who are very enthusiastic about a hobby, a trade, a profession, a business that they have and I think that we ought to support it. It will provide opportunities for young people and others to learn about fur trapping and the care of wild game, and I think it's a good Bill and will support it."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."
- Weaver: "Is there a particular reason that you've decided to go
 with the stamp rather than simply increasing the trapper's

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

license fee?"

Brunsvold: "The Illinois Trapper's Association put the Bill together and I think they went in the vein of the duck stamp and the present...the stamp we just passed, the pheasant stamp, saying that they would like to have a stamp on their license as the other game animals do have and they preferred this. That's all I know."

Weaver: "They just wanted to dress up the license a little bit.

Brunsvold: "Is that what you're saying?" "I guess...you know,
they preferred the stamp to increasing the license. Maybe
it's a little more glamorous than just increasing the fee."

Weaver: "Well, if that's what they want. It sounds good to me."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Harris. Alright, Representative Harris, try Representative
Didrickson's microphone."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he'll yield."

Harris: "Representative, both of us being pheasant hunters, I'm just curious if we're going to get a fur-bearer lapel pin."

Brunsvold: "Well, I want to apologize to all Members of the House. I did not have an opportunity to get a skunk pin or a coyote pin or a fox forever pin."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 850 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mautino, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

privilege. Ladies and Gentlemen, our colleague Representative Jesse White and his tumbling team and dance troupe, will be performing this evening over at Illinois Petroleum Marketers area...parking area, this evening between 6:00 and 8:00 p.m. Jesse's troop is performing so that they may obtain a very important item, a new van, a van for their traveling and their presentations. So, I do want to remind everyone that beginning at 6:00, between 6:00 and 8:00, the Jesse White Tumbling Team will be performing at the Petroleum Marketers and we appreciate all of your assistance and help for Jesse. Thank you."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Thank you, Sir. Representative Currie, on Senate Bill 1044. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1044, a Bill for an Act to promote reduced use of toxic substances. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Currie, on Senate Bill 1044."

 Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the Toxic Pollution Prevention Act and it would create a special section in the Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Chemical Safety, to promote toxic reduction strategies within industry and would also create a toxic prevention pollution...toxic pollution prevention program in the hazardous waste research and information center. We've amended the Bill so that the cost is not substantial and I'd be happy to answer your questions and would appreciate your support for Senate Bill 1044."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate
 Bill 1044. On that, is there any discussion? The
 Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates she will."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Black: "Representative, I'm only aware of a slight degree of opposition to the Bill and it has to do with where the permit fee money will be used. It's my understanding that that can be used for basic administrative expenses. In other words, to pay staff for this particular program that you are starting here. Can you address those concerns?"
- Currie: "Well, the diversion that you speak of was requested by the State's Environmental Protection Agency. They would be required under the Bill to help industry develop toxic pollution prevention strategies and they were concerned how they would fund their staff to meet that goal. So, it was at their request that we put that language in the Bill and it is our expectation that there would not be a very substantial use of the dollars in that fund for that purpose."
- Black: "Alright. I think you've answered the question. I...to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I think there is some opposition from the industrial community because of the diversion the Sponsor mentioned and it's something that perhaps some of you will want to take a look at. Thank you."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1044 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1086, Representative Currie. Mr. Clerk, please read... Out of the record. Senate Bill 1278, Representative Kulas. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1278, a Bill for an Act to amend the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Kulas, on Senate Bill 1278."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1278 also amends the Environmental Protection Act. It eliminates the authority of the Director to order the owner or custodian of a public water supply to take remedial measures, including supplying water to those not presently being served, whenever there's a release or a threat of a release of a hazardous substance into potable water. This would actually repeal a Section that was put into law about a year ago to handle a certain situation, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1278. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1278 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1379, Representative Balanoff. Senate Bill 1388, Out οf the record. Representative Kulas. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill." Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1388, a Bill for an Act in relation to emergency planning and notification. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Kulas, on Senate Bill 1388."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. Senate Bill 1388 creates the Illinois Emergency
Planning and Community Right to Know Act. It adopts
provisions similar to various Sections of the Federal
Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

That's 'RECRA'. It provides the civil and criminal penalties for violations. It just puts us into federal compliance with the law and I would move for its passage."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1388. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1388 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Breslin, in the Chair."
- Speaker Breslin: "The next Order of Business is the special Order on Banking, Second Reading. Representative Mautino, is the Sponsor of the only Bill on this order. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? I can't see him. Is he in the chamber? Mr. Mautino? Okay. We'll go to Banking, Third Reading. Representative Mulcahey, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Mulcahey: "Is there a hyphenated Cosponsor of this Bill?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, can you tell me? Oh, the Gentleman has returned."
- Mulcahey: "It seems to me that it works for some people around here with hyphenated... Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "It would certainly work if we knew who it was, but we don't know who that is. Senate Bill 901, Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 901, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to confirm the validity of the procedures and policies of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1...Amendment #1 was defeated
 previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, very much, Madam Speaker. There was a Motion for a fiscal note. It has been filed on Senate Bill 901."

Speaker Breslin: "Is that correct, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. The Bill is in a position to be moved at this time on Third Reading, Mr. Mautino. Do you wish to call the Bill?"

Mautino: "Yes, Ma'am."

Speaker Breslin: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk, on Third."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 901, a Bill for an Act to confirm the validity of the procedures and policies of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, very much, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This legislation was addressed and Amendments presented a few days ago. The...I'd like to address the fiscal impact which is a savings in the area of about a hundred thousand dollars as presented by Dale Turner, Legal Counsel of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. The underlying legislation addresses the thirty-three shelf charters that were authorized under the of direction of the Commissioner the Banks and Savings...Banks...Commissioner of and Banks Trust Companies. As was presented, there were two conflicting decisions made after those thirty-three shelf charters were provided. Those individuals who have those shelf charters are being addressed by this legislation which provides that

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the owners of the less active charter, after receiving the Commissioner's approval, may relocate the main banking of the less active bank. Because of relocation involves the main premises of a bank. no geographical restrictions as to where the main banking premise may be relocated. This was...the legislation has been discussed and compromised and possible Amendments were considered. The legislation is agreed to by the Community Bankers, as well as the Illinois Bankers Association and we have a notification from the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies that his approval is with this legislation While they still have some concerns with the Bill in its present form, they're making progress at resolving the and the Commissioner, which is Bill Harris, has agreed to...to support and keep Senate Bill 901 moving and to make the adjustments and Amendments on another piece of legislation. The shelf charter provisions were discussed in detail. The Bill does exactly what I said and I would ask for your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate
Bill 901. On the question, the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Well, Madam Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Bill. I believe that the Bill will pass. I remember when Representative Greiman, former Representative Greiman, use to be here, he use to say he use to enjoy Banking Bills because there was always a fight between the billionaire bankers and the millionaire bankers and it was always fun deciding who to vote with. This one I think, is perhaps a billionaire banker's Bill and the millionaire bankers decided to cave in and cut a deal with them. I still think it's a bad idea, because we rejected Amendment #1 which I had offered, which would have provided some home office

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

protection. What happened here was that thirty-three banks were purchased for about two hundred and fifty to three hundred and fifty thousand dollars over the normal purchase price. These wealthy individuals then decided to strip the They sold the physical plant to somebody else and banks. they were then left with a charter, a bank charter. it's very difficult to start your own bank up, so what they just did was go out and buy downstate banks and stripped them and made them into a charter, a shelf charter. they took all these shelfs and are bringing most of them up into Chicago and they're plopping them down in the city wherever they want, regardless of the law that we have established about ten years ago, with regard to protection guess the for the existing smaller banks. And, I why the smaller banks, those would be the millionaire banks, the reason why they bought into this is because least reporting a cap on this were shutting the door, and we're saying these thirty-three billionaires who got they...that's all that's going to be left in. What the Bill does, is to overturn a decision of Judge Green in Chicago to allow for these shelfs to exist in spite of his ruling and it really is an incredibly unlimited branch banking that we're adopting here with this Bill, because not only do they get their shelf charter, they get branches to go along with it. So, I know the Bill's going to pass because I can assure you that both banking organizations, the billionaire banks and the millionaire banks have agree to it, but I just thought I'd let you know what this bailout was all about, so that you can make the appropriate phone calls to whoever you know who owns a shelf and ask for their thanks."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,

Representative Mautino is recognized to close."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Mautino: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think the remarks of the previous speaker have to be taken in the context of fact that his Amendment wasn't adopted, and I respect the opinions of the previous speaker, but I think his last remark was uncalled for. Those two court cases; the circuit court opinion and a Supreme Court opinion of Illinois basically refused to grant an expedited appeal, and therefor it's expected that the litigation continue on for several years. It seems to me that the legislation as sent over and my discussions with the banking community well as the Sponsor of as the legislation, Senator Luft, and the Commissioner of Banks, Bill Harris, are all honorable individuals, and I think everyone agrees that there is additional concerns that must be met and they will meet it on another Bill. I think thirty-three individuals that have purchased those charters good faith should have the consideration of legislation before us, and I ask for your support."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 901 pass?'
 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.
 Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Representative McGann, one minute to explain your vote."
- McGann: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I may have a possible conflict of interest, therefor I'm voting 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no' and 4 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill...On the Order of Banking, Third Reading, appears three Bills sponsored by Representative LeFlore, Representative Keane and Representative DeLeo.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

The first Bill is Senate Bill...Representative LeFlore, for what reason are you seeking recognition? Okay. Senate Bill 33. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 33, a Bill for an Act to amend the Foreign Banking Office Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 33 is the
African Development Bank and this was established in 19..."
Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. (sic — Madam) Speaker. Senate Bill 33 is the African Development Bank which was established in 1930...in 1963 by thirty African countries. This Bill was accepted...this mandate was accepted in 1968 in the United States and provided...the United States provided technical assistance. Support for this Bill was given by the President of the United States, President Ronald Reagan, our past President, Ronald Reagan, in 1983. This Bill came before the House last year. It passed the House and it fell in the Senate and here I am again back with Senate Bill 33. I'd like for a favorable vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 33, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 33 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 100, Representative Keane. Representative Keane? Out of the record. Senate Bill 952, Representative DeLeo. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 952, a Bill for an Act to amend the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Illinois Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo."

DeLeo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 952 amends the Illinois Banking Act. It will permit banks to make investments for public welfare and other such purposes. This Bill contains...it's for public welfare. While under this Bill a bank may make investments for such purposes. Investments may include debt and equity investments and corporate projects which are desig...designed to promote community welfare. I ask for the adoption of Senate Bill 952."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the passage of Senate Bill On the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 952 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 97 voting...99 voting 'aye', 10 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next special order is the Order of Economic Development, Second Reading. The Sponsors are Saltsman, Keane and Matijevich. The first Bill is Senate Bill 525, Representative Saltsman. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 525, a Bill for an Act in relationship to economic development. Second Reading of the

to economic development. Second Reading of the Bill Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed. No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 768, Representative Keane. Mr. Keane, do you want this Bill read a second time? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1059, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1059, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Development Finance Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, is being offered by Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Breslin: "Who was the Sponsor?"

Clerk Leone: "Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, the underlying Bill, Senate Bill 1059, provides exemption from income tax for Illinois Finance Development Bonds and the Amendment was offered at the behest of the Department of Revenue, to make the Act prospective only, so that there is not a windfall for the bondholders that have bonds up to now. So, I move the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1059."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1059. On the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 768, Representative Keane, on this order. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 768, a Bill for an Act in relationship to international trade. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, is being offered by Representative Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane. Representative Cullerton, in the Chair."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #1 does...to Senate Bill 768 does three things. It provides for the appointment by the Minority Leaders in the House and Senate to the task force. It also places an international business division manager of DCCA on the task force. Second, it amends the mandate of the task force to correspond to the National Governor's Association suggested agenda for such task forces. And third, it tightens up the by capping the amount of performance bond guarantees and loan guarantees that the Illinois Export Development Authority may have outstanding. I'd be happy to answer any questions on the Amendment and ask for a favorable...and ask for adoption."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for adoption of Floor
Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from
Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, when this came up in committee I think you'll recall the minority voted 'present' as I recall, because at that time the Minority Leader of the House didn't have any appointments. And I think we discussed to some extent and I'm not about to put words in your mouth, I don't know that there was any agreement, but I recall some discussion that the...that oversight would be corrected. I guess we still have some concerns. The oversight may have been corrected, but if I read your Amendment, it allows the minority party to appoint only 4 of the 20 Members. Is that correct?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "I believe you're correct, but of the 20 Members there is Republican..others who would be considered Republican or

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Minority Representatives. It's the legislative complement, I am told, is the same as that we would have on a Conference Committee, 3 by the majority and 2 by the minority."

Black: "So, the Minority Leader of the House would get 2 appointments and the Minority Leader of the Senate would get 2 appointments. Is that correct?"

Keane: "Right, Yes."

Black: "What would the...for example then, what would the Speaker's appointment number be?"

Keane: "I have been told that I was wrong in my previous statement. The...it's 5. We replaced 3 with 5."

Black: "When would this take effect?"

Keane: "It becomes...upon passage."

Black: "Why...I thought that there was some language in here...I...at this point in this Session, who knows, but I thought it took effect after the gubernatorial election so that in effect, if...if perhaps a Republican would not be in the office on the...two, that then our appointment authority might be considerably reduced."

Keane: "On page twelve of the original Bill, Section 10, it says, 'This Act takes effect upon becoming a law.' Now did you have a question? I couldn't quite understand your point on the Governor."

Black: "Alright. I'll...You've answered most of the questions I
 have. I don't know that I'm in total agreement with this,
 but I'll not berate the point. I appreciate your
 response."

Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he'll yield."

Harris: "Thank you. Representative, I realize we talked about

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

this Bill in committee and we did specifically address the point regarding membership on this task force. If I can, just to clarify and I think to follow up on the point that the previous speaker was making; yes, you are adding...as I understand it, you are increasing the number of members of the task force. That is correct. Right?"

- Keane: "From 11 to 20." fh;7 Harris: "From 11 to 20. You are also, however...you've done a couple of things, you've increased the number of members which the Majority Party Leaders can appoint and you have added members which the Minority Party Leaders can appoint. Is that correct?"
- Keane: "That's correct and we also had to add DCCA which was part of the agreement."
- Harris: "I realize, which was one of our concerns in committee.

 However, should the person who occupies the Governor's

 mansion right now, should he change parties, there would be
 a substantial imbalance, it seems to me, in terms of party
 alignment on this task force. Would there not?"
- Keane: "Only if you've given up hope of winning the House and the Senate."
- Keane: "Well, should the House and Senate change parties, then we would be in the minority, so we would be hoisted on our own petard, as they say."
- Harris: "Okay. Well, I understand that. The second point on this...on the Amendment. You have doubled, as I understand, if I read it correctly, you've doubled the authority...the performance bonding authority of the...of the authority?"
- Keane: "I'm told that we put a cap on it. We did not double it."
 Harris: "Well wasn't the initial...Bill did not have 5?"

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Keane: " And it was not...I'm told it was not increased. Just
put a cap on it."

Harris: "So we're just simply limiting it to ten million dollars? Alright. To the Amendment if I may, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just very quickly and not to...not to kill the point here in question, but I think Members of our side of the aisle really should be aware that yes, a task force is being appointed here and in a very partisan vein I will tell you that we get the short stick in the number of appointments on this task force, and that is...that is not the usual procedure when a task force or commission or study group is appointed. There is usually some parody and equality among appointments by the majority and minority party. That is certainly not the case here and it increases imbalance should the day ever come when we not occupy the Governor's Mansion. And that gives me great cause for concern and I think we could vote against the Amendment on that very basis."

Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman to close, Representative Keane, on Senate Bill 768."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am not all that enthused about this structure myself. I would prefer it 3 and the same thing that we have with the Conference Committee. However, I don't get too excited, because on page 6 this thing self-destructs once they issue a report and it goes out of existence January 1, 1990. So, they...they're suppose to provide a written report at that time, and then for that reason I feel that the structure isn't all that important, it's the written report. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on...favorable vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 7...I'm sorry, has moved for the adoption of

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 768. All in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are fif... Representative Hicks, wishes to vote 'aye'. On this question there are 60 voting 'yes', 50 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. On this Order of Business on
Third Reading, Senate Bill 937, Representative Mautino.
Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 937, a Bill for an Act to promote the adoption of appropriate modern technologies by Illinois business. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Mautino, on Senate Bill 937." Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 937 creates the Manufacturing Technology Alliance to make small and medium sized businesses aware of the commercially available technologies and to provide financial assistance to those businesses from state appropriations and contributions manufacturers and trade associations. Basically what this legislation does, and it was presented to us by Senator Severns, along with the Director of Bell and Howell for assistance in technology and research for small and medium sized businesses. It establishes a governing board and board of directors consisting of 11 members. provides for the powers of those board members in conjunction with most of ... in conjunction with most of the boards that have similar duties under the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs and Economic Development. It also establishes that an executive director who shall

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

not be a member of the board, be selected, and thev establish as well with this legislation, the partnership called the Alliance Partner Program, where manufacturing trade associations and manufacturers may join as partners pursuant to an agreement between this board and individual businesses as well. That alliance partner provision or contract is determined by the amount of money those individuals provide or contribute and the timing of those contributions to the fund. This board as well, an alliance that we call it in legislation, will make grants or loans to eligible businesses for the purposes of advancing an adaptation of modern available technologies. They may make grants if the board determines that the business is unable anticipates that it will be unable to perform at the level that is no less than average for the industry; That there is a competitive disadvantage to establishments within this industry located outside of the State of Illinois or newly located in our state, or that they demonstrate that the business has a need to adopt new technologies. The cap level on that assistance is for a period of no greater than eighteen months and the alone may not be used for the purchase or machinery or equipment and it shall not result in the termination or layoff of employees employed by any business receiving that financial assistance. We put the safe guards in this legislation. We also create the Illinois Manufacturing Technology Alliance Fund inside the State Treasury and the Alliance Fund outside the State Treasury for the infusion of funds from both the private and the public sector. We also provide for the evaluation of audit provisions as well as the Auditor General provisions that are also involved in the other authorities and boards in this state. And the alliance shall have the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

intergovernmental cooperation with DCCA, the BHE, the ICCB, the Prairie State 2000 Authority's...2000 Authority and any other agency to prove the competitiveness of the small medium sized manufacturers. And I move for its passage and be happy to answer any questions. I may ... If I may, Mr. Speaker, to alleviate some of the questions that may come funding for the Technology Innovation Commercialization Fund, shall be the sources of revenue from the Illinois Manufacturing Technology Alliance Fund. This fund has been appropriated at one million Of that amount, about ten percent has been used in the last two vears. This fund is being processed and it basically ninety percent of that fund...original funding of about seven hundred and fifty-six thousand available for this type of a program, which will probably require about two hundred thousand a year. Move for its adoption and passage and happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 937. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 937 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 103 voting 'aye', 10 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Order of Business will be Election Law, Senate Bill 168, Representative Giorgi. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 168, a Bill for an Act to provide for the licensing and regulation of certain games of chance.

Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- Speaker Cullerton: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, being offered by Representative

 Countryman and Mautino."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Okay. Representative Countryman and Mautino, on Amendment #1. Representative Countryman, wishes to withdraw Amendment #1. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Mautino and Countryman."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Breslin."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Breslin, on Amendment #3."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment...withdraw #4."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Withdraw #3?"
- Breslin: "Excuse me, #3."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Any further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Breslin."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Breslin, on Amendment #4."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 would prohibit state employees from accepting contributions in their pacts or personally from entities that their agency does business with. I move for adoption of Amendment #4."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 168. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Tell her to explain that Amendment with bell tones, would you please? Ask her to explain the Amendment with bell tones. Let's have the bell tones on the Amendment, don't mumble like Giorgi. Give me the 'King's English',

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

please."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Breslin."

Giorgi: "Give me the 'King's English'."

Speaker Cullerton: "To answer the question."

Breslin: "The Amendment provides that state employees not be allowed to accept contributions personally or in their pacts from a...from people that their agency does business with or that they regulate. That's the 'King's English'.

That's what I said the last time."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further questions? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Well, my advisor from LaSalle County says it's a decent

Amendment. We'll accept it temporarily."

Speaker Cullerton: "Fine. The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 168. Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Countryman and Mautino."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Countryman, on Amendment #5."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment sets forth that the raffles conducted from a...by a political committee will be subject to a regulation similar to that which we conduct on local raffles, but the issuing board for the license will be the State Board of Elections, and the reason for that, is that these political committees are sometimes much larger in size than are the local jurisdictions where the raffle is being conducted. It will take politics out of that. Many local jurisdictions don't have raffle laws and this will cover it. This will make sure that the amounts of money which are raised by the raffles are then disclosed by the

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

political committee. It sets forth rules and regulations with which the political committee cannot use the funds for anything but the purposes of the committee and cannot pay any individual for doing it; and no officer, director or employee of the committee can be compensated; and that the...nobody who's been as I recall, convicted of any crime can be involved with it; and nobody under the age of 18 may participate in the conducting of raffles or chances. I believe this tightens up the Bill and is a good Amendment."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5, to Senate Bill 168. On that, is there any discussion? The Lady from Lake, Representative Stern."
- Stern: "I didn't understand one syllable of that, Mr. Countryman."
- Countryman: "I'm sorry. It was a Zeke Giorgi Bill. I have had problems with my voice and I was reading from this, my best to explain it to you again. Essentially it does, is it puts political committees, what licensing of raffling, under the State Board of Elections. Now with the first two Amendments that we withdrew. tried to put it under the Department of Revenue; they said they didn't want it, so we compromised by putting it under the State Board of Elections and is logical for this sense they know the political committee is organized; they know the officers and the people who are involved; they know that we want the raffle proceeds to be disclosed campaign disclosure reports, which was something that was important as a part of it. We wanted something that had statewide jurisdiction, because many of these political committees have statewide exposure or multi-county exposure and multi-city exposure. We set forth pretty much the same rules that are set forth for conducting a raffle in a local municipality. Those are all the things it does.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

prohibits certain people who have once been involved with certain crimes dealing with gambling from being involved in this, and people from under the age of 18 from participating in any way."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lee... I'm sorry, Representative Stern."

Stern: "I just wanted to follow up on that, to ask precisely what is the definition of a political committee. Is there a definition in the Code?"

Countryman: "Yes, there's a definition under the Election Code, Chapter 46, Article 9, as I recall. I don't have it here front of me, but there is a definition of a political committee, and that is more than one person operating together, however, for purposes in support of a candidate or an opposition to a candidate or a matter of public policy, which could possibly appear on the ballot. addition to that, we provide that a political committee must have been in existence for one year, so that people can't just form a political committee for the purposes of doing a raffle. And we also prohibit that in the Amendment, so that we aren't having people using the political committees as a subterfuge to raffle themoney. They also can't take the proceeds of the raffle and put in their pocket. They must use it for the purposes of the political committee. No one can profit individually from the proceeds of the raffle."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion, Representative Stern?"

Stern: "There is some concern about this political committee business. It doesn't seem to be referenced in this Amendment and the definition appears to be that the political committee is the candidate himself or herself.

No?"

Countryman: "The Election Code defines it as the state and local

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

central committees...local and state political committees of any party. The candidates accepting contributions or making expenses exceeding one thousand dollars in a 12 month period and any of the following which accept contributions or making expenditures that exceed one thousand dollars in a 12 month period, which could be in support of or in opposition to a candidate or questions of public policy."

Stern: "Okay. Okay."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lee,

Representative Myron Olson."

Olson, M.: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates...or he indicates he will."

- Olson, M.: "Representative Countryman, has the State Board of Elections indicated to you that they're interested in getting into the regulation of gambling?"
- Countryman: "Well, they really aren't. All they're doing is They're determination would issuing the license. be whether the committee meets the qualifications here. The problem is that we're putting a great deal of regulation in the Department of Revenue, when they didn't have the information before them. The State Board of Elections has this information before them and can easily issue this sort of a license. These are the licenses that are issued by municipalities for local raffles, but in this instance they should be licensed by an authority that knows that political committee is organized and has proper filing, and believe it's the proper place to place this jurisdiction."
- Olson, M.: "Just one other question, Representative. Will a candidate in DeKalb County be able to offer a betting opportunity on the Kishwaukee River?"
- Countryman: "If he has a raffle license and wants to conduct a

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

raffle in the middle of the river, whether it's in a canoe or otherwise and whether it's not navigable or not, he can probably do it as long as he complies with the terms of this Amendment and is an organized political committee with a license."

- Olson, M.: "Does the canoe...does the canoe have to have the capacity of twelve hundred and limit the amount of betting to two hundred dollars or less?"
- Countryman: "No. The limitations are the same that are in their local raffling Acts, so it doesn't matter if it's the Lion's Club doing it or the political committee doing it.

 And, you know, believe me that the people in Dixon shouldn't worry about what's happening on the Kishwaukee, because the Rock River is now flowing with the rains."
- Olson, M.: "I see. Well, will this cause a fish kill, which happened last summer on the Kishwaukee?"
- Countryman: "No. The only thing that would cause a fish kill is if the Rock backed into the Kish."
- Olson, M.: "Thank you, very much, Representative."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."

- Levin: "Yeah. It's my understanding that ward organizations are not considered political committees for purposes of filing disclosure statements. Would ward organizations be able to avail themselves of the provisions of this Bill?"
- Countryman: "My judgment would be no. If they don't file...if
 they don't elect to file, and you're correct in that point
 that some of those organizations do not have to file,
 depending upon whether they're purely a political
 committee...or a political party committee as opposed to a
 committee in support of a candidate or opposed to a

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

candidate or in support of a public proposition or not, so it's their election whether or not they want to say they support candidates on file, but if they don't file then they can't avail themselves of this and there's good public policy reasons for that; is because we want disclosure of how much money is raised and how it's raised from these raffles and what the benefits, the net proceeds of these raffles are spent on, so that they aren't put in somebody's pocket."

- Levin: "So, is what you're saying is that if a ward organization chooses not to file for whatever reason, they would not be able to avail themselves of the benefits, but if the ward organization in the neighboring ward chose to file for whatever reason, they would be able to avail themselves of the benefits?"
- Countryman: "Staff is having some discussion with me, but I'm giving you my judgment as opposed to staff's judgment.

 Because they don't file, in my judgment they don't fall within the definition of political committee and they can't conduct the raffle, so your statement is correct."
- Levin: "I see Representative Giorgi, the Sponsor of the overriding Bill, is nodding his head in agreement. Thank you."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Giorgi, do you wish to have this Bill remain on Second Reading? Bill remains on Second Reading. Next Bill on this order is Senate Bill 244, Representative Munizzi. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 244, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment...Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any Motions filed with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Breslin."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Breslin, on Amendment #2. She wishes to withdraw Amendment #2. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Breslin."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Breslin, on Amendment #3."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment does the same thing as the previous Amendment adopted to Representative Giorgi's Bill. That Amendment qutted was prev...subsequent Amendment that was adopted, the request is that this Amendment be put on this Bill which is an Election Bill. It does the same thing; it prohibits state employees and appointed state officials or their political committees from soliciting or...or receiving contributions to their campaigns from entities that they do business with or that they regulate. I move for the adoption of the Amendment,"
- Speaker Cullerton: "Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #3

 to Senate Bill 244, and on that is there any discussion?

 There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'.

 In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Lang."

60th Legislative Day

Lang:

June 21, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Lang, on Amendment #4."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 244, addresses the issue of election of judges. As you know, there has been for a long time some conversation, a lot of conversation. regarding merit selection of judges and that's taken a lot of different turns, but as of yet no one has come up with a merit selection plan that's made any sense to the General Assembly. After that there was a lot of conservation regarding how judges are to be selected. Shall we do it by districts? Shall we do it at large? We're talking about Cook County at this time. And as you know there was an Amendment previously...this House to separate Cook into many different districts and to have elected by district, that Amendment failed. background to all this, includes a lawsuit filed in the federal district court in Chicago dealing with the issue of selection of judges by district. That lawsuit has been pending for some time. There has been many efforts to settle that particular litigation to no avail. The purpose of Senate Bill...Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 244 is to try to craft a compromise, which will be satisfactory to all sides so that we can put the judicial system in Cook County in order. So that we can do it in a way that's fair to everybody, allowing for additional Minority representation, allowing for additional Republican representation. And so, allow me to explain the substantive merit of Senate Bill 244. As you know, there are currently 177 judges in Cook Ninety-four of them are elected at large, County. countywide. Eighty-three of them are elected as resident judges, fifty-seven of them from... I'm sorry, fifty-six of them from inside the City of Chicago, twenty-seven of them from suburban Cook County. What this Bill proposes to do

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

the ninety-four elected at large, is follows: countywide will continue to be elected at As for the other eighty-three, the Amendment purposes to increase this number to ninety, to eliminate the distinction between inside of the City of Chicago and outside of the City of Chicago, and then divide the county into fifteen subdistricts. Each subdistrict will have six judges elected therein. The Amendment requires that county board of Cook County create these subdistricts by July 1, 1991, and file the Resolution creating the subcircuits and the map with the clerk of Sup...of the Supreme Court by that date. It also requires that subdistricts be compact, contiquous and substantially equal This population. to insure proper minority representation, whether it be minority in terms of race or minority in terms of political party, this aspect of this Amendment will insure that all minorities are properly represented in the Circuit Court of Cook County. The Sup... the Amendment as proposed, grandfathers in eighty-three judges that are currently sitting and as they resign or die or leave the court, the Supreme Court will allot to each of the fifteen districts judges and will fill each district so that they have even numbers. In other words, the first fifteen judges to be replaced will replaced one for each of the fifteen districts until all fifteen districts have six judges. The Supreme Court will also allot the new...the seven new judges, who will not have been grandfathered in, because they are newly created judgeships, to these fifteen subdistricts immediately. These seven will be appointed to the subdistricts by lot. In other words, the Supreme Court will pick by lot, seven of these districts and find appropriate judicial candidates within these districts for the first seven appointments.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Amendment further goes on to indicate that any vacancies in the office of resident judge filed before the filing of the map with the Supreme Court, will be filled exactly as they are now; within the City of Chicago and outside the City of Chicago. Vacancies which occur after the subdistrict map is filed would be filled from the subdistrict in which the vacancy occurs. In other words, this Amendment will abolish the concept of electing in the County of Cook from either inside the City of Chicago or outside the City of Chicago. Further, one other matter deals with the petitions for judgeship for election. This will require that the primary electors that sign a nominating petition, be those, who not only reside in the county but in the specific subdistrict for which that judicial candidate is running. This is substantially important legislation..."

Speaker Cullerton: "Excuse me, Representative Lang.

Representative McCracken, for what purpose do you rise?"

McCracken: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I want the Chair to hold that this Amendment is not germane. The Bill, as amended by Amendment #3, relates only to the Election Code.

This Bill amends an Act concerning resident judges of the circuit of Cook County. It's clearly not germane."

Speaker Cullerton: "I'll ask the Parliamentarian to look into your inquiry. In the meantime, we can allow Representative Lang to complete his remarks."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is important legislation.

It can draw to a close litigation that's pending in the cir... in the federal district court. It can draw to a close delicate negotiations between various parties to create new judgeships in the City of Chicago and the County of Cook. We need this legislation to clear up this mess.

And I would urge this General Assembly to look long and

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

- hard at this proposal. It is a fair proposal. It will bring Republicans onto the bench in Cook County. It will bring additional minorities on the bench in Cook County. It's an important piece of legislation and I very strongly urge your consideration and your 'aye' votes."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative McCracken, the Parliamentarian informs that since the previous Amendment amends the Bill so as to deal with the issue of elections, that the...since this Amendment also deals with elections, in his opinion the Amendment is germane. Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "I move to overrule the Chair's ruling on the question of germaneness, that takes sixty votes to our friends who are listening, keep it in mind. We'd like a Roll Call vote on that."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Fine. The Gentleman has moved to overrule the Chair on the issue of germaneness for Amendment #4. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from...Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "You've... you've held the Amendment in order because you're holding it's germane."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Yes...the ruling was that the Amendment is germane. Your motion is to overturn the Chair on that ruling as to what..."
- McCracken: "...On the ruling that the motion is...or that the Amendment is in order. Right."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Right. So on that... so on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."
- Williams: "I support the Gentleman in his attempt to overrule the Chair. I believe that this Amendment is not germane and as far as the ruling is concerned, and let's be clear, and I'm only speaking to the ruling of the Chair, I think that the Chair's ruling is out of place and I would move to support the Gentleman in his attempt to overrule the Chair. And I

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- would urge my colleagues to vote 'aye' on... on the motion to overrule the Chair."
- Speaker Cullerton: "So the question is, 'Shall the Chair be overruled?' And the... all those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. Representative Lang requests a verification of the Affirmative. Mr. Clerk, would you please poll the Affirmative."
- Clerk Leone: "A poll of those voting in the Affirmative. Balanoff. Barger. Barnes. Black. Churchill. Ackerman. Countryman. Cowlishaw. Daniels. Davis. Deuchler. Didrickson. Doederlein. Ewing. Flowers. Virginia Frederick, Goforth, Hallock, Harris, Hasara. Hoffman. Hultgren. Johnson. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Kirkland. Klemm. Kubik. LeFlore. Leitch. McAuliffe. McCracken. McPike. Morrow. Bob Olson. Myron Olson. Parcells. Parke. Bernard Pedersen. Peterson. Petka. Piel. Pullen. Regan. Rice. Ropp. Ryder. Shaw. Sieben. Stange. Stephens. Tate. Trotter. Weaver. Weller. Wennlund. Williams. Williamson. Wojcik. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Zickus. further."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative McPike. Representative McPike wishes to change his vote to 'no'. Thank you, Representative McPike. Representative Flinn."
- Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, there's some confusion about here... back here as to what the vote is about. I know it's to overrule the Chair, but what was the ruling of the Chair?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Chair ruled that the Amendment was germane."
- Flinn: "Was germane?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. And the Gentleman, Representative

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

McCracken moved to overrule the Chair on that ruling.

Representative Lang, any questions of the affirmative?"

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Morrow?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Morrow? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove Representative Morrow."

Lang: "Representative Shirley Jones?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Shirley Jones? Is the Lady in the chamber? Representative Shirley Jones? Remove her."

Lang: "Representative Barnes?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Jane Barnes? She's in the chamber. She's in the aisle."

Lang: "Representative Ewing?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ewing? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

Lang: "Representative Flowers?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Mary Flowers is in the aisle."

Lang: "Representative Wennlund?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Wennlund? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He's not here, remove him."

Lang: "Representative Deuchler?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Deuchler is right by her chair."

Lang: "Representative Klemm?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Klemm? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He's not in the chamber, remove him. Further questions?"

Lang: "Representative Churchill?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Williamson, leave to be verified? You have leave. Representative Churchill's in the chamber. Representative, you have leave, Representative Jones."

Lang: "That's all."

Speaker Cullerton: "No further questions. On this issue there

60th Legislative Day

- June 21, 1989
- are 59 voting 'aye'...yes, Representative McCracken, you wish to change your vote?"
- McCracken: "No, no. I'd like a Poll of the Absentees and then if that doesn't change anybody's mind, I'd like to...well, let me think, can I verify the negative on this?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "No, it requires sixty votes, Sir. So, on this question there are 59 voting 'yes', 50 voting 'no' and the motion to overrule the Chair fails. On the Amendment, Representative Lang, we're now back on the issue of the Amendment. Representative Williams on the Amendment."
- Williams: "Yes, to the Amendment. This Amendment, which I must admit is sort of a...an attempt to, in one instance give you a little something, but in another instance take away a lot. What we've been trying to achieve over the past year and a half, has been a lot of discussion... well, little discussion and that's part of the problem. As you know, myself, Representative Young, Senator Del Valle, we filed a lawsuit that was intended to make a difference in how the court is made up in Cook County due to the lack of representation of minorities on that court. We asked repeatedly, time and time and time again for someone to talk to us to address this issue. Not only they not talk, in some instances they even laughed at us. Literally laughed in our face and told us that we shouldn't even be pursuing what we consider to be our right. recently, has anyone even come to us to discuss this issue and this was the nature of the discussion. I quess the essence of this Bill. We did not get an opportunity to discuss something that we felt would be fair to all people, whether they be Republican, Democrat, Black, White, what We feel that this Amendment does not in fact, have you. address the total needs of what we are trying to seek to accomplish. And although it may be a step, it is not a

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

sufficient step. It is not a step that's really in earnest and more importantly, it is not a step that could be signed by the Governor. This in essence, will kill the issue of minority representation on the court. Now why do I say that, because they know that this Amendment has no chance to be signed into law. They know that there is no chance to override the Veto that would certainly accomplice...accompany this Amendment..."

Speaker Cullerton: "Excuse me, Representative Williams. The Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Munizzi seeks recognition. For what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Munizzi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask that this Bill be taken out of the record."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Bill's out of the record. Mr. Clerk. the Order of agreed appropriation Bills, there's an agreed list for appropriation Bills. There's a Bill that's to be What is the number of that Bill? Right. removed. there's a request to remove Senate Bill 329 from the agreed appropriation's Bill list. So that Bill will be removed. going to... after removing that one Bill, on Supplemental #3, we're going to take the Roll Call on the Supplemental #3. We will not declare the Bill passed until tomorrow so if you wish you can change your vote tomorrow on the Supplemental if you have that desire. question is, 'Shall the Bills on Supplemental Calendar #3 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote Voting is open. This is the agreed list #3, Supplemental #3, appropriations only and that one Bill has been removed. Mr...Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 116...okay. We won't read the The record is taken now. If you wish to change results. your vote, you can do so tonight or until tomorrow. Representative Trotter wishes to vote 'aye'. Okay, so if

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

you wish to change your vote, you can do so now or tomorrow. Okay, allowing the Clerk to...enough perfunctory time to read all Bills on the Calendar a second time and to read Messages from the Senate, Representative... Representative Hicks, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "I thought Senate Bill 64 was going to be going?"

Speaker Cullerton: "I can't hear you, I'm sorry."

Hicks: "Senate Bill 64, I believe, was going to be called. I
believe it was on a list, Mr. LaPaille had."

Speaker Cullerton: "Well...Okay. So, as I indicated allowing Perfunctory time for the Clerk to read messages from the Senate and to read all Bills on the Calendar a second time, Representative McPike moves that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 9:30. All those in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The House stands adjourned. Representative LeFlore, did you have an announcement?"

LeFlore: "Yes, I have an announcement, Mr. Speaker. The Illinois

Legislative Black Caucus is hostin'a fundraiser at the

Renaissance this afternoon. We'd like for all the Members

to come out and help us celebrate the fundraiser. The

House Members and the staff."

Speaker Cullerton: "Where is that located?"

LeFlore: "At the Renaissance."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in passage of the following Bills together with Amendments to wit; House Bills #929, 1000, 1027, 1057, 1080, 1083, 1100, 1111, 1123, 1128, 1131, 1137,

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

1202, 1217, 1251, 1252, 1284, or, strike that, that's 1384 and 1395, passed the Senate as amended, June 21, 1989. Linda Hawker, Secretary.' Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 16, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 60, a Bill for an in relation to financial services. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 83, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 107, a Bill for an Act in relation to the issuance and cancellation of driver's license. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 150, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 151, a Bill for an Act concerning civic centers. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 162, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 169, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Senate Bill 185, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to civic center finances. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 249, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Water Well Construction Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 283, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 347. Bill for an Act to amend the Good Samaritan Food Donor Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 376. a for an Act to amend the Illinois Health Finance Reform Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 473, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 482, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of the practice of structural engineering. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 572, a Bill for an Act to authorize certain forms of gambling on excursion gambling boats. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

575, a Bill for an Act to create the Excursion Boat Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 676, a Bill for an Act to create the Riverside Civic Center Authority. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 680, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill 683, a Bill for an Act to amend the Prac... Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 721, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 729, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 731, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning leave for Second Reading of the Bill. firemen and firefighters. Senate Bill 743, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 752. a Bill for an Act in relation to insuring against damages due to leaks. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill Senate 760, a Bill for an Act to create the Matteson and Metropolitan Civic Center Authorities. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 785, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois State Collection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 789, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 819, a Bill for an Act to amend the Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 848, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of Senate Bill 853, a Bill for an Act in relation to property taxation. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill 856, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 890, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading Senate Bill 924, a Bill for an Act in of the Bill. relation to adopted children. Second Reading of the Bill.

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

Senate Bill 965, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Appellate Court. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 983, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Development Finance Authority Act. Second Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 1004, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1010, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1013, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to corporate fiduciaries. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1038, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Department of Agriculture. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1073, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Second Reading of the Bill. Senate natural resources. Bill 1136, a Bill for an Act to amend the Land Trust Recordation and Transfer Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1139, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to medical assistance payments. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1174, a Bill for an Act in relation to trauma centers. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1200, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1210, Bill for an Act in relation to firemen...firearms and firearm ammunition. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill 1222, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to fees of certain officers. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1347, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Senate Bill 1369, a Second Reading of the Bill. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1403, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to election of county commissioners. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1463, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to

60th Legislative Day

June 21, 1989

establish a comprehensive Illinois housing policy. Second Reading of the Bill. Being no further business, the House now stands adjourned."

REPORT: TIFLDAY

A ... 5

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1 06/19/90

DATET FRANSCRIPTION OF DEDATE IND

JUNE 21, 1989

	JUNE 21, 190	9.4	
Se-0012	SECOND READING	` PAGE	68
	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
	THIRD READING	PAGE	229
\$8-0037	SECOND READING	PAGE	69
	SECOND READING	PAGE	177
	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
	SECOND READING	PAGE	155
	SECOND READING THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	156 60
	SECUND READING	PAGE	256
	THIRD READING	PAGE	28
	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	148
	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
SB-0151	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
	SECOND READING	PAGE	69
	SECOND READING	PAGE	238
	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
	SECOND READING	PAGE	154
	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
	SECOND READING THIRD READING	PAGE Page	16 3
	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
	THIRD READING	PAGE	189
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	65
SE-0244	SECOND READING	PAGE	246
S8-0249	SECOND READING	PAG <i>E</i>	256
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
	THIRD READING	PAGE	151
	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
	THIRD READING SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	18 71
	SECOND READING	PAGE	74
	SECOND READING	PAGE	74
	SECOND READING	PAGE	75
	SECOND READING	PAGE	75
SU-0283	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
SB-0284	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
	SECOND READING	PAGE	80
	SECOND READING	PAGE	156
	RECALLED THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	4
	THIRD READING	PAGE	5
	SECOND READING	PAGE	81
	SECOND READING	PAGE	81
SB-0329		PAGE	254
SB-0344	SECOND READING	PAGE	172
	THIRD READING	PAGE	174
	SECOND READING	PAGE	256
	THIRD READING	PAGE	25
S3-0401		PAGE	81
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE	81
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	81 89
	SECOND READING	PAGE	90
25 0107		. 402	, -

REPORT: TIFLDAY 15:50

8 .. 5

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2 06/19/90

JUNE 21, 1989

	SECOND READ		PAGE	91
	SECOND READ		PAGE	91
	SECOND READ		PAGE	92
	SECOND READ		PAGE	93
	SECOND READ		PAGE	93
	SECOND READ		PAGE	94
	SECOND READ:		PAGE	94
	SECOND READ		PAGE Page	94 95
	SECOND READ		PAGE	95
	SECOND READ		PAGE	96
	SECOND READ		PAGE	96
	SECUND READ		PAGE	97
	SECOND READ		PAGE	97
	SECOND READ		PAGE	97
	SECOND READ		PAGE	98
\$8-0429			PAGE	98
	SECOND READ		PAGE	99
	SECOND READ		PAGE	99
\$8-0432	SECOND READ	ING	PAGE	100
SB-0433	SECOND READ	ENG	PAGE	100
SB-0433	SECOND READ	ING	PAGE	103
SB-0434	SECOND READ	ING	PAGE	101
SB-0435	SECOND READ!	ING	PAGE	101
SE-0436	SECOND READ	ING	PAGE	104
	SECOND READ		PAGE	104
	SECOND READ		PAGE	106
	SECOND READ		PAGE	110
	SECOND READ		PAGE	108
	SECOND READ		PAGE	201
	SECOND READ		PAGE	109
	SECOND READ		PAGE	133
	SECOND READ!		PAGE PAGE	115 202
	SECOND READ!		PAGE	120
	SECOND READ!		PAGE	124
	SECOND READ		PAGE	124
	SECOND READ		PAGE	124
	THIRD READIN		PAGE	5
	SECOND READ		PAGE	145
	THIRD READIN		PAGE	146
	SECOND READ		PAGE	256
	THIRD READIA		PAGE	5
SB-0500	SECOND READ!	ING	PAGE	194
\$3-0500	THIRD READIN	1G	PAGE	185
Sa-0500	THIRD READIN	1 G	PAGE	195
SB-0513	THIRD READIN	iG	PAGE	5
	SECOND READ!		PAGE	230
	SECOND READ!		PAGE	256
	SECOND READ!		PAGE	257
	SECOND READ!		PAGE	179
	SECOND READ		PAGE	180
	THIRD READIN		PAGE	5
	THIRD READIN		PAGE	31
	THIRD READIN		PAGE	207
	SECOND READ I		PAGE Page	182 188
	THIRD READIN		PAGE	188
	THIRD READIN		PAGE	100
	THIRD READIN		PAGE	6
	SECOND READI		PAGE	257
	SECOND READ!		PAGE	257
	SECOND READ!		PAGE	257
	RECALLED		PAGE	170
				_

REPORT: TIFLDAY 15:50

1 . . 3

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3 06/19/90

PAGE 170

JUNE 21, 1989

SB-0692 THIRD READING

	INTRO KEADING	PAGE	110
SB-0692	THIRD READING	PAGE	171
	THIRD READING	PAGE	186
	RECALLED		
		PAGE	8
28-0101	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0707	THIRD READING	PAGE	9
S6-0721	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	187
	SECOND READING	PAGE	124
	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
SE-0731	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
	THIRD READING	PAGE	139
	THIRD READING	PAGE	61
	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
SB-0751	THIRD READING	PAGE	163
S6-0752	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	257
	SECOND READING	PAGE	231
So-0775	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0785	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	257
	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0819	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
SB-0848	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
	THIRD READING	PAGE	218
	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
	THIRD READING	PAGE	134
SU-0856	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
SB-0890	SECOND READING	PAGE	257
	SECOND READING	PAGE	16
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	224
SB-0901	THIRD READING	PAGE	225
SJ-0924	SECUND READING	PAGE	257
S3-0927	THIRD READING	PAGE	62
	RECALLED	PAGE	63
	THIRD READING	PAGE	63
	THIRD READING	PAGE	65
S8-0937	THIRD READING	PAGE	236
Sa-0938	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
S8-0945	SECOND READING	PAGE	183
	THIRD READING	PAGE	229
	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
Su-0984	THIRD READING	PAGE	149
S5-0988	SECOND READING	PAGE	147
8890-82	RECALLED	PAGE	148
	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
S6-1038	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
	THIRD READING	PAGE	221
	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-1059	SECOND READING	PAGE	231
S6-1072	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
	SECOND READING	PAGE	167
	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
	SECOND READING	PAGE	16
	THIRD READING	PAGE	151
SB-1094	SECOND READING	PAGE	184
SB-1096	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
	SECOND READING	PAGE	
30-1139	SECUND READING	FAGE	258

REPORT: TIFLDAY 15:50

STATE OF ILLINOIS 36TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 4 06/19/90

JUNE 21, 1989

SB-1164	SECOND READING	PAGE	125
SB-1166	SECOND READING	PAGE	132
SB-1174	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
S3-1190	SECOND READING	PAGE	133
SB-1197	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
\$8-1200	SECOND READING	PAGE	147
\$3-1200	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
Sd-1210	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
SB-1222	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
Sb-1262	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
S8-127d	THIRD READING	PAGE	222
SB-1289	RECALLED	PAGE	157
Sb-1289	THIRD READING	PAGE	157
SB-1289	THIRD READING	PAGE	159
SB-1296	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-1301	THIRD READING	PAGE	52
S3-1311	RECALLED	PAGE	9
SB-1311	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
\$3-1311	THIRD READING	PAGÉ	15
SB-1324	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
Sa-1325	SECOND READING	PAGE	16
S3-1330	SECOND READING	PAGE	16
SS-1339	THIRD READING	PAGE	152
S6-1346	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SJ-1347	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
SB-1354	RECALLED	PAGE	142
SB-1354	THIRD READING	PAGE	142
SB-1354	THIRD READING	PAGE	144
SB-1369	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
Sa-1376	SECOND READING	PAGE	147
S&-1380	THIRD READING	PAGE	153
SB-1330	THIRD READING	PAGE	170
S3-1385	SECOND READING	PAGE	188
SB-1388	THIRD READING	PAGE	223
\$3-1403	SECOND READING	PAGE	258
SB-1443	THIRD READING	PAGE	154
SB-1443	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	154
SB-1463	SECOND READING	PAGE	258

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER-SPEAKER MATIJEVICH	PAGE	1
PRAYER-REVEREND GARY MCCANTS	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
CONSENT CALENDAR-THIRD READING	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE YOUNG IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	64
REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	94
REPRESENTATIVE KEANE IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	140
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	169
REPRESENTATIVE CULLERTON IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	187
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	255
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	255
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	255
PERFUNCTORY ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	259